	ORTH		DAST SPORTS' ^{\$10}
		/E	R PLAY5
© 2013 NORTHCOAST SPORTS SERVICE	4.5		UME 31 ISSUE 3 September 14, 2013
2013 COLLEGES Ttil Scr Net Avg Off Off Def Def YPG Scr 86 84 29 20 63.0 24-12 Boston Colleg 21 30 25 22 236.0 41-13 Florida St 36 24 64 59 96.5 45-24 Clemson	SU ATS Conf All Conf All 1-0 2-0 1-0 2-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-1	(negative) O/U Conf All 0-1 1-1 1-0 1-0 0-0 2-0	2013 COLLEGE STANDINGS (negative) Till Scr Net Avg Scr Mit Conf All Conf
9 24 16 15 328.5 45-10 33 60 39 37 171.5 32-18 NC State 94 89 104 101 (120.0) (22-36) 103 92 12 30 94.5 21-16 Wake Forest 29 43 6 9 268.5 37-7 39 43 6 9 268.5 37-7 39 43 6 9 268.5 37-7 12 1 16 1 304.0 70-0 71 81 72 54 (1.5) 25-24 M Carolina 118 111 102 108 (199.0) (15-38) Virginia 99 73 4 41 153.0 28-19 Virginia Tech	$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-0 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2	35 57 15 37 237.5 33-18 Arkansas 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 60 50 93 31 (11.0) 35-17 Auburn 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 50 16 20 50 169.0 47-22 LSU 0-0 2-0 0-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-1 0-0 0-0 0-
110 119 115 (236.0) (13-41) Pfttsburgh Ttil Scr Ttil Scr Net Avg Off Off Def Scr Net Avg 60 81 19 4 155.5 25-4 Baylor 1 2 27 12 438.5 70-8 Baylor 25 36 80 41 100.0 39-19 Oklahoma St 6 9 82 39 173.0 51-18 Texas Tech 78 61 22 27 104.0 31-14 Kansas St 102 57 66 76 (63.0) 33-27 TCU 10 36 118 54 67.5 39-24 Texas 67 96 99 79 (47.0) (20-28) lowa St 74 110 59 31 26.0 (16-17) West Virginia	0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 SU ATS Conf All Conf All 1-0 2-0 0-1 1.1 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 1.1 0-0 2-0 0-0 0-1 1.0 0-0 1.1 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-1 1.0 0-0 1.1 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-1 1.0 0.1 1.0 1.1 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-1 1.0 0.1 1.0 1.1 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-1 1.0 0.1 1.0 1.1 0-0 1.1 0-0 1 1.0 0.0 0.1 1.0 0.1 1.0 0.1 1.0 0.1 1.0 0.1 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 </td <td>1-0 1-0 Conf All 0-1 0-2 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-0-1 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-0-1 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-0-1 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-0-1 0-0 2-0 0-1 1-1</td> <td>52 78 26 27 147.0 27.14 Miss St 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 Off 22 35 55 63 172.0 39-25 Utah St 1-0 1-1 1-0 1-1 1-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 21 35 55 63 172.0 39-25 Utah St 1-0 1-1</td>	1-0 1-0 Conf All 0-1 0-2 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-0-1 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-0-1 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-0-1 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-0-1 0-0 2-0 0-1 1-1	52 78 26 27 147.0 27.14 Miss St 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 Off 22 35 55 63 172.0 39-25 Utah St 1-0 1-1 1-0 1-1 1-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 21 35 55 63 172.0 39-25 Utah St 1-0 1-1
Ttil Scr Ttil Scr Net Avg 11 28 43 22 239.5 42-13 Louisville 17 16 13 9 305.5 47-7 Louisville 54 66 61 71 66.5 30-26 Cincinnati 54 66 61 71 66.0 27-36 SMU 113 102 64 94 (106.0) (18-33) Connecticut 112 113 106 79 (233.0) (14-28) Memphis 119 116 50 106 (86.0) (14-37) USF 101 122 120 63<(202.5)	SU ATS Conf All Conf All 1-0 2-0 1-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 0-1 0-0 0-1 0-0 0-1 0-0 0-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-1 0-2 0-1 1-1 0-1<	0-0 0-1 0-0 1-1 0-1 0-2	87 119 117 115 (129.0) (13.41) San Diego St UNLV 0-0 0-2 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-10 0 0 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-1 0-1 0-0 0-1 0-0 0-1 0-0 0-1 0-0 0-1 1-1 0-0 0-1 1-1 0-0 0-1 1-1 0-0 0-1 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1
33 27 85 65 61.5 44-26 Illinois 48 30 18 25 183.5 41-14 Ohio St 45 53 10 20 242.0 34-12 Penn St 4 16 1 1 439.5 47-0 Wisconsin 13 7 76 109 146.5 54-38 Indiana 120 116 55 79<(105.0)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0	Thill Ser Thill Ser Net Avg Ser EAST Conf All Conf
42 73 35 50 156.0 28-22 Iowa Till Scr Net Avg Def VFG Scr 96 NORTH Def VFG Scr 2 NORTH 96 106 24 41 48.5 (17-19) Wash St Oregon 70 53 14 22 153.0 34-13 Stanford 70 53 14 22 153.0 34-13 Stanford 73 55 96 106 62.5 (34-37) California 26 33 86 88 85.5 40-32 Oregon St 55 16 21 7 160.0 47-7 Arizona 43 33 35 65 149.5 40-26 Colorado 19 10 51 31 193.0 50-17 Utah	SU ATS Conf All Conf All 1-0 1-1 1-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 0-1 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 Conf All Conf All 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 Conf All Conf All 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0 0-0 2-0	0-0 1-0-1 O/U Conf All 0-1 0-2 0-0 2-0 0-0 0-1 0-0 0-1 0-0 2-0 0-0 1-1 Conf All 0-0 2-0	93 99 45 85 9.0 (19-31) Tulsa 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 49 70 91 97 8.0 (28-35) UTSA 0-0 1-1 0-0 0-2 0-0 1-1 31 61 114 124 23.0 (31-52) Rice 0-0 0-1 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 73 47 112 119 (84.0) (35-42) UTEP 0-0 0-1 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-0 0-1 1-1 1-0 0-0 2-0 1-0 1-1
Titl Scr Titl Scr Arizona St UCLA 11 356.0 55.0 Arizona St 116 99 11 19 52.0 19-12 UCLA Util Scr 11 9 20.0 19-12 USC Titl Scr Net Arg Scr Scr Scr 27 30 111 99 34.0 41.35 Navy 64 90 57 71 47.0 (21-26) Army 24 70 42 45 186.5 28-20 BYU 0 124 123 (241.0) (14-50) N Mexico St 90 124 123 (241.0) (14-50) N Mexico St 75 84 121 122 (136.5) (24-50) Old Dominion	0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-1 1-1 0-1 0-2 XII AII AII 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-1 0-1 0-2 1-1 1-1 0-2 1-1 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 1-1	0-1 0-2 0/U All 1-0 0-2 1-1 1 1	Q 125 122 94 (317.5) (7-35) Otivities 0-0 0-2 0-0 0-0 0-2 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-1 0-5 5 5 5 10 76 (20.0) 30-27 N N N 0-0 1-1 0-0 1-1 10 0-1 10 0-1 10 0-1 10 11 114 92 109 97 197.5) (17

			WE	EK TH	IRE	ΕΟ	PE	NINC	i COLL	.EG	ie LI	NES A	ND MA	ТСІ	HUP	HISTC	DRY			
KEY: CAPS lower case † & Mixed Shaded (B)					NC Oper	2012 L	IL -14	/43 32-14		NC Ope	n 2012 -			NC Oper	1 2012 -			NC Oper	1 2012 KU	-11/62 24-25
CAPS	home team	(C) Conf C		Louisville	20 12	2011 U	IK -5/-		Nevada		2011 -		Washington	9 İ1	2011 -		Kansas	59	2011 -	
lower case	away team	Year in '10 o		KENTUCKY	61'			47 16-23	FLORIDA ST				(†) Illinois	60	2010 -		RICE		2010 -	
Shaded	covered ATS	last time th	rey met			2012 N	1U +6'	/68' 24-27				A +5/62 36-30)		n 2012 -			NC Oper	n 2012 -	
(B)	Bowl game	first meetir	ממ	Marshall	57				Ucla		2011 -		Ucf		2011 -		Kent St	55	2011 -	
Thursday	September 1		.9	OHIO		2010 N		46 24-23	NEBRASKA				PENN ST	8 6			LSU	42 37'	2010 -	
muisuay,			+1'/55 20-21			2012 -				NC Ope	n 2012 GT	-13'/66 42-24			n 2012 -					-14/53 20-17
Tcu		2012 100	+1/33 20-21	Akron		2011 -			Georgia Tech			+10/56' 31-38		E 3	2011 -		Notre Dame	17 20'	2011 PU	+12/48 10-38
TEXAS TE		2010 -		MICHIGAN	35 36'				DUKĔ		2010 GT	-10'/61 30-20	<u>N TEXAS</u>	65			PURDUE			
	NC Open					2012 -			-		n 2012 -				n 2012 UM					-13/59 41-28
Tulane		2012 -		Bowling Grn		2011 -			Tennessee		2011 -		Memphis	49'			Utep			J -3/49' 10-16
LA TECH	NL 7'			INDIAÑA		2010 -			OREGON		2010 UT		MIDDLE TENI		2010 UM	+3'/55 24-17	NEW MEX S	Γ <u>53</u>	2010 UTEP	-15'/59 42-10
	NC Open	2012 TU	+3'/68 34-41			2012 -					n 2012 UM	-10/51' 66-31			n 2012 -				n 2012 -	
Troy		2011 ASU	-18/57' 45-14	Virg Tech	10 7				Mississippi		2011 -		S Miss	50'	2011 -		W Mich		2011 -	
ARK ST	NL 10	2010 TU	-10'/67' 35-28	E CAROLINA	55	2010 V		'/60' 49-27	TEXAS		2010 -		ARKANSAS					21 32		
	tember 13, 2				NC Oper	2012 L		/40 21-24			n 2012 -				n 2012 VU	+6'/45 13-17			n 2012 -	
	NC Open			Maryland		2011 -			Boston Coll		2011 -		Vanderbilt	48'	2011 USC	-15'/49 21-3			2011 -	
Air Force			-29/63 37-26	UCÓNN		2010 -			USC		2010 -		<u>S CAROLINA</u>			+12/46 7-21	ARIZONA	24 25		
BOISE ST	NL 23					2012 -			Laura -	NC Ope	n 2012 UI	-3'/49 6-9		NC Oper						-10/46' 21-7
	September 1			New Mexico		2011 -			lowa	3 1	2011 ISU	+6'/44' 44-41		4/	2011 OU	-24'/65 47-14			2011 UU	-5/47 27-8
····,, ·	NC Open			PITTSBURGH					IOWA ST		2010 UI	-13'/45' 35-7					UTAH		2010 -	
E Michigar	n 49	2011 -		Liller		2012 -			Alahama		n 2012 UA	-13'/56' 24-29				J -16'/55' 35-28			n 2012 -	
RUTGERS				Ulm		2011 -			Alabama	14 9'			Ohio St		2011 -		C Michigan		2011 -	
	NC Open			WAKE FOREST					TEXAS A&M		2010 -						UNLV	7 7	2010	
Stanford	21 28'	2011 -		Man		2012 -			N Illinois		n 2012 - 2011 -		Maaaaburatha		1 2012 -				1 2012 -	
ARMY	48	2010 -		Wku S ALABAMA		2011 -			IDAHO		2011 - 2010 -		Massachusetts		2011 -		Wisconsin		2011 -	
	NC Open			5 ALADAIVIA		2010 -		/57' 69-14				0/402 00 10	KANSAS ST				ARIZONA ST	6 5	2010 UW	-12/48' 20-19
Georgia St	t 56	2011 -		Fresno St	6 9'	2012 F		/5/ 69-14	Mississippi S		2012 MSU 2011 AU	I -3/49' 28-10 +6/57' 41-34			1 2012 -					VEDA
WEST VIR	G 35 37'	2010 -		COLORADO		2011 - 2010 -			AUBURN	13 6'		+0/57 41-34			2011 - 2010 USF	-21'/51 31-3	COLLE		лкеа	IN EKS
				COLORADO	70	2010 -			AUDUNIN	13 0	2010 1050	1 +1/30 14-17	055	/ 10	2010 051	-21/51 31-3				
∇T	ארער ק	$\gamma \kappa$	ארדו ל		ר ק	$\gamma\gamma$		<u></u>		Πľ	ו הרב		ᇚᇊᇭ	\cap			WILL	BEG	IN AF	FTER
	2 I <u>7 </u>		1 ``		וכו	ווגי		א' ב			HZ7		∣∶∥冫∣⊨			: {\`/		-		
	5 1 1 / 1		1 1 1 1 1		141	L		411			ווחי							WEF	-K 3	

These Power Play Forecasts are the most unique in the country because they are based on Northcoast Sports' Private Power Ratings. Our ratings are unlike any computer rating, as we take into account all of the following: Strength of Opponents Individual Units (Rushing Offense and Defense, Passing Offense, & Defense, Scoring Offense and Defense, Garbage Yards, Weather, Injuries, Home Field Edge, and Successful Unbalanced Attacks). Here is how each is reflected: Strength of Opponent: Our ratings do not just take the opposing team's overall power rating into account. For example, last year, Florida Atlantic's defense vs the rush was

rated at 119. They faced Alabama and allowed "just" 256 yds rushing and dropped 5 spots in the national overall defensive ratings. However, Power Plays took into account the potent Crimson Tide run attack and had forecasted the Owls yielding 289 yds. Due to the fact they did better than the forecast, Power Plays raised their rating to 112 even though they slipped in the national (straight yardage) rankings. Another example last season was Wisconsin vs UTEP. Wisconsin held UTEP to just 77 yards rushing and stayed the same in the overall defense in the national statistics. However, our ratings had them rated at 15 and moved them down, as they should have yielded just 50 yards to a weak UTEP rush attack. Next time an announcer on TV tells you that a certain team is #3 in the country vs the run you better check Northcoast Sports' Power Ratings and see how good a rush defense they really have! The top twenty ratings in Power Ratings for each offensive and defensive category will be listed in each week's issue of Power Plays.

Garbage yards: These are yards that a team gains or allows in the final minutes of a game when it has been decided. For example, Team A is ahead 63-0 and allows 93 yards passing for a TD vs its fourth string defense. These yards are counted into national ratings, but not in Northcoast Sports' Power Ratings! Weather: If a game is played in miserable weather conditions and is low scoring, the straight statistics credit the defense with outstanding performances. Our Power Ratings

Weather: If a game is played in miserable weather conditions and is low scoring, the straight statistics credit the defenses with outstanding performances. Our Power Haungs reflect the conditions and do not give excess credit to the defenses. Injuries: When Missouri played Vanderbilt last year, Tigers QB James Franklin was injured early in the game. His backup, who had seen very little action (rFr), was not prepared and hit on 30%, 9-30 passes. The offensive coordinator simplified the offense. In the national statistics Vanderbilt's overall defense MOVED UP 8 NOTCHES. In Phil's Power Ratings they went from #11 dropping out of the Top 15, as the poor performance WAS NOT reflective of an outstanding performance by the defense. Home Field Edges: The weekly projection of yards and points is weighted with the home field factored in. Successful Unbalanced Attacks: Last season, Tulsa was held to 155 yards passing vs Houston. This dropped Tulsa in the national passing offense ratings & RAISED the Cougars in the pass defense ratings. In our ratings the two units remained rated around the same. Why? Tulsa rushed for 350 yards & DID NOT HAVE TO RELY ON THE PASS & when they did they hit 18 of 32. While Houston's pass defense looked good in the national ratings, only Northcoast Sports' Power Ratings reflected the TRUTH! There you have it. More than just numbers, THESE RATINGS REFLECT the true strength of each unit and allow them to have the most accurate forecast of yards gained and points allowed in the country! No other rating system takes into account all the previous factors. Next time you have its is a stated third in the country in pass defense.

allowed in the country! No other rating system takes into account all the previous factors. Next time you hear that a team is rated third in the country in pass defense, just remember, yards are not everything and Northcoast Sports' Power Plays will show you how strong the team really is.

The Power Ratings listed below are not based on the Power Plays, they are based on last year's final computer power rating numbers with the pluses and minuses from the off season

changes factored in. They are then adjusted during the course of the season based on the final score of the games that are played on a weekly basis. The Power Ratings listed below can be used for you as a base power ratings to forecast up coming lines and strength of opponents, etc. Once again, they are not based on Power Plays and they differ from what we have shown in recent years, which has been our actual computer Power Ratings. These are Northcoast Sports Plus or Minus Power Ratings

shown in recen	it year	' s , which	has been our ac	ctual co	omputer	Power Ratings.	Inese	are Nor	thcoast Sports P	'lus or	Minus Po						
SE	С		BIG [·]	TEN		BIG	i 12		PAC	;-12		AC	C		ļ	AAC	
		Current		Start	Current		Start	Current		Start	Current		Start	Current		Start	Current
Alabama	159	161	Ohio St	154	153	Baylor	153	158	Oregon	163	167	Florida St	150	153	Louisville	145	147
Texas A&M	158	157	Michigan	148	151	Oklahoma St	158	155	Stanford	156	154	Georgia Tech	149	149	UCF	138	143
Mississippi LSU	158 149	154 153	Northwestern	148	150	Oklahoma	148	149	Arizona St	153	153	Clemson Miami, Fl	148 148	147 147	Cincinnati	139	137
Georgia	152	153	Wisconsin	151	149	Texas	154	149	Washington	145	149	Svracuse	140	141	Houston	126	129
South Carolina	148	147	Penn St	146	146	тси	149	143	Arizona	142	145	Virginia Tech	143	140	Rutgers	129	129
Vanderbilt	146	143	Nebraska	141	142	Kansas St	146	141	UCLA	140	143	Maryland	136	139	Connecticut	133	128
Florida	141	142	Michigan St	143	140	Texas Tech	135	139	Oregon St	147	142	North Carolina	137	137	SMU	134	126
Missouri	141	141	Minnesota	132	135	West Virginia	140	137	USČ	145	141	NC State	139	136	Memphis	127	125
Auburn	133	140	lowa	137	132	Iowa St	134	129	Washington St	139	140	Boston College	137	135	USF	129	125
Tennessee Mississippi St	137 136	137 136	Illinois	125	129	Kansas	126	123	Utah	134	137	Pittsburgh Duke	137 131	134 133	Temple	125	122
Arkansas	137	135	Indiana	131	128				Colorado	126	126	Virginia	134	133			
Kentucky	130	130	Purdue	127	121				California	127	123	Wake Forest	128	126			
MOUNTA	IN V	VEST	CU	SA		MA	AC		SUN	BEL	т	INDEPE		NT	NCSPC	BTS.	COM
	Start	Current		Start	Current		Start	Current		Start				Current			
Boise St	142	139	Rice	137	137	Bowling Green	133	140	Arkansas St	137	133	BYU	145	147		est Spo	
Utah St	136	139	East Carolina	136	134	N Illinois	137	140	Louisiana	133	130	Notre Dame	146	146	Hand	icappir	Ig
Wyoming	128	131	Marshall	130	134	Ball St	132	135	Troy	122	123	Navy	128	131	Info	mation	Ĩ
Fresno St	136	130	Tulsa	137	131	Toledo	129	129	ULŃ	128	122	Army	126	121			
San Jose St	129	130	North Texas	126	126	Ohio	128	126	wкu	121	122	Old Dominion	108	110	With a S	-	Uner
San Diego St	134	128	Florida Atlantic	124	123	Kent St	130	124	Texas St	115	114	New Mexico St	106	105	fro	m our	
Colorado St	129	127	Middle Tenness	ee124	122	Buffalo	125	123	South Alabama	114	113	Idaho	108	105	advertis		rtner
Hawaii	125	126	UAB	123	122	W Michigan	122	119	Georgia St	90	84						
Nevada	122	119	UTSA	115	121	Akron	119	114				PLUS OF			Duef	LOL.	
New Mexico	116	117	Louisiana Tech	124	119	Cent Michigan	121	114				POWER F	<u>ATIN</u>	IGS _	IJIAT	INTr	eet
Air Force	121	116	UTEP	121	117	E Michigan	119	114				UPDATE)R	PIUI	LŲ LI V	νυι
UNLV	120	114	Tulane	121	115	Miami, Oh	122	114							visit nc	snorte	com
	120		FIU	118	113	UMass	107	103				GAN					
						0111100	101					THROU	GH 9	/7	to che	CKILO	ut!!
			S Mississippi	120	113				2			THROU	GH 9	Π	to che		u

∖╷╷╢╗╕╎┥╏	7Ľ	1.51		312	T	DM	21	161	N						IKINGS omputer ranking, as we take
*FCS LAST YEAR	PPG Off Ava	Off Rush YPG	Off Rush Off Pas YPC YPG		PPG Def Avg		Def Rush YPC	Def Pass YPG	Def Comp%	into account all the following	ng: Strength of Opponents	Individual Units (Rushing C	Offense, Rushing Defense, I	Passing Offense, and Passi on numbers DO NOT take	ng Defense) Garbage Yards,
A TCU	27.0	114.0	4.4 145.0	53.6	37.0	197.0	4.1	251.0	3.1	RUSH	PASS	SCORING	RUSH	PASS	SCORING
H Texas Tech A Tulane	61.0 0.0	237.0 0.0	6.4 494.0 0.0 0.0	68.1 0.0	13.0 0.0	69.0 0.0	2.2	287.0 0.0	2.3 0.0	OFFENSE	OFFENSE	OFFENSE	DEFENSE	DEFENSE	DEFENSE
H Louisiana Tech	27.0	273.0	7.2 166.0	68.8	14.0	90.0	2.5	272.0	2.7	1 Georgia Tech	1 Texas Tech	1 Baylor	1 Florida	1 Alabama	1 Alabama
A Troy H Arkansas St	0.0 62.0	0.0 509.0	0.0 0.0 8.9 163.0	0.0 76.9	0.0 11.0	0.0 12.0	0.0 0.4	0.0 161.0	0.0 0.0	2 Oregon 3 Army	2 Oklahoma St 3 Baylor	2 Texas A&M 3 Oregon	2 Alabama 3 USC	2 Florida 3 Virginia Tech	2 Stanford 3 Florida
A Air Force	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4 Navý	4 Oregon St	4 Georgia	4 Stanford	4 Texas	4 USC
H Boise St A Eastern Michig	63.0 an 7.0	220.0 61.0	4.5 252.0 1.7 122.0	62.5 64.3	14.0 45.0	147.0 251.0	3.1 6.4	210.0 323.0	5.7 2.9	5 New Mexico 6 Arizona	5 Texas A&M 6 Louisville	5 Michigan 6 Oklahoma St	 5 Michigan St 6 Mississippi 	5 Washington St 6 LSU	5 Oklahoma 6 Michigan St
H Rutgers	38.0	273.0	4.9 150.0	54.5	0.0	59.0	2.2	74.0	3.7	7 Wisconsin 8 Ohio St	7 Texas 8 Georgia	7 Alabama 8 Texas	7 Penn St 8 Virginia Tech	7 Oklahoma 8 Stanford	7 Notre Dame 8 Washington
A Stanford H Army	0.0 28.0	0.0 295.0	0.0 0.0 7.0 101.0	0.0 50.0	0.0 12.0	0.0 185.0	0.0 3.6	0.0 116.0	0.0 10.0	9 Nebraska	9 Indiana	9 Ohio St	9 Notre Dame	9 Arizona St	9 BYU
A Georgia St	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10 Oklahoma 11 Baylor	10 Washington St 11 Fresno St	10 Indiana 11 Clemson	10 Ohio St 11 Pittsburgh	10 Michigan St 11 S Carolina	10 S Carolina 11 Florida St
H West Virginia A Louisville	24.0	172.0 0.0	3.9 237.0 0.0 0.0	70.4	17.0 0.0	102.0 0.0	3.3	207.0 0.0	0.0	12 Air Force	12 Clemson	12 LSU	12 Florida Št	12 Washington	12 Wisconsin
H Kentucky	41.0	262.0	6.7 413.0	71.4	7.0	99.0	2.3	23.0	0.0	13 Georgia 14 Texas A&M	13 San Jose St 14 Marshall	13 Nebraska 14 Northwestern	13 BYU 14 S Carolina	13 Maryland 14 Virginia	13 LSU 14 Arizona St
A Marshall H Ohio	0.0 27.0	0.0 203.0	0.0 0.0 5.1 244.0	0.0 63.3	0.0 21.0	0.0 104.0	0.0 2.7	0.0 195.0	0.0 6.9	15 Texas	15 N Carolina	15 Arizona St	15 Louisville	15 FAŬ	15 Auburn
A Akron	7.0	134.0	3.8 116.0	72.0	38.0	157.0	4.0	319.0	0.0	16 Alabama 17 Arkansas	16 Houston 17 West Virginia	16 Georgia Tech 17 Florida St	16 Arizona St 17 Michigan	16 Florida St 17 UCF	16 Oregon 17 Virginia Tech
H Michigan A Bowling Greer	50.0 41.0	204.0 219.0	4.7 257.5 4.8 357.0	64.8 76.0	19.5 22.0	81.0 138.0	3.4 4.9	229.0 164.0	1.2 3.6	18 Arkansas St 19 N Illinois	18 Kentucky	18 Marshall 19 Arizona	18 LSU 19 Oklahoma St	18 TCU 19 WKU	18 Louisville
H Indiana	54.0	215.5	5.4 341.0	65.8	38.0	287.5	5.3	122.5	2.7	20 Rice	19 Arizona St 20 E Carolina	19 Arizona 20 Texas Tech	20 Northwestern	20 BYU	19 Oklahoma St 20 Pittsburgh
A Virginia Tech H East Carolina	0.0 41.5	0.0 72.0	0.0 0.0 2.6 324.5	0.0 78.6	0.0 25.5	0.0 97.0	0.0 3.4	0.0 274.0	0.0 2.2	RUSH	PASS	SCORING	RUSH	PASS	SCORING
A Maryland	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	OFFENSE	OFFENSE	OFFENSE	DEFENSE	DEFENSE	DEFENSE
A New Mexico	18.0 42.0	81.0 395.0		57.1 57.1	33.0 35.0	201.0 280.0	4.0 6.1	192.0 119.0	0.0	107 W Michigan 108 S Alabama	107 Kansas 108 Michigan St	107 New Mexico 108 Kent St	107 WKU 108 Iowa St	107 Iowa St 108 California	107 UTEP 108 Troy
H Pittsburgh	13.0	96.0		53.6		156.0	4.6	377.0	0.0	109 FAU	109 Purdue	109 Purdue	109 Army	109 Illinois	109 Nevada
A ULM H Wake Forest	0.0 31.0	38.0 189.0	1.7 128.0 3.5 219.0	51.3 53.8	34.0 7.0	305.0 102.0	6.1 4.3	124.0 49.0	0.0 15.8	110 C Michigan 111 Colorado	110 UTEP 111 Memphis	110 W Michigan 111 S Miss	110 FIU 111 Tulane	110 Louisiana 111 Texas St	110 Kent St 111 Army
A WKU	20.0	171.0	5.0 222.0	47.2	52.0	240.0	5.3	142.0	0.0	112 Texas Tech	112 Arkansas	112 Memphis	112 W Michigan	112 Temple	112 UTSA
H South Alabama A Fresno St	<u>a 21.0</u> 0.0	<u>187.0</u> 0.0	5.5 148.0 0.0 0.0	64.3 0.0	22.0 0.0	<u>134.0</u> 0.0	3.4 0.0	183.0 0.0	0.0	113 N Mexico St 114 Idaho	113 USF 114 Mass	113 Army 114 C Michigan	113 Nevada 114 LA Tech	113 Air Force 114 Mass	113 FIU 114 N Mexico St
H Colorado	38.0	84.0		63.9	24.0	60.0	2.3	280.0	1.8	115 Akron	115 Iowa	115 San Diego St	115 UTEP	115 Baylor	115 New Mexico
A Nevada H Florida St	20.0 0.0	172.0 0.0	3.7 175.0 0.0 0.0	60.6 0.0	58.0 0.0	315.0 0.0	7.5 0.0	295.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	116 SMU 117 San Jose St	116 Wake Forest 117 Wisconsin	116 Wake Forest 117 E Michigan	116 Old Dominion 117 UNLV	116 Fresno St 117 Ball St	116 Miami, Oh 117 C Michigan
A UCLA	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	118 FIU	118 Minnesota	118 Temple	118 New Mexico	118 Middle Tenn	118 UAB
H Nebraska A Georgia Tech	46.5 0.0	330.0 0.0	6.1 174.5 0.0 0.0	72.0	23.5 0.0	140.5 0.0	4.9	302.5 0.0	1.2	119 Miami, Oh 120 E Carolina	119 E Michigan 120 Kent St	119 N Mexico St 120 FAU	119 Wyoming 120 Indiana	119 Idaho 120 Akron	119 Mass 120 LA Tech
H Duke	45.0	257.0		75.0	0.0	81.0	2.8	103.0	3.1	121 Mass 122 Tulane	121 Georgia Tech 122 Texas St	121 Miami, Oh 122 Texas St	121 Idaho 122 N Mexico St	121 Troy 122 Kent St	121 E Michigan 122 UNLV
A Tennessee H Oregon	0.0 66.0	0.0 500.0	0.0 0.0 11.1 272.0	0.0 57.7	0.0 3.0	0.0 87.0	0.0 2.4	0.0 256.0	0.0 4.0	123 Troy	123 Navy	123 FIU	123 Miami, Oh	123 Georgia St	123 Indiana
A Mississippi	39.0	206.0		66.0	35.0	126.0	3.8	300.0	0.0	124 Washington St 125 S Miss	124 Air Force 125 New Mexico	124 Idaho 125 Mass	124 E Michigan 125 Mass	124 UTSA 125 Old Dominion	124 Idaho 125 Old Dominion
H Texas A Boston College	56.0 0.0	359.0 0.0	8.5 356.0 0.0 0.0	73.3 0.0	7.0	<u>104.0</u> 0.0	<u>2.7</u> 0.0	242.0 0.0	4.3 0.0	126 Georgia St	126 Army	126 Georgia St	126 Georgia St	126 LA Tech	126 Georgia St
					10.0		~ ~								
H USC	7.0	139.0	3.3 54.0	52.4	10.0	7.0	0.3	215.0	2.6	2012	J. UTICH	261. UDb	ONSNY'	LINIT'S F	ACSD
H USC A Iowa H Iowa St	7.0 0.0 20.0	139.0 0.0 168.0	0.0 0.0	52.4 0.0 68.8	10.0 0.0 28.0	7.0 0.0 228.0	0.3	215.0 0.0 229.0	2.6 0.0 6.9	2013				UNIT'S F	ACED
A Iowa <u>H Iowa St</u> A Alabama	0.0 20.0 0.0	0.0 <u>168.0</u> 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 <u>68.8</u> 0.0	0.0 28.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0	0.0 229.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0		WHAT ARE	THE TOUGHES	T OPPONENT	RANKINGS?	
A Iowa H Iowa St	0.0 20.0 0.0 58.5	0.0 168.0	0.00.03.8242.00.00.05.3392.0	0.0 68.8	0.0 28.0	0.0 228.0	0.0 6.9	0.0 229.0	0.0 6.9	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 y	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ea r rush defense rankings	CALC STREET STRE	RANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho	0.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 s 30.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 163.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 y As you can see, the NC	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ea r rush defense rankings l can be misleading. In the	ach team. For example las because they faced the to a upcoming weeks we'll p	RANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY ear's statistical disparities
A Iowa <u>H Iowa St</u> A Alabama <u>H Texas A&M</u> A Northern Illinoi	0.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 s 30.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 163.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0 2.7	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 y As you can see, the NC These are the current re RUSH	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ea r rush defense rankings I can be misleading. In the re are the teams that hav SCORING	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the to e upcoming weeks we'll p re took on the toughest & RUSH	RANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this ye easiest schedules last ye PASS	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY ear's statistical disparities ear. SCORING
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington	0.0 20.0 58.5 s 30.0 0.0 34.5 0.0	0.0 <u>168.0</u> <u>208.0</u> <u>163.0</u> <u>0.0</u> <u>299.0</u> <u>0.0</u>	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0	0.0 28.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 0.0 135.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0	0.0 229.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 0.0 308.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 y As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ea r rush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that hav SCORING OFFENSES	CT OPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the to upcoming weeks we'll p re took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES	RANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke bughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this ye easiest schedules last ye PASS DEFENSES	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY ear's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES
A lowa H lowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn	0.0 20.0 58.5 s 30.0 0.0 0.0 34.5	0.0 168.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 0.0 53.8	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 107.0 31.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.9	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yj As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the learns that hav SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice	ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p te took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama	RANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY aar's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St	0.0 20.0 58.5 \$ 30.0 0.0 34.5 0.0 43.5 38.0 34.0	0.0 168.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 154.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 4.0 309.5	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 107.0 31.0 66.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 y As you can see, the NC These are the current ra- RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that hav SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh	ach team. For example las because they faced the te eupcoming weeks we'll p te took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii	RANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last yr PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA Ly ear's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Northern Illinoi H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas	0.0 20.0 58.5 \$ 30.0 0.0 34.5 0.0 43.5 38.0 34.0 0.0 40.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 154.0 0.0 187.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.0 309.5 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 6.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 107.0 31.0 66.0 0.0 139.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.9	0.0 229.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 0.0 230.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yj As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig g, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings I can be misleading. In the re are the teams that hav SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina	ach team. For example las because they faced the te eupcoming weeks well p e took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY aar's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis	0.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 s 30.0 0.0 34.5 0.0 43.5 38.0 34.0 0.0 40.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 154.0 0.0 187.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 250.0 4.0 309.5 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 6.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 107.0 31.0 66.0 0.0 139.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.9 0.0	0.0 229.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 0.0 230.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 y As you can see, the NC These are the current ran RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii	ach team. For example las because they faced the te e upcoming weeks we'll p e took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse	A Note Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this ye easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA Ly ear's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss	0.0 20.0 58.5 s 30.0 0.0 34.5 0.0 43.5 38.0 34.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 45.0 13.0	0.0 168.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 154.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 270.0 62.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 265.0 4.3 265.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 2.3 222.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 70.4 56.8	0.0 28.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 6.0 0.0 24.0 56.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 107.0 31.0 6.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 114.0 285.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.9 0.0 3.3 6.2	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 0.0 230.0 230.0 257.0 194.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 3.6	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 y As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia	Ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p te took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU
A Iowa H Iowa St A Iowa St H Texas A&M H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis H Middle Tenn A Southern Misse H Arkansas	0.0 20.0 58.5 \$ 30.0 0.0 34.5 0.0 43.5 38.0 34.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 45.0 13.0 32.5	0.0 168.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 154.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 270.0 62.0 312.5	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 255.0 4.3 225.0 4.0 309.5 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 70.4 56.8 60.0	0.0 28.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 6.0 0.0 24.0 56.0 17.5	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 135.0 107.0 31.0 66.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 114.0 285.0 99.5	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.9 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 0.0 230.0 230.0 257.0 194.0 153.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 3.6 1.7	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yj As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings I can be misleading. In the re are the learns that hav SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St	Ach team. For example lass because they faced the te eupcoming weeks well p e took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo	A Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY ear's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Southern Miss H Aidde Tenns A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Carolina	0.0 20.0 58.5 5 30.0 0.0 34.5 38.0 34.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 45.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 13.0 0.0 13.5 0.0 0.0 13.5 13.5 0.0 0.0 13.5 15	0.0 168.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 299.0 129.5 165.0 154.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 270.0 62.0 312.5 0.0 228.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 255.0 4.0 309.5 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 2.3 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 178.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 70.4 56.8 60.0 70.4 56.7	0.0 28.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 6.0 0.0 24.0 56.0 17.5 0.0 10.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 107.0 31.0 66.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 114.0 285.0 99.5 0.0 99.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.9 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 2.8	0.0 229.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 0.0 230.0 230.0 257.0 194.0 153.0 0.0 194.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 6.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 y As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear rrush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p te took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Bulfalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Caroling A Tulsa	0.0 20.0 58.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 34.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0	0.0 168.0 208.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 154.0 0.0 154.0 0.0 270.0 62.0 312.5 0.0 228.0 51.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 265.0 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.5 205.0 2.5 205.0 2.3 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 2.3 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.2 177.5	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 70.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 57.1 48.8	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 6.0 0.0 24.0 56.0 17.5 0.0 10.0 34.0	0.0 228.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 107.0 31.0 66.0 0.0 139.0 139.0 285.0 99.5 0.0 99.0 233.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 9 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 2.8 4.4	0.0 229.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 308.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 0.0 230.0 230.0 257.0 194.0 153.0 0.00 194.0 194.0 194.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.7 0.0 0.0 2.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p te took on the toughest & TUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St	A Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yre easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY aar's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississipi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis H Aidaoram Miss A Vanderbilt H South Caroline A Tulsa H Oklahoma A Ohio St	0.0 20.0 58.5 5 30.0 0.0 34.5 38.0 34.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 45.0 13.0 32.5 0.0 27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 208.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 129.5 154.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 383.2 0.4 303.5 4.3 225.0 4.4 309.5 0.0 0.0 0.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 177.5 0.0 178.0 2.1 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 5.5 120.5 0.6 178.0 2.1 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 5.8 121.5 0.0 0.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 0.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 0.0 53.8 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 57.1.8 48.4 44.4 0.0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 0.0 24.0 56.0 17.5 0.0 24.0 34.0 35.5 0.0	0.0 228.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 107.0 31.0 66.0 0.0 139.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 114.0 285.0 99.0 233.0 103.5 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.9 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 2.8 4.4 4.5 0.0	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 0.0 230.0 230.0 230.0 257.0 194.0 153.0 194.0 163.0 194.0 163.0 173.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 y As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse	Ach team. For example lass because they faced the te eupcoming weeks we'll p e took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana	AANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedules of trush rovide examples of this ye easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, FI 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA Ly ear's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Southern Miss H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss A Vanderbilt H South Carolina A Valderbilt H South Carolina A Oklahoma A Ohio St H California	0.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 58.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 34.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 34.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 34.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 38.0 34.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 5.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 5.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 5.5 0.0 0.0 43.5 5.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 5.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5	0.0 168.0 208.0 208.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 187.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 382.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 265.0 4.3 225.0 4.3 225.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 2.3 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 178.0 2.1 222.0 5.8 121.5 0.0 0.0 3.0 415.5	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 55.8 860.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 60.0 0.0 55.8 860.0 0.0 66.3	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 12.0 0.0 24.0 17.5 0.0 0.0 17.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 103.0 107.0 113.0 0.0 113.0 0.0 113.0 0.0 113.0 0.0 113.0 0.0 113.0 0.0 99.0 233.0 103.5 2 3.0 103.5 0.0 99.0 233.0 103.5 10.0 233.0 10.0 233.0 10.0 233.0 10.0 233.0 23.0 23.0 20.0 23.0 20.0 20.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.9 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 2.8 4.4 4.5 0.0 5.5	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 230.0 230.0 230.0 230.0 230.0 230.0 142.0 142.0 142.0 143.0 153.0 194.0 153.0 194.0 153.0 194.0 153.0 194.0 155.0 194.0 195.	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 3.6 1.7 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that hav SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo	Ach team. For example lass because they faced the te eupcoming weeks well p e took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Bulfalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St	A Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY aar's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois
A Iowa H Iowa St. A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St. H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St. A Ball St. H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Carolina A Ohio St. H California A Massachusettt H Kansas St.	0.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 59.5 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 55.5 50.0 50.0 55.5 50.0 50.0 55.5 50.0 50	0.0 168.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 154.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 154.0 0.0 229.0 312.5 0.0 228.0 310.5 0.0 0 310.5 0.0 0 310.5 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 383.2 0.0 0.0 0.3 333.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 4.0 309.5 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 2.3 222.0 6.0 178.0 0.1 222.0 5.8 121.5 0.0 0.0 3.2 1225.0 3.4 112.0 3.4 112.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 66.7 71.6 0.0 70.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 70.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 77.1 48.8 44.4 0.0 0.6 37.1 48.8	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 12.0 0.0 24.0 56.0 17.5 0.0 24.0 56.0 17.5 0.0 34.0 34.0 37.0 37.0 45.5 25.5	0.0 228.0 0.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 285.0 283.0 130.5 0.0 233.0 130.5 0.0 180.0 233.0 180.0 233.0 180.0 233.0 180.0 233.0 180.0 233.0 180.0 233.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.9 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 2.8 4.4 4.5 0.0 5.5 8.9 4.7	0.0 229.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 237.0 194.0 153.0 0.0 194.0 155.0 194.0 155.0 0.0 257.0 194.0 155.0 205.0 179.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 3.6 1.7 0.0 2.3 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig of, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings I can be misleading. In the re are the teams that hav SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the te eupcoming weeks well p e took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU	A Note Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FlU 16 USC 17 San Jose St	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY aar's statistical disparities ear's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington
A Iowa H Iowa St A Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Aidansas A Vanderbilt H South Caroline A Tulsa H Oklahoma A Ohio St H California A Massachusetts A Florida Atlanticd	0.0 20.0 58.5 59.5 50.0 5	0.0 168.0 208.0 208.0 209.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 265.0 4.3 225.0 4.4 309.5 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 2.1 222.0 5.8 121.5 0.4 10.0 3.0 112.0 3.4 112.0 3.2 292.5 3.1 147.5	0.0 68.8 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 70.4 56.8 0.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 60.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 48.4 40.0 66.3 46.7 59.8 54.2	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 6.0 0.0 24.0 0.0 24.0 0.0 34.0 34.0 37.0 32.5 32.5 32.5	0.0 228.0 0.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 107.0 31.0 66.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 0.0 3.9 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.2 8.5 4.4 4.5 0.0 5.5 8.9 4.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 0.0 230.0 0.0 257.0 194.0 163.0 0.0 272.0 272.0 205.0 205.0 201.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.6 1.7 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p pe took on the toughest & TAlabama Pettsburgh Hawaii Washington St Florida St Georgia Toedo Buffalo N Illinois N Illinois N Northwestern Cohio St Kansas St Louisiana S Virginia Notre Dame T BYU S Miami, Fl	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Ball St H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Carolina A Ohio St H California A Massachusetts H Kansas St A Kansas	0.0 20.0 58.5 53.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 13.0 34.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 13.0 25.0 27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 0.0 33.5 5 27.0 25.0 27.0 25.0 27.0 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 168.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 332.0 0.4 275.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 265.0 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.5 205.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 178.0 2.1 222.0 5.8 121.5 0.0 0.0 3.0 415.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 209.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 44.4 4.5 66.3 46.7 69.8 44.5 4.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2	0.0 28.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 6.0 24.0 56.0 17.5 0.0 34.0 3.5 0.0 34.0 3.5 0.0 37.0 45.0 25.5 32.5 30.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 270.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 1135.0 0.0 0.0 107.0 31.0 0.0 1.38.0 0.0 1.39.0 0.0 1.39.0 2.33.0 1.03.5 0.0 2.33.0 1.08.0 3.93.0 1.96.0 2.06.5 1.80.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 6.2 5.5 8.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 5.5 8.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 3.3 5.5 8.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 250.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 153.5 194.0 155.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 163.0 173.0 272.0 201.0 0.0 0.0 201.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.9 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 6.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.6 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p pe took on the toughest & TAlabama Pittsburgh Hawaii Alabama Pittsburgh Hawaii Alabama Pittsburgh Hawaii Alabama Pittsburgh Hawaii Awahington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FlU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana
A Iowa H Iowa St. A Iowa St. A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St. H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St. A Ball St. H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Carolina A Ohio St. H California A Massachusett: H Kansas St. A Florida Atlantic H USF A Kansas H Rice	0.0 20.0 58.5 53.5 53.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 45.0 13.0 32.5 0.0 27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 0.3 3.5 5 9.5 21.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 0.0 0.0 129.5 0.0 0.0 129.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 333.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 4.4 309.5 4.0 309.5 5.5 205.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.1 178.0 0.2 1222.0 5.8 121.5 0.0 0.0 3.4 112.0 3.4 112.0 3.4 209.5 3.1 147.5 8.1 209.0	0.0 68.8 72.0 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 70.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.57.1 48.8 44.4 0.0 66.3 46.7 69.8 54.2 47.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 139.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 139.0 285.0 299.5 0.0 233.0 133.0 285.0 233.0 138.0 233.0 138.0 233.0 138.0 233.0 138.0 233.0 138.0 233.0 138.0 233.0 138.0 20.0 233.0 139.0 233.0 23.0 2	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.9 0.0 3.3 6.2 0.0 2.8 4.4 5.5 8.9 0.0 5.5 8.9 9.0 0.0 3.3 5.7 7 4.3	0.0 229.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 142.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 0.0 155.0 0.0 0.0 155.5 0.0 194.0 155.0 0.0 0.0 194.0 155.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 194.0 155.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 1.7 0.0 2.3 8.6 1.7 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current or RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING	Ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p to took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington	A AVELINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke bughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this ye easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana
A Iowa H Iowa St. A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss A Vanderbilt H South Caroline A Vanderbilt H South Caroline A Ohio St H California A Florida Atlantic H USF A Kansas St H Rice A Kent St H LSU	0.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 299.0 129.5 154.0 0.0 2270.0 62.0 310.5 51.0 310.5 51.0 99.0 99.0 1187.0 0.0 99.0 1187.0 0.0 99.0 1187.0 0.0 99.0 1187.0 0.0 99.0 1187.0 0.0 99.0 1187.0 0.0 99.0 1187.0 0.0 99.0 1187.0 0.0 1187.0 0.0 1187.	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.3 225.0 0.5 205.0 2.3 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 178.0 2.1 222.0 5.8 121.5 3.4 112.0 3.0 415.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 209.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0 57.1 48.8 44.4 4.4 0.0 0 66.3 46.7 69.8 42.4 7.5 0.0 0 0.0 57.2 0.0 0.0 57.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 24.0 24.0 25.6 0.0 24.0 24.0 34.0 34.0 34.0 37.0 34.0 37.0 32.5	0.0 228.0 0.0 270.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 107.0 31.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 140.0 285.0 99.0 233.0 103.5 100.5 393.0 196.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 6.2 3.5 6.2 3.5 6.2 3.5 6.2 3.5 7 5.5 8.9 4.4 4.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 3.3 6.2 3.5 7 5.7 5.	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 230.0 230.0 230.0 257.0 194.0 153.0 270.0 272.0 205.0 177.0 205.0 179.0 245.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 136.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.6 1.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 4 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p pe took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FlU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES	d #89 in the NCAA in rust offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, FI 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana
A Iowa H Iowa St. A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St. H Auburn A Washington H/T Illinois A UCF H Penn St. A Ball St. H North Texas A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H Southern Miss H Oklahoma A Ohio St. H California A Massachusetts H Kansas St. A Kent St. H LSU A Notre Dame	0.0 20.0 58.5 53.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 13.0 34.5 38.0 0.0 13.0 25.0 25.0 7.0 25.0 33.5 5 0.0 0 0.0 33.5 5 27.0 25.0 27.0 25.0 33.5 5 21.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 168.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 3392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.5 205.0 5.3 225.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 2.1 222.0 5.3 121.5 0.0 0.0 3.0 415.5 3.0 415.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 134.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 70.4 56.8 66.3 70.4 56.8 66.3 66.3 70.4 70.4 56.8 66.3 70.4 70.0 70.4 71.5 71.6 70.0 70.5 71.5 71.6 70.0 70.5 71.5 71.5 71.5 71.5 71.5 71.5 71.5 71	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 270.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 1135.0 0.0 0.0 1107.0 31.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 202.5 0.0 233.0 103.5 0.0 233.0 108.0 393.0 198.0 206.5 180.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 160.0 160.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 2.8 4.4 4.5 0.0 5.5 8.9 4.7 5.7 4.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 250.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 257.0 194.0 153.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 272.0 201.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.9 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 1.7 0.0 2.3 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH OFFENSES	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that hav SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES 112 Baylor 113 Oregon	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the te upcoming weeks well pe to took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech	A Notre Dame ranke bughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yre easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 20 Kentucky 20 Kentucky 20 Kentucky	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY aar's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING DEFENSES 112 UCLA 113 Tulane
A Iowa H Iowa St. A Iowa St. A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis H Middle Tenn A South Centre Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Centre Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Centre Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Centre Miss H Oklahoma A Ohio St H California A Florida Atlantic H USF A Kansas St H Rice A Kent St H Elsu A Notre Dame H Purdue A UTEP	0.0 20.0 0.0 0.58.5 5.30.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 43.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 43.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 43.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 43.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 43.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 43.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 4.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 4.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 4.5 5.3 8.0 0.0 0.0 4.5 5.0 1.3 0.0 2.5 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 3.3 5.5 2.1 0.0 0.0 3.4 5.5 2.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.4 5.5 2.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 168.0 208.0 208.0 209.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 4.0 309.5 4.0 309.5 4.0 309.5 4.0 30.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 178.0 0.1 122.0 5.8 121.5 0.0 0.0 3.4 112.0 3.2 292.5 3.1 147.5 8.1 209.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 68.8 72.0 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 57.1 84.8 60.0 0.0 0.5 7.1 84.8 60.0 0.0 0.5 7.1 84.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 85.8 8 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 85.8 8 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 85.8 8 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 85.8 8 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 107.0 31.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 2.8 9 4.4 4.5 0.0 5.5 8.9 4.7 5.7 4.3 0.0 0.0 3.3 5.7 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 250.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 257.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 154.0 272.0 272.0 205.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.9 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 1.7 0.0 2.3 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH 0FFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p pe took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St	d #89 in the NCAA in rust offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina
A Iowa H Iowa St. A Iowa St. A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St. H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St. A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Xonderbilt H South Caroline A Tulsa H California A Massachusetts H Kalifornia A Florida Atlantic H Suth Caroline A Florida Atlantic H California A Kent St H LSU A Notre Dame H Purdue A UTEP H New Mexico S	0.0 20.0 58.5 53.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 13.0 34.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 13.0 32.5 50.0 0.0 27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 0.0 33.5 521.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 25.0 521.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 154.0 0.0 227.0 0.0 227.0 0.0 227.0 0.0 228.0 0.0 20.0 10.0 0.0 228.0 0.0 228.0 0.0 228.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 228.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 3392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 333.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.3 225.0 0.4 2.404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 2.3 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 178.0 2.1 222.0 5.8 121.5 3.4 112.0 3.0 415.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 134.0 2.93.0 5.1 5.1 314.0 2.93.0	0.0 68.8 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 55.8 60.0 0 57.1 48.8 40.4 0.0 57.1 48.8 40.0 66.3 46.7 69.8 54.2 47.5 0.0 0 0.0 65.0 54.7 62.5 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 54.7 50.0 50.0 51.8 50.0 51.8 50.0 50.0 51.8 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 24.0 24.0 24.0 34.0 34.0 37.0 34.0 37.0 34.0 37.0 32.5 30.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 139.0 233.0 103.5 233.0 103.5 200.5 139.0 200.5 10	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 6.2 3.5 6.2 3.5 6.2 3.5 6.2 3.5 7 5.7 4.4 4.4 4.5 0.0 0.0 2.8 8.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 3.3 3.3 6.2 3.5 7 5.5 7 5.5 7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 304.0 300.0 324.5 142.0 230.0 230.0 230.0 250.0 194.0 153.0 194.0 163.0 177.0 205.0 179.0 245.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 136.0 294.0 256.0 0.0 127.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current or RUSH 0FFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH 0FFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p to took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke bughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY aar's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING DEFENSES 112 UCLA 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall
A Iowa H Iowa St. A Iowa St. A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St. H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St. A Ball St. H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Akansas A Vanderbilt H South Carolina A Ohio St. H California A Massachusettt H Kansas St. A Florida Atlantic H USF A Kent St. H LSU A Notre Dame H Purdue A UTEP H New Mexico S A Western Michi H Northwesterm	0.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 45.0 13.0 32.5 0.0 27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 34.5 5.0 0.0 27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 154.0 0.0 154.0 0.0 174.0 0.0 0.2 228.0 310.5 10.0 0.0 228.0 310.5 10.0 0.0 311.5 154.0 0.0 0.0 312.5 154.0 0.0 312.5 154.0 0.0 0.0 312.5 154.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 330.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 178.0 0.1 222.0 5.8 121.5 0.0 0.0 3.4 112.0 3.4 112.0 3.4 120.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 <td>0.0 68.8 72.0 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 70.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 44.4 0.0 0.5 7.1 48.8 44.4 40.0 0.5 7.1 48.8 44.4 40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 44.4 40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td> <td>0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 24.0 17.5 0.0 17.5 0.0 17.5 0.0 37.0 45.0 10.0 37.0 45.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 10.0 1</td> <td>0.0 228.0 0.0 202.0 0.0 107.0 31.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 139.0 139.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0</td> <td>0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 2.8 4.4 4.5 0.0 5.5 8.9 4.7 5.7 4.3 0.0 0.0 3.7 5.7 4.3 1.8 0.0 0.0 3.3 5.5 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 5.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.8 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.7 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td> <td>0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 257.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 153.5 0.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 173.0 0.0 173.0 0.0 173.0 0.0 170.0 245.0 0.0 120.0 127.0 254.0 20.0 127.0 256.0 0.0 127.0 127.0 127.0 127.0 12</td> <td>0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.2 3.6 0.0 0.0 3.6 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td> <td>These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current rr RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH OFFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas St 117 Nebraska</td> <td>WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi 117 Duke</td> <td>THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear rrush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 UTEP</td> <td>TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p te took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas</td> <td>ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 VICLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas</td> <td>d #89 in the NCAA in rust offenses in the NCAA LY ear's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army</td>	0.0 68.8 72.0 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 70.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 44.4 0.0 0.5 7.1 48.8 44.4 40.0 0.5 7.1 48.8 44.4 40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 44.4 40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 24.0 17.5 0.0 17.5 0.0 17.5 0.0 37.0 45.0 10.0 37.0 45.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 10.0 1	0.0 228.0 0.0 202.0 0.0 107.0 31.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 139.0 139.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 2.8 4.4 4.5 0.0 5.5 8.9 4.7 5.7 4.3 0.0 0.0 3.7 5.7 4.3 1.8 0.0 0.0 3.3 5.5 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 5.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.8 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.7 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.8 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 257.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 153.5 0.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 173.0 0.0 173.0 0.0 173.0 0.0 170.0 245.0 0.0 120.0 127.0 254.0 20.0 127.0 256.0 0.0 127.0 127.0 127.0 127.0 12	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.2 3.6 0.0 0.0 3.6 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current rr RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH OFFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas St 117 Nebraska	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi 117 Duke	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear rrush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 UTEP	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example las because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p te took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 VICLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas	d #89 in the NCAA in rust offenses in the NCAA LY ear's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army
A Iowa H Iowa St. A Iowa St. A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Morthern Illinoi H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H Southern Miss H Arkansas A Oklahoma A Ohio St H California A Kansas St A Florida Atlantic H USF A Kent St H Rice A Notre Dame H Purdue A Western Michi H Northwestern A UTSA	0.0 20.0 58.5 53.0 53.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 45.0 13.0 32.5 0.0 27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 0.0 33.5 5.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 33.5 5.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 208.0 208.0 209.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 187.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 303.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 4.0 309.5 4.0 309.5 4.0 309.5 4.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 178.0 3.1 122.0 5.8 121.5 0.0 0.0 3.4 112.0 3.4 112.0 3.4 120.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 57.1 84.8 40.0 0.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 44.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 57.2 54.2 47.5 54.2 47.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 85.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 85.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 85.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 85.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 202.0 0.0 0.0 135.0 107.0 31.0 66.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 128.0 99.5 0.0 99.5 0.0 139.0 128.0 99.5 0.0 139.0 139.0 139.0 139.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 180.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 4.7 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 2.8 5.5 0.0 2.8 4.4 4.5 0.0 5.5 0.0 2.8 4.7 0.0 0.0 3.3 0.0 2.8 4.7 0.0 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0	0.0 229.0 0.76.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 155.5 250.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 155.5 194.0 155.0 0.0 173.0 0.0 272.0 205.0 177.0 205.0 177.0 205.0 136.0 294.0 0.0 0.0 116.0 127.0 116.0 294.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 127.0 116.0 127.0 127.0 <t< td=""><td>0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 1.7 0.0 2.3 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.3 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td><td>These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current or RUSH 0FFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH 0FFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas St 117 Nebraska 118 Texas Tech 119 Army</td><td>WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi 117 Duke 118 Texas A&M 119 Wisconsin</td><td>THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 Ilane</td><td>TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p to took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas 118 Marshall 119 Army</td><td>ANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke bughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last yr PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas 118 Wisconsin 119 Missouri</td><td>d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY parks statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING DEFENSES 112 UCLA 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army 118 North Texas 119 Ball St</td></t<>	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.9 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 1.7 0.0 2.3 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.3 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current or RUSH 0FFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH 0FFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas St 117 Nebraska 118 Texas Tech 119 Army	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi 117 Duke 118 Texas A&M 119 Wisconsin	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 Ilane	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p to took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas 118 Marshall 119 Army	ANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke bughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last yr PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas 118 Wisconsin 119 Missouri	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY parks statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING DEFENSES 112 UCLA 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army 118 North Texas 119 Ball St
A Iowa H Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Ball St H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H California A Ohio St H California A Kansas St H Rice A Kent St H LSU A Notre Dame H Purdue A UTEP H New Mexico S A Western Michi H Northwestern A UTSA H Arizona A Oregon St	0.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 53.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 34.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 34.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 34.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 32.5 7.0 7.0 25.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 0.0 33.5 50.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 33.5 50.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.1 168.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 163.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 187.0 62.0 312.5 0.0 62.0 312.5 0.0 310.5 51.0 310.5 154.0 99.0 100.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 0 0.0 134.0 152.0 96.0 134.0 157.0 0.0 0 157.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 3392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.00 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.4 409.5 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 5.3 225.0 0.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 0.1 778.0 3.1 14705 3.1 14705 3.1 1475 3.1 1475 3.1 1475 3.1 1470 3.1 314.0 2.5 253.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 293.0 5.1 314.0<	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 53.8 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 53.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 55.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 55.8 60.0 0.0 70.4 55.8 60.0 0.0 70.4 55.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 70.4 55.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 43.5 66.7 71.6 66.3 66.3 70.4 55.8 8 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 43.5 66.7 71.6 66.7 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 66.3 71.6 70.4 55.8 8 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 4 55.8 8 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	0.0 28.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 12.0 0.0 12.0 0.0 6.0 25.5 0.0 56.0 17.5 0.0 34.0 35.5 0.0 34.0 35.5 0.0 34.0 35.5 0.0 34.0 35.5 32.5 33.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 141.0 144.0 26.0 27.0 13.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 2270.0 202.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 1135.0 0.0 1107.0 31.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 233.0 103.5 0.0 103.5 0.0 103.5 0.0 103.5 0.0 103.5 0.0 103.5 103.0 196.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 3.7 1.1 8 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 5.5 8.9 4.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.7 4.3 0.0 0.0 4.3 3.9 4.6 2.4 0.0 0.0	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 300.0 324.5 142.0 250.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 245.0 194.0 155.5 194.0 153.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 201.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 116.0 301.0 84.0 10.0 <td>0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.6 3.6 1.7 0.0 3.6 1.7 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0</td> <td>These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current rr RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH OFFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas St 117 Nebraska 118 Texas Tech</td> <td>WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS 0FFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 115 Duke 118 Texas A&M</td> <td>THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 Ilane</td> <td>TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the to upcoming weeks well p pe took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas 117 Kansas 117 Kansas 117 Kansas 118 Marshall</td> <td>ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FlU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas 118 Wisconsin</td> <td>d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING DEFENSES 112 UCLA 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army 118 North Texas</td>	0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.6 3.6 1.7 0.0 3.6 1.7 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current rr RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH OFFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas St 117 Nebraska 118 Texas Tech	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS 0FFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 115 Duke 118 Texas A&M	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING OFFENSES 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 Ilane	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the to upcoming weeks well p pe took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas 117 Kansas 117 Kansas 117 Kansas 118 Marshall	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FlU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas 118 Wisconsin	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING DEFENSES 112 UCLA 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army 118 North Texas
A Iowa St A Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphisi H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Carolina A Ohio St H California A Massachusetts H Kansas H Notre Dame H Purdue A Notre Dame H New Mexico S A Western Michi H Northwestern A Hortwastern	0.0 20.0 0.0 0.58.5 5.30.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 45.0 13.0 32.5 0.0 0.27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 0.27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 0.0 0.27.0 34.5 5.0.0 0.0 0.27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 154.0 0.0 154.0 0.0 174.0 0.0 0.2 270.0 0.0 228.0 312.5 0.0 312.5 0.0 312.5 0.0 312.5 154.0 310.5 154.0 310.5 154.0 0.0 0.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 0.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 330.2 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 205.0 5.5 205.0 5.5 205.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 6.0 177.5 0.1 222.0 6.3 121.5 0.0 0.0 3.1 147.5 8.1 209.0 3.4 112.0 3.4 112.0 3.4 120.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.5 21314.0 <td>0.0 68.8 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 74.3 76.6 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 48.8 40.4 66.3 46.7 66.3 46.7 63.8 54.2 47.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td> <td>0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 0.0 25.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0</td> <td>0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 107.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0</td> <td>0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 4.4 4.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td> <td>0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 163.0 177.0 205.0 177.0 245.0 0.0 256.0 0.0 116.0 301.0 177.0 116.0 301.0</td> <td>0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td> <td>These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH OFFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas Tech 119 Army 120 Duke 121 Stanford 122 Troy</td> <td>WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi 117 Duke 118 Texas A&M 119 Wisconsin 120 Boston College 121 Baylor 122 Ball St</td> <td>THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 UTEP 118 Texas St 119 Tulane 120 Duke 121 Tennessee 122 Marshall</td> <td>TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the to upcoming weeks well p pe took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas 117 Kansas 117 Kansas 118 Marshall 119 Army 120 Tulane 121 Missouri 122 Tennessee</td> <td>ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke bughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas 118 Wisconsin 119 Missouri 120 Connecticut 121 Army 122 UTEP</td> <td>d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, FI 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING DEFENSES 112 UCLA 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army 118 North Texas 119 Ball St 120 NC State 121 Connecticut 122 Troy</td>	0.0 68.8 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 74.3 76.6 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 48.8 40.4 66.3 46.7 66.3 46.7 63.8 54.2 47.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 0.0 25.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 107.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 4.4 4.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 163.0 177.0 205.0 177.0 245.0 0.0 256.0 0.0 116.0 301.0 177.0 116.0 301.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current r RUSH OFFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH OFFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas Tech 119 Army 120 Duke 121 Stanford 122 Troy	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi 117 Duke 118 Texas A&M 119 Wisconsin 120 Boston College 121 Baylor 122 Ball St	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear r ush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 UTEP 118 Texas St 119 Tulane 120 Duke 121 Tennessee 122 Marshall	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the to upcoming weeks well p pe took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas 117 Kansas 117 Kansas 118 Marshall 119 Army 120 Tulane 121 Missouri 122 Tennessee	ARANKINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke bughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas 118 Wisconsin 119 Missouri 120 Connecticut 121 Army 122 UTEP	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, FI 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING DEFENSES 112 UCLA 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army 118 North Texas 119 Ball St 120 NC State 121 Connecticut 122 Troy
A Iowa St A Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Arkansas A Vanderbilt H South Carolina A Tulsa H Oklahoma A Ohio St H California A Florida Atlantic H Suschusetts H Kansas H Notre Dame H Purdue A Western Michie H Notre Dame H New Mexico S A Western Michie <tr< td=""><td>0.0 20.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 13.0 13.0 27.0 7.0 27.0 7.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0</td><td>0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 134.0 0.0 270.0 62.0 310.5 0.0 228.0 51.0 310.5 0.0 100.0 228.0 51.0 310.5 0.0 100.0 228.0 100.0 115.0 0.0 228.0 100.0 115.0 0.0 115.0 0.0 115.0 0.0 0.0 115.0 0.0 0.0 115.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0</td><td>0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 3392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.00 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.3 225.0 0.5 205.0 5.5 205.0 2.3 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 0.1 775.0 0.2 2.22.0 5.4 121.2 2.1 222.0 5.3 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 140.0 2.9 150.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.5 2</td><td>0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 74.3 55.8 0.0 74.3 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 66.3 57.1 48.8 44.4 56.2 57.1 66.3 54.5 5</td><td>0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0</td><td>0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 100.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0</td><td>0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td><td>0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 250.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 142.0 142.0 153.0 0.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 0.1 0.201.0 177.0 201.0 177.0 205.0 179.0 204.0 245.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 136.0 256.0 116.0 301.0 225.0 84.0 176.0 221.0</td><td>0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0</td><td>These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current rr RUSH 0FFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas St 117 Nebraska 118 Texas Tech 119 Army 20 Duke 21 Stanford 122 Troy 223 Rutgers 124 S Alabama</td><td>WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS 0FFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi 117 Duke 118 Texas A&M 119 Wisconsin 120 Boston College 121 Baylor 122 Ball St 123 Connecticut 124 Indiana</td><td>THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear rrush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING 0 Have 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 UTEP 118 Texas St 119 Tulane 120 Duke 121 Tennessee 122 Marshall 123 Arizona St 124 Wisconsin</td><td>TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p te took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Fexas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas 116 North Texas 117 Kansas 118 Marshall 119 Army 20 Tulane 121 Missouri 122 Tennessee 123 Troy 124 Arizona St</td><td>A MAINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas 118 Wisconsin 119 Missouri 120 Connecticut 121 Army 122 UTEP 123 Houston 124 Maryland</td><td>d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army 118 North Texas 119 Ball St 120 NC State 121 Connecticut 127 Navy 128 Navy 124 Maryland</td></tr<>	0.0 20.0 20.0 0.0 58.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 0.0 13.0 13.0 27.0 7.0 27.0 7.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 0.0 208.0 163.0 0.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 134.0 0.0 270.0 62.0 310.5 0.0 228.0 51.0 310.5 0.0 100.0 228.0 51.0 310.5 0.0 100.0 228.0 100.0 115.0 0.0 228.0 100.0 115.0 0.0 115.0 0.0 115.0 0.0 0.0 115.0 0.0 0.0 115.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 3392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.00 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.0 0.0 4.3 225.0 0.5 205.0 5.5 205.0 2.3 222.0 6.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 0.1 775.0 0.2 2.22.0 5.4 121.2 2.1 222.0 5.3 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 147.5 3.1 140.0 2.9 150.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.5 2	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 74.3 55.8 0.0 74.3 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 82.4 56.8 66.3 57.1 48.8 44.4 56.2 57.1 66.3 54.5 5	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 100.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 3.3 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 6.2 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 229.0 0.0 176.5 256.0 0.0 308.0 0.0 324.5 142.0 250.0 230.0 0.0 230.0 0.0 142.0 142.0 153.0 0.0 0.0 153.0 0.0 0.1 0.201.0 177.0 201.0 177.0 205.0 179.0 204.0 245.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 136.0 256.0 116.0 301.0 225.0 84.0 176.0 221.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current rr RUSH 0FFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas St 117 Nebraska 118 Texas Tech 119 Army 20 Duke 21 Stanford 122 Troy 223 Rutgers 124 S Alabama	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS 0FFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi 117 Duke 118 Texas A&M 119 Wisconsin 120 Boston College 121 Baylor 122 Ball St 123 Connecticut 124 Indiana	THE TOUGHES ht statistical ranking of ear rrush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the re are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi SCORING 0 Have 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 UTEP 118 Texas St 119 Tulane 120 Duke 121 Tennessee 122 Marshall 123 Arizona St 124 Wisconsin	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p te took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Fexas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas 116 North Texas 117 Kansas 118 Marshall 119 Army 20 Tulane 121 Missouri 122 Tennessee 123 Troy 124 Arizona St	A MAINGS? st year Notre Dame ranke oughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississippi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas 118 Wisconsin 119 Missouri 120 Connecticut 121 Army 122 UTEP 123 Houston 124 Maryland	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army 118 North Texas 119 Ball St 120 NC State 121 Connecticut 127 Navy 128 Navy 124 Maryland
A Iowa St A Alabama H Texas A&M H Texas A&M A Northern Illinoi H Idaho A Mississippi St H Auburn A Washington H/† Illinois A UCF H Penn St A Ball St H North Texas A Memphis H Middle Tenn A Southern Miss H Aldohana A Vanderbilt H South Carolina A Tulsa H Oklahoma A Ohio St H California A Massachusetts H Kansas H Nuse A Kensas St A Kent St H LSU A Notre Dame H Purdue A UTEP H Nortwe	0.0 20.0 58.5 53.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 43.5 38.0 0.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 32.5 0.0 27.0 7.0 25.0 0.0 33.5 5.21.0 0.0 33.5 5.21.0 0.0 0.0 33.5 21.0 0.0 0.0 33.5 21.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 27.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 168.0 208.0 208.0 209.0 0.0 299.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 129.5 165.0 0.0 228.0 310.5 51.0 310.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 99.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 118.5 154.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.8 242.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 3392.0 3.8 275.0 0.0 0.00 6.3 133.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 363.5 4.3 225.0 0.4 404.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 404.0 0.5 205.0 5.3 225.0 0.0 177.5 0.0 0.0 0.1 178.0 0.2 220.5 3.1 14705 3.1 14705 3.1 14705 3.1 14705 3.1 14705 3.1 14705 3.1 314.0 2.5 253.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 254.0 0.3	0.0 68.8 0.0 72.0 72.0 72.0 61.0 0.0 74.3 66.7 71.6 0.0 82.4 0.0 82.4 0.0 0.0 70.4 84.8 60.0 0.0 0.0 57.1 48.8 44.4 0.0 66.3 54.2 47.5 69.8 54.2 47.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0 28.0 0.0 29.5 27.0 0.0 16.5 0.0 25.5 0.0 12.0 0.0 24.0 17.5 0.0 17.5 0.0 37.0 37.0 37.0 37.0 37.0 37.0 37.0 37.0 0.0 37.0 0.0 37.0 0.0 37.0 0.0 37.0 0.0 37.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 53.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 228.0 0.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 273.0 107.0 31.0 0.0 135.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 0.0 139.0 130.0 139.0 130.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 5.9 4.7 0.0 0.0 4.4 4.0 0.0 3.7 1.1 1.8 0.0 3.3 0.0 2.8 4.4 4.5 0.0 2.8 4.4 4.5 0.0 5.5 7 4.3 0.0 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0	0.0 229.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 6.9 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 1.2 3.6 1.5 0.0 10.7 0.0 5.6 1.7 0.0 2.3 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	These rankings go far b defense allowing 170 yr As you can see, the NC These are the current or RUSH 0FFENSES 1 UTEP 2 Buffalo 3 Rice 4 UTSA 5 Virginia 6 Pittsburgh 7 Baylor 8 UNLV 9 Louisiana 10 Ball St 11 Connecticut 12 Ohio St 13 BYU 14 S Carolina 15 Memphis 16 S Miss 17 N Mexico St 18 Texas A&M 19 Utah St 20 Florida RUSH 0FFENSES 112 USC 113 Kansas 114 Oregon St 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 115 Wake Forest 116 Texas St 117 Nebraska 118 Texas Tech 119 Army 20 Duke 21 Stanford 122 Troy 123 Rufgers	WHAT ARE eyond the NCAA's straig og, but ranked #40 in ou AA's statistical rankings ankings for this year. He PASS OFFENSES 1 Navy 2 Rice 3 S Carolina 4 FAU 5 Miami, Oh 6 Stanford 7 Georgia 8 Hawaii 9 Texas Tech 10 Pittsburgh 11 Temple 12 BYU 13 Ohio 14 Buffalo 15 Oklahoma 16 Florida 17 USC 18 UAB 19 Syracuse 20 Virginia PASS OFFENSES 112 Tennessee 113 Kansas St 114 Fresno St 115 Utah St 116 Mississippi 117 Duke 118 Texas A&M 119 Wisconsin 120 Boston College 121 Baylor 122 Ball St 123 Connecticut	THE TOUGHES th statistical ranking of ear r rush defense rankings i can be misleading. In the te are the teams that have SCORING OFFENSES 1 Rice 2 Buffalo 3 Pittsburgh 4 Navy 5 S Carolina 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 Georgia 9 N Mexico St 10 BYU 11 FAU 12 Florida 13 Washington St 14 Syracuse 15 Alabama 16 Toledo 17 Temple 18 UAB 19 Miami, Oh 20 Mississippi 112 Baylor 113 Oregon 114 Kent St 115 W Michigan 116 Wake Forest 117 UTEP 118 Texas St 119 Tulane 120 Duke 121 Tennessee 122 Marshall 123 Arizona St	TOPPONENT I ach team. For example lass because they faced the te upcoming weeks well p to took on the toughest & RUSH DEFENSES 1 Alabama 2 Pittsburgh 3 Hawaii 4 Washington St 5 Florida St 6 Syracuse 7 Georgia 8 Toledo 9 Buffalo 10 N Illinois 11 Northwestern 12 Ohio St 13 Kansas St 14 Louisiana 15 Virginia 16 Notre Dame 17 BYU 18 Oklahoma St 19 Miami, Fl 20 Washington RUSH DEFENSES 112 Kentucky 113 Texas Tech 114 Houston 115 Texas St 116 North Texas 117 Kansas 118 Marshall 119 Army 120 Tulane 121 Missouri 122 Tennessee 123 Troy	A Notre Dame ranke bughest schedule of rush rovide examples of this yr easiest schedules last y easiest schedules last y PASS DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 BYU 4 N Mexico St 5 Washington 6 Toledo 7 Virginia Tech 8 Miami, Fl 9 Florida St 10 Virginia 11 LSU 12 Washington St 13 S Carolina 14 Georgia 15 FIU 16 USC 17 San Jose St 18 Texas 19 Mississispi 20 Kentucky PASS DEFENSES 112 California 113 Ball St 114 Kansas St 115 UCLA 116 Marshall 117 Arkansas 118 Wisconsin 119 Missouri 120 Connecticut 121 Army 122 UTEP 123 Houston	d #89 in the NCAA in rush offenses in the NCAA LY par's statistical disparities ear: SCORING DEFENSES 1 Pittsburgh 2 Alabama 3 Washington St 4 Toledo 5 Georgia 6 Hawaii 7 Virginia 8 BYU 9 Rice 10 N Mexico St 11 Mississippi 12 Temple 13 Notre Dame 14 Buffalo 15 Miami, Fl 16 N Illinois 17 Washington 18 Syracuse 19 San Jose St 20 Louisiana SCORING DEFENSES 112 UCLA 113 Tulane 114 E Carolina 115 UTEP 116 Marshall 117 Army 118 North Texas 119 Ball St 120 NC State 121 Connecticut 122 Troy 123 Navy

NOTE: Power Plays - PP COLLEGE FOOTBALL September 12th-14th

The Power Plays Newsletter consists of forecasts that are made by a special rating system. You will see in the write-ups that we agree with the majority of the PP forecasts. However, there are times when we will disagree. We want to make it clear that this is the PP Newsletter and these forecasts are based purely on the power ratings. If the PP's rating is strong enough to be a 4 \star Play, yet we are on the other side of the game, we will clearly state that we like the other side of the game. With all that said here are this week's PP Selections.

si no salor oldo ol no gal	ne, we will clea Thursd	lary state that v lay, Septemb	ve like the oti or 12th	her side of th	ne game. With a	s are Ill that
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
TCU TEXAS TECH	120 93	229 362	26 33	+2 -2	~	E
Both teams off of solid w					ek here.	
	PLAY: TE					۷
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing 124	Passing 304	Points 36	Overs +7	Teams	
TULANE LOUISIANA TECH	210	304 292	30	+/ -1	-	١
While LT is 0-8 SU all-time						h E
as TU goes on the road on a	short wk while				ght contest.	1
NO PLA	Yards	Yards			Omenial	·
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
TROY	75 [°]	259 [°]	26	0	~~~	
ARKANSAS ST	265	299 of the SBC's o	39 lito programo	-2	- 0.0 tmc bac wa	
Big early ssn matchup h or shared the conf title the l	L8Y). The SU v	vinner in this s	eries is on ar	1 8-1 ATS rui	e ∠ uns nas wo n.	
	PLAY: AR					a
	Frida	v. Septembe	r 13th			
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	1
AIR FORCE BOISE ST	240 193	40 302	16 36	+1 +5	~	F
Since joining the MW the					ne Falcons com	e s
into this matchup after a sti	nging loss at ti	ne hands of Ut	ah St.			5
NO P	PLAY: BOIS	SE ST 36	AIR FOR	CE 16		
MARAIIEE A	алес	TUIRA	DIV C	FRIN	1477777	
			VHI O	ГМИ	100000	ļ
Call after noon on	game day fo	or your winne	ers! Just \$12	on the NC		`
MIDW	EEK I	TIP.	TES	DI	Also available	r
		Line			online!	
	Satur	day, Septemi	har 1/th			
	Yards	Yards	<u>JEI 14111</u>	Turn	Special	,
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Special Teams	Ś
EASTERN MICHIGAN	105 [~]	162 ັ	16	+3	-	
RUTGERS While PP projects the K	265	281	39	+2	~	t
		homo an oasv	22 nt home	lictory thora	are a few thing	c
that EM has in their favor. F		home an easy ed its last meet				
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A	ed its last meet ark on deck. We	ing a ssn ago e agree with l	o vs a MAC o PP and take	pp (Kent St) an the pts here.	
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC	ed its last meet ark on deck. We CHIGAN 1	ing a ssn ago e agree with l	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9	
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards	ed its last meet ork on deck. We CHIGAN 10 Yards	ing a ssn ago agree with I 6 (+) RUT	vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special	d
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC	ed its last meet ark on deck. We CHIGAN 1	ing a ssn ago e agree with l	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9	d
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237	ed its last meet ark on deck. We CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23	ing a ssn age e agree with l 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams	d F C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford f	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n	ed its last meet ark on deck. We CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs	ing a ssn age e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams	d F C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford f time. We'll pass here as we	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n	ed its last meet ark on deck. We CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believe	ing a ssn age e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playing vill stay within	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams	d F C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford f time. We'll pass here as we	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with	ed its last meet ark on deck. We CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believe	ing a ssn age e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playing vill stay within	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams	d F C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford t time. We'll pass here as we NC	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S ⁻ Yards Rushing	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believ TANFORD Yards Passing	ing a ssn agc e agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army v 9 39 ARM Points	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams VVV g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread.	d F C S
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford I time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S Yards Rushing 16	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believ TANFORD Yards Passing 173	ing a ssn agce e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 0 39 ARM Points 8	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams VVV g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special	d F C S
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford f time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI	irsť, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S ² Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS sg	ing a ssn agce e agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs -1 n and playin vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 7 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 7 a big sandwice	d F C S F F
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford f time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI	irsť, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both aç D on deck. We	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS sq Il pass here as	ing a ssn agce e agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs -1 n and playin vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 7 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 7 6 a big sandwice ear the line.	d F C S F F
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford f time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI	irsť, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S' Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We' Y: WEST V	ed its last meet rk on deck. Wk CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ Il pass here as 'IRGINIA 4	ing a ssn agce e agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST {	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams ✓✓✓✓ g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams n a big sandwice ar the line. 3	d F C S F F
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford f time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI	irsť, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S' Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both aç D on deck. We' Y: WEST V Yards	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ Il pass here as YIRGINIA 4 Yards	ing a ssn agce a gree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 0 39 ARM Points 8 47 Jads. WV me the projecte 17 GEOR	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 m and playin- vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 Turn	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifin the spread. Special Teams 1 a big sandwice ar the line. 3 Special	d F C C F F
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford f time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI	irsť, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S' Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We' Y: WEST V	ed its last meet rk on deck. Wk CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ Il pass here as 'IRGINIA 4	ing a ssn agce e agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST {	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams ✓✓✓✓ g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams n a big sandwice ar the line. 3	d F C S F F
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford f time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLAS LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S ⁻ Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We ⁻ Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 10 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believe TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ II pass here as (IRGINIA 4 Yards Passing 299 286	ing a ssn agce e agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me the projecte 17 GEOR Points 33 20	vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 Turn Overs +2 -2	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams •••••• g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams ••••• a a big sandwice ar the line. 3 Special Teams ••••••	d F C C F F F L L
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford 1 time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S' Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both aç D on deck. We' Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ Il pass here as YIRGINIA 4 Yards Passing 299 286 most rush yds	ing a ssn agc e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 0 39 ARM Points 8 47 Jads. WV me the projecte 17 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 m and playin- vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 Turn Overs +2 -2 nis matchup.	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifin n the spread. Special Teams 2 a big sandwice ar the line. 3 Special Teams 2 PP believes th	d F C C F F F L L
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford I time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with O PLAY: S ⁷ Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the project	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ I pass here as TIRGINIA 4 Yards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome i	ing a ssn agc e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 Jads. WV me the projecte 17 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th right at the lin	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 m and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 Turn Overs -2 nis matchup, e, we'll pass	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifin n the spread. Special Teams 2 a big sandwice ar the line. 3 Special Teams 2 PP believes th	d F C C F F F L L
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford I time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S ² Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both aç D on deck. We ² Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the project. AY: LOUIS	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ Il pass here as YIRGINIA 4 Yards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome i SVILLE 33	ing a ssn agc e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 Jads. WV me the projecte 17 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th right at the lin	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 m and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 Turn Overs -2 nis matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 2 a big sandwice ar the line. 3 Special Teams 2 PP believes th	d F C C F F F L L
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford t time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but NO PLA	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with O PLAY: S Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both aç D on deck. We' Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the projec AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believ TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ II pass here as /IRGINIA Yards Passing 299 286 Yards Passing 299 286 SVILLE 33 Yards Passing	ing a ssn agce e agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me the projecte 47 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th ight at the lin 6 KENTUC Points	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 GIA ST 8 GIA ST 8 Turn Overs +2 -2 nis matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifin n the spread. Special Teams 2 a big sandwice ar the line. 3 Special Teams 2 PP believes th	d F C S F F S F
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford t time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, t trend will continue here but NO PLA	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S ⁻ Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We ⁵ Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the project AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing 187	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believe TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ Il pass here as VIRGINIA 4 Yards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome 1 SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293	ing a ssn agc e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army v 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me the projecte 47 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th right at the lin 6 KENTUG Points 40	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin, ill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 Turn Overs +2 -2 nis matchup, ie, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs +5	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams ••••• g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams •••• B Special Teams •••• PP believes th Special Teams •••• PP believes th	d F C C F F F L L
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford 1 time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but NO PL	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with O PLAY: S ² Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both aç D on deck. We Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the projec. AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing 187 187 198	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ Il pass here as YIRGINIA 4 Yards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome i SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293 264	ing a ssn agc e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 0 39 ARM Points 8 47 Jads. WV me the projecte 17 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th right at the lin 5 KENTU(Points 40 27	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 m and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 Turn Overs -2 nis matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs +5 +1	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 2 5 special Teams 2 5 PP believes th 5 Special Teams 2 7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	d F C S F F S F C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford I time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but NO PL MARSHALL OHIO These 2 non-conf squad PP calls for Marshall to deli	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We' Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the with the projec .AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing 187 198 is have becomme	ed its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believ TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ 11 pass here as TIRGINIA Yards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome i SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293 264 e very familiar 1	ing a ssn agc e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me the projecte 47 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th ight at the lin b KENTU Points 40 27 with each oth east calls for a	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 Turn Overs +2 -2 nis matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs +1 er over the p a tighter cont	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 1 a big sandwic aar the line. 3 Special Teams 9 PP believes th 5 Special Teams 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	d F C S F F S F C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford I time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but NO PL MARSHALL OHIO These 2 non-conf squad PP calls for Marshall to deli	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S ⁷ Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the with the projee .AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing 187 198 s have become ver a 13 pt wir D PLAY: M .	ad its last meet vrk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ II pass here as II pass here as II pass here as II pass here as Vards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome i SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293 264 a very familiar v n, the ydg forec ARSHALL	ing a ssn agc e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me the projecte 47 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th ight at the lin b KENTU Points 40 27 with each oth east calls for a	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 m and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 6 GIA ST 6 Turn Overs +2 -2 his matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs +1 er over the p a tighter cont	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 1 a b big sandwice aar the line. 3 Special Teams 1 PP believes th 5 Special Teams 1 Special Speci Special Special Special Special Special Special Speci S	d F C S F F S F C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford I time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but NO PL MARSHALL OHIO These 2 non-conf squad PP calls for Marshall to deli	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with O PLAY: S Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both aç D on deck. We Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the projec AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing 187 198 is have become ver a 13 pt wir D PLAY: MA Yards	ad its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believ TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ II pass here as 'IRGINIA 4 Yards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome i SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293 264 e very familiar 1 contact of the	ing a ssn agce e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me the projecte 47 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken the ight at the lin ghat the lin got taken the ight at the lin KENTUC Points 40 27 with each oth cast calls for a 40 OHIC	ovs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 6 Turn Overs +2 -2 nis matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs +5 +1 er over the p a tighter cont O 27 Turn	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 1 as the spread. Special Teams PP believes th 5 Special Teams 1 ast 4 ssns. Whil test. No Play. Special	d F C S F F S F C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford t time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, t trend will continue here but NO PLA MARSHALL OHIO These 2 non-conf squad PP calls for Marshall to deli NC	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S ⁷ Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the with the projee .AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing 187 198 s have become ver a 13 pt wir D PLAY: M .	ad its last meet vrk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ II pass here as II pass here as II pass here as II pass here as Vards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome i SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293 264 a very familiar v n, the ydg forec ARSHALL	ing a ssn agc e agree with I 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me the projecte 47 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th ight at the lin b KENTU Points 40 27 with each oth east calls for a	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 m and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 6 GIA ST 6 Turn Overs +2 -2 his matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs +1 er over the p a tighter cont	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 1 a b big sandwice aar the line. 3 Special Teams 1 PP believes th 5 Special Teams 1 Special Speci Special Special Special Special Special Special Speci S	d F C S F F S F C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford I time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but NO PL MARSHALL OHIO These 2 non-conf squad PP calls for Marshall to deli NC	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with O PLAY: S ⁷ Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the with the projee .AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing 187 198 Is have become ver a 13 pt wir O PLAY: M. Yards Rushing 187 198	ad its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and beliew TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ II pass here as VIRGINIA Yards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome i SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293 264 e very familiar v n, the ydg forec ARSHALL Yards Passing 293 264 e very familiar v n, the ydg forec ARSHALL Yards Passing 215 338	ing a ssn agc e agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 39 ARM Points 33 20 s has taken th right at the lin b KENTUO Points 40 27 with each oth ast calls for a 40 OHIC Points 15 52	o vs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 m and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 6 Turn Overs +2 -2 his matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs +5 +1 er over the p a tighter cont O 27 Turn Overs -1 0 0	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 7 7 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	d Free C
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford I time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLAY LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but NO PLAY MARSHALL OHIO These 2 non-conf squad PP calls for Marshall to deli NC	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We' Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the with the projec .AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing 187 198 is have become ver a 13 pt wir D PLAY: M. Yards Rushing 187 198 is have become ver a 13 pt wir D PLAY: M. Yards Rushing 44 237 me for a 3rd sl	ad its last meet vrk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believ TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ II pass here as Vards Passing 299 286 most rush yds cted outcome i SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293 264 e very familiar 1 SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293 264 e very familiar 1 he ydg forec ARSHALL Yards Passing 215 338 traight gm and	ing a ssn agce e agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me the projecte 47 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th ight at the lin b KENTUO Points 40 27 with each othic ast calls for a . 40 OHIC Points 15 52 faces its 2nd	ovs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is ne GIA ST 8 Turn Overs +2 -2 nis matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs +5 +1 er over the p a tighter cont 0 27 Turn Overs -1 0 d MAC opp i	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 7 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 7 9 9 3 3 5 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	d Free constructions the second secon
that EM has in their favor. F second, the Knights have a 3★ EAS STANFORD ARMY Big spread for Stanford t time. We'll pass here as we NC GEORGIA ST WEST VIRGINIA GSU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA SU comes in at 0-2 SI with LW's trip to OU and MI NO PLA LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY In their L/17 matchups, 1 trend will continue here but NO PLA MARSHALL OHIO These 2 non-conf squad PP calls for Marshall to deli NC AKRON MICHIGAN While Michigan is at hol could very well be a letdow	irst, RU droppe huge HG vs A TERN MIC Yards Rushing 244 237 to cover here n disagree with D PLAY: S Yards Rushing 16 304 U/ATS, both ac D on deck. We' Y: WEST V Yards Rushing 117 91 the tm with the with the projec .AY: LOUIS Yards Rushing 187 198 is have become ver a 13 pt wir D PLAY: M. Yards Rushing 187 198 is have become ver a 13 pt wir D PLAY: M. Yards Rushing 44 237 me for a 3rd sl	ad its last meet rk on deck. We CHIGAN 11 Yards Passing 242 23 natching up vs PP and believ TANFORD Yards Passing 173 382 gainst FCS squ II pass here as (IRGINIA 4 Yards Passing 299 286 TARGINIA 4 Yards Passing 299 286 SVILLE 33 Yards Passing 293 264 e very familiar v , the ydg forec ARSHALL Yards Passing 215 338 traight gm and Volverines after	ing a ssn agce agree with 1 6 (+) RUT Points 39 5 a option tear e that Army w 9 39 ARM Points 8 47 uads. WV me the projecte 47 GEOR Points 33 20 s has taken th right at the lin 3 KENTUO Points 40 27 with each oth icast calls for a 40 OHIC Points 15 52 faces its 2nc or their emotion	ovs a MAC o PP and take GERS 3 Turn Overs 0 -1 n and playin, vill stay within Y 5 Turn Overs -1 0 anwhile is ir d score is nee GIA ST 8 Turn Overs +2 -2 nis matchup. e, we'll pass CKY 20 Turn Overs +5 +1 er over the p a tighter cont 0 27 Turn Overs -1 0 d MAC opp i onal primetin	pp (Kent St) an the pts here. 9 Special Teams 7 9 g at 9 am Pacifi n the spread. Special Teams 7 9 9 3 3 5 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	d Free constructions the second secon

COLLEGE STREAKERS WILL BEGIN AFTER WEEK 3

		Yards	Yards	Delate	Turn	Special	
	BOWLING GREEN	Rushing 211	Passing 251	Points 37	Overs +2	Teams	
		117	321	36	-2	_ 	_
	BG is off of B2B impressiv wk ago to contain the option NO PLAY	offense of Na		ere is tight ar	nd we'll pass.		a
		Yards	Yards	.	Turn	Special	
	VIRGINIA TECH	Rushing 141	Passing 218	Points 28	Overs 0	Teams	
gh	EAST CAROLINA	43	243	24	+2	_	
	Sixth meeting in the L/7 yr We disagree and feel that the NO PLAY: V	visiting Hok	ies will put toge	ether a stron	ger showing	than projected.	
		Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	MARYLAND	Rushing 133	Passing 223	Points 26	Overs +2	Teams	
n	CONNECTICUT	144	177	17	-1	~	
	Despite coming in off of a l and PP agrees with the Terps 2★ M	s projected to) pt win unde	r former UC		ld
		Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	NEW MEXICO	Rushing 207	Passing 22	Points 12	Overs 0	Teams	
	PITTSBURGH	216	300	41	-2	_	
ie	Big edges for Pitt here on						e
	saw from the Panthers in thei NO PLAY		-Iorida St in We URGH 41				
		Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
·		Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
	ULM WAKE FOREST	127 180	197 182	22 23	-2 +2	<u>~</u>	
	WF comes in with extra re	est (lost to B	C last Thur). T	he Demon D	eacons are		g
Ð	non-conf opp but ULM has pl NO P	LAY: WA	KE FÖRE		M 22		
		Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
	WKU	174 Ŭ	217	29	-5	~~~	
	SOUTH ALABAMA After turning the ball over	160 at an alarmi	184 na rate a wk a	28 ao ys Tenn th	-2 Hilltonner	- now come int	0
js	this matchup as nearly a DD 4★ SOUTH	fav. PP calls	this one to be t	tight and we	agree with st	ipulations.	.0
nd		Yards	Yards	Delate	Turn	Special	
	FRESNO ST	Rushing 123	Passing 320	Points 39	Overs -1	Teams –	
	COLORADO	104	328	36	+2		
	While FSU is a perfect 3-0 beating they took a year ago						
	HT!! The yardage and score	are projected	to be a lot clo	ser here this	time around	and CU shoul	ld
ic	stay within the spread and po		ll away a win v: O 36 (+) F				
		Yards	Yards	IL SNO	Turn	Special	
		Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
	NEVADA FLORIDA ST	138 274	170 341	15 47	+1 +2	~~~~	
	First ever trip to FL for Ne	evada and jus	st their 4th eve	r meeting vs		at 3 all in bowls	s).
ch	With the projected outcome r		ening line, we' RIDA ST 4		DA 15		
	Nori	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
		Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
	UCLA NEBRASKA	206 247	262 244	32 37	0 +4	-	
	Despite loads of talent the	e sked is not	nice to the Bru	ins this year	and a trip to	Lincoln is proc	
is	PP projects the Huskers to w		e as opening li BRASKA			e edge. No Play	/.
		Yards	Yards	37 UCL	Turn	Special	
		Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
	GEORGIA TECH DUKE	326 207	143 200	35 27	+4	~~~~	
	While GT obviously featur	res an option	attack, Duke i	sn't too shat	oby on the gr	ound either (P	Р
le	calls for combined 533 rush y	/ds). With tha	t being said, the RGIATEC	nis gm will be	tight and clo		
		Yards	Yards	Dointo	Turn	Special	
	TENNESSEE	Rushing 169	Passing 149	Points 23	Overs +7	Teams	
	OREGON	323	283	46	+6	_	
is	Tough matchup here for th to outgain UT by a 606-318 y						S
			CON 46 T				

NO PLAY: OREGON 46 TENNESSEE 23

4

Attention Subscribers: The FREE September (College) 5* does NOT go this weekend. It will be released the weekend of 9/21 or 9/28. Please call for codes 1-800-654-3448

	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
MISSISSIPPI	190	205	25	+1	-
TEXAS	178	368	38	+2	<i>~~~</i>
LIT I'd um Ole Mise I'	V and the second work	ate and CTC us		فالممالية مما	autho come MA

UT lit up Ole Miss LY on the road w/66 pts and 676 yds!! While PP doesn't call for the same MOV this yr in Austin, you would have to believe that the UT is out for blood after their lackluster road loss to BYU LW. PP calls for a 13 pt UT victory and we make this one of our very special 4.5★ releases.

4.5★ TEXAS 38 MISSISSIPPI 25								
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams			
BOSTON COLLEGE	51 [°]	178 [~]	10	+5	-			
USC	184	280	28	+3	~~~			

After LW's offensive struggles we believe that PP is overcompensating too much in USC's projected offensive stats here. While still being given a 464-229 yd edge and an 18 pt victory, we believe that MOV of victory could be even greater here as we ride with "The Men of Troy".

	3 × 030 20 1	0021014	COLLEGI	= 10		
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
IOWA	214 [˘]	190 Ŭ	22	-1	-	
IOWA ST	145	232	24	+2	~	
	البحج وأوالا والمعلم والمساو	an hustin lunch A	F ATC DD			:

Home team has dominated in this series but is just 4-5 ATS. PP projects the AF Hawkeyes with a slight 404-377 yd edge, but likes Iowa St on the scoreboard. Too close for comfort. We'll pass.

NO PLAY: IOWA ST 24 IOWA 22								
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special			
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams			
ALABAMA	235	253	34	0	~			
TEXAS A&M	154	265	32	+2	-			
Bama comes in with	revence on their	mind here	PP expects the	Aggies to I	keen it close	wi		

ep it close with Bama having a slight 488-419 yd edge in a 2 pt win. We disagree and will pass.

NO P	LAT: ALA	DAIVIA 34	IEVAS H	aivi JZ	
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams
NORTHERN ILLINOIS	281 Ŭ	284 Ŭ	45	+2	~~~~
IDAHO	124	238	17	-2	-
I have a share she was a fact the			1.1.1.1.2.1.2.1.1.1.2.0.1.	a strated at	FOF 000

Huge advantages for the AF here as PP projects the Huskies with a sizeable 565-362 yd edge and a 28 pt win, which is near the line. Rare trip to the unique Kibbie Dome for NI. We'll pass.

NO PLAY: NORTHERN ILLINOIS 45 IDAHO 17									
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special				
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams				
MISSISSIPPI ST	158	233	14	0	~~				
AUBURN	216	190	27	+2	-				
SEC opener for both tm	s. While PP proj	ects the Tigers	with a slight 4	406-391 yd e	edge they feel the				
score will be more 1 sided. Factor in MSt HC Mullen's 0-5 ATS rec as an AD the L2Y and we agree.									
4★ AUBURN 27 MISSISSIPPI ST 14									

	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points		Special Teams
WASHINGTON	194	304	32	0	-
ILLINOIS	101	236	22	0	~~
Out the factor of the second second second	to all successions of all	O della Etablica	01.1.1.1.1.1.1	DD and to the M/s of	

Gm being played on neutral ground at Soldier Field in Chicago. PP projects Wash w/a 498-337 yd edge and a 10 pt win, but IL playing with confidence after a huge outright upset win LW. Pass

NO PLAY: WASHINGTON 32 ILLINOIS 22								
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special			
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams			
UCF	72	229	20	+3	~~			
PENN ST	157	281	26	-3	-			
Somebody's 0 has got to go! Both tms are undefeated so far and PP projects the Lions to have								
a 438-301 vard edge, but w	ith the final sc	ore right at the	line. We agre	e and will p	ass.			

NO PLAY: PEŇN ST 26 UCF 20

	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
BALL ST	Rushing 140	Passing 254	Points 28	Overs +4	Teams ✔✔✔	
NORTH TEXAS	189	306	29	0	-	
	ATS in road openers,		the HD Mean		ave a 495-394	4 yd

edge and win outright. We agree as long as NT is getting a field goal or better. 4★ NORTH TEXAS 29 (if +3 or more) BALL ST 28 Turn Yards Yards Special Teams Rushing Passing Points Overs MEMPHIS 25 1111 131 218 +1

 Initial Tensor
 Initial Tensor

 MIDDLE TENNESSEE
 136

 276
 25

 0

 These two schools are just 62 miles apart. PP projects them even with Middle Tenn having a slight 412-349 yd edge while the score is even. We'll pass on this matchup.

NO PLAY: MEMPHIS 25 MIDDLE TENNESSEE 25 Yards Yards Turn Special

	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
SOUTHERN MISS	16 [°]	236	14	-8	-	
ARKANSAS	254	185	36	-1	-	
First mtg between these	two tms. While	e PP projects t	the Hogs to h	nave a 439-2	52 yd edge the	эy
have the score right at the li	ne. Add in the	fact that Ark h	as a revenge	gm on deck	and we'll pass	÷
NÔ PLAY	: ARKANS	SAS 36 SC	DUTHERI	MISS 1	4	
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
VANDERBILT	94	167 Ŭ	18	-2	~~~	

SOUTH CAROLINA 191 263 31 0 While SC has won 4 str, these teams are actually split 2-2 ATS. PP projects the Cocks to bounce back with a 454-261 yd edge, but with the final score right at the line, we'll pass.



	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
TULSA	144	148	11	-1	_	
OKLAHOMA	301	237	40	0	<i>~~~</i>	

OU has dominated this series, winning 6 str (4-1-1 ATS) by 38 ppg. PP projects the Sooners with a 538-292 yd edge, but with the final score near the opening line, we'll pass here. NO PLAY: OKLAHOMA 40 TULSA 11

•			101010			
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
OHIO ST	237 Ŭ	243 Ŭ	38	+6	~~~	
CALIFORNIA	75	291	20	+2	_	
No oponing line hor	a as Buckova OB	Aillor was ini'd l	act am but oa	rly indication	ac are that he w	ill 👘

No opening line here as Buckeye QB Miller was ini d last gm but early indications are that he wil play. PP projects a 480-366 yd edge for Ohio State, an 18 pt win, and we agree.

4× UHIU ST 38	(4★ IT -13 O	r iess 3 🛪	otherwis	e) Calif	ORNIA 20	
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	

MASSACHUSETTS 66 167 10 0 ~~~ **KANSAS ST** -2 304 270 46 While PP projects Kansas St with a 574-233 yard edge the final score actually favors UMass, who were dominated by an FCS foe last week. We disagree and will pass here.

NO PLAY: KANSAS ST 46 MÅSSACHUSETTS 10						
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
FLORIDA ATLANTIC	Rushing 87	Passing 181	Points 14	Overs -1	Teams	
USF	206	151	26	-1	-	
USF has not handled the						
PP projects USF with a 357	268 yd edge a AY: USF 2				ith the AD. Pass.	
NOPL	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
KANSAS	196 Č	157 [°]	26	0	-	
RICE Kansas is playing with r	248	246	35	-1	VVVVV	
favorite vs a BCS schl for th						
	O PLAY: I					
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
KENT ST	Rushing 127	Passing 65	Points 9	Overs -2	Teams	
LSU	249	352	50	+1	~~	
Everything here favors t						
win by 41. Kent St HC Hayr		the goal is to v U 50 KEN		SU will crui	se.	
	J × LJ Yards	Yards	1319	Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
NOTRE DAME	173 Ŭ	289 Ŭ	32	0	_	
PURDUE Notre Dame in sandwich	87 boro botwoon	184 the two Michie	11	0 Nilo PR proio	-	
a 462-271 yd edge, the fina				ille FF proje		
	LAY: NOTE			UE 11		
	Yards	Yards	.	Turn	Special	
UTEP	Rushing 218	Passing 190	Points 29	Overs +1	Teams ✔	
NEW MEXICO ST	162	250	29	0	-	
Rivalry game here and v	while LITEP ha	e won 4 etr th	a homa taam i	is 12-4 With	PP projecting a	
slight 412-408 yard edge a	nd close final s	core, we'll gla	dly take the ho	ome dog as		
slight 412-408 yard edge a	nd close final s	core, we'll gla XICO ST 2	dly take the ho	ome dog as EP 29	a special 4.5★	
slight 412-408 yard edge a	nd close final s NEW ME Yards	core, we'll glad XICO ST 2 Yards	dly take the ho	ome dog as	a special 4.5★ Special	
slight 412-408 yard edge a 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67	core, we'll glad XICO ST 2 Yards Passing 252	dly take the ho 26 (+) UTI Points 18	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2	a special 4.5★ Special Teams −	
slight 412-408 yard edge a 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272	core, we'll gla XICO ST 2 Yards Passing 252 282	dly take the ho 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3	a special 4.5★ Special Teams	
slight 412-408 yard edge an 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca	core, we'll gla XICO ST 2 Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the	dly take the ho 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ✓✓ hey are a 30+ pt	
slight 412-408 yard edge a 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost	core, we'll gla XICO ST 2 Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms ve	dly take the ho 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the L	Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t _4Y, 3 were	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ✓✓ hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts.	
slight 412-408 yard edge an 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a RN MICHIG Yards	core, we'll gla XICO ST 2 Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms vs AN 18 (+) Yards	dly take the ho 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin s the B10 the l NORTHV	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t 4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ↓ hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special	
slight 412-408 yard edge an 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTER	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a RN MICHIG Yards Rushing	core, we'll gla XICO ST 2 Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is thi all 9 rd gms vs AN 18 (+) Yards Passing	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin s the B10 the I) NORTHV Points	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t .4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs	a special 4.5★ Special Teams v v v v hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special Teams	
slight 412-408 yard edge an 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a RN MICHIG Yards	core, we'll gla XICO ST 2 Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms vs AN 18 (+) Yards	dly take the ho 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin s the B10 the l NORTHV	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t 4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ↓ hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTER UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a RN MICHIC Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so	core, we'll glax XICO ST 2 Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms vs AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yuads. Wildcats	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin s the B10 the l) NORTHV Points 16 46 s have a bye on	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t .4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ot deck with th	a special 4.5★ Special Teams 	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTER UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a RUMICHIC Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zona	core, we'll glax XICO ST 2 Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms vs AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 uuads. Wildcats a doesn't justif	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the l 10 NORTHV Points 16 46 s have a bye on fy a 25 pt line.	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t .4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ot deck with th Pass.	a special 4.5★ Special Teams 	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTER UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a RUMICHIC Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zona O PLAY: A	core, we'll glar XICO ST 2 Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms vs AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yuads. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the l 10 NORTHV Points 16 46 s have a bye on fy a 25 pt line.	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t .4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 otdeck with th Pass. 16 -	a special 4.5★ Special Teams wey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special Teams wey Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Teams MA5 Special Sp	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTER UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a RUMICHIC Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zona	core, we'll glax XICO ST 2 Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms vs AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 uuads. Wildcats a doesn't justif	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the l 10 NORTHV Points 16 46 s have a bye on fy a 25 pt line.	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t .4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ot deck with th Pass.	a special 4.5★ Special Teams 	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTEF UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST	nd close final s Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a RUSHING 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zona O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zona O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81	core, we'll glax Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is thi all 9 rd gms vs FAN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 uuads. Wildcats a doesn't justif IRIZONA Yards Passing 321	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the I) NORTHV Points 16 46 s have a bye on fy a 25 pt line. 46 UTSA Points 28	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t -4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 deck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ↓ whey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special Teams ↓ hey area Special Special	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTER UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH	nd close final s Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zona O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159	core, we'll glax Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is thi all 9 rd gms vs AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yuads. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA 4 Yards Passing 321 264	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin s the B10 the l) NORTHV Points 16 46 s have a bye on fy a 25 pt line. 46 UTSA Points 28 31	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t .4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ideck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0 +2	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ···· they are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special Teams ···· ne UTSA defense Special Teams ····	
slight 412-408 yard edge an 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTER UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH OSU's road opener is al	nd close final s Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost a Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zona O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159	core, we'll glar Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is th all 9 rd gms vs 3AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 Juads. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA Yards Passing 321 264 ner. PP project	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin s the B10 the l NORTHV Points 16 46 s have a bye on ty a 25 pt line. 46 UTSA Points 28 31 ts the ydg nea	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t -4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ···· they are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special Teams ···· ne UTSA defense Special Teams ····	
slight 412-408 yard edge an 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTER UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH OSU's road opener is al	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 for the Wildca e WM has lost i RN MICHIC Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zon O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159 so its P12 oper PLAY: UT Yards	core, we'll glar Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms ve AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yuads. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA Yards Passing 321 264 ner. PP project AH 31 OF Yards	dly take the ho 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin s the B10 the I) NORTHV Points 16 46 s have a bye on iy a 25 pt line. 46 UTSA Points 28 31 ts the ydg nea REGON ST	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t 4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ideck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a F 28 Turn	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ↓ hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special Teams ↓ hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. Special Teams ↓ a close outcome. Special	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTEF UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH OSU's road opener is al NO	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost : RNMICHIG Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zon: O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159 so its P12 opei PLAY: UT Yards Rushing	core, we'll glax Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is thi all 9 rd gms vs caN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 quads. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA Yards Passing 321 264 ner. PP project AH 31 OF Yards Passing	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the I) NORTHV Points 16 46 9 have a bye on ty a 25 pt line. 46 UTSA Points 28 31 ts the ydg nea REGON ST Points	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t -4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ideck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a F 28 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a F 28 Turn Overs 16 Turn Overs 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 0 +2 Turn Overs 16 Turn Overs	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ↓ hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. Special Teams ↓ ↓ ne UTSA defense Special Teams ↓ ↓ a close outcome. Special Teams	
slight 412-408 yard edge an 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTER UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH OSU's road opener is al	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 for the Wildca e WM has lost i RN MICHIC Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zon O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159 so its P12 oper PLAY: UT Yards	core, we'll glar Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms ve AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yuads. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA Yards Passing 321 264 ner. PP project AH 31 OF Yards	dly take the ho 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin s the B10 the I) NORTHV Points 16 46 s have a bye on iy a 25 pt line. 46 UTSA Points 28 31 ts the ydg nea REGON ST	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t 4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ideck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a F 28 Turn	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ↓ by a re a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special Teams ↓ L Special Teams ↓ a close outcome. Special	
slight 412-408 yard edge an 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTEF UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH OSU's road opener is al NO CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNLV PP projects the Rebels v	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 tor the Wildca e WM has lost a Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zon- O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159 so its P12 oper PLAY: UT Yards Rushing 151 194 vith a sizeable 4	core, we'll glax Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms ve AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yards Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA Yards Passing 321 264 ner. PP project AH 31 OF Yards Passing 163 260 154-314 yarda	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin s the B10 the I) NORTHV Points 16 46 9 have a bye on iy a 25 pt line. 46 UTSA Points 28 31 ts the ydg nea REGON ST Points 28 33 ge edge again	me dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t .4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 odeck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a F 28 Turn Overs -3 -3 -3 -5 odeck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3	a special 4.5★ Special Teams ···· hey are a 30+ pt by a tl of 11 pts. RN 45 Special Teams ···· a close outcome. Special Teams ···· ····	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTEF UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH OSU's road opener is al NO CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNLV PP projects the Rebels v Chippewas, but with the fin	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost : RUMICHIC Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two sc d edge for Zon: O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159 so its P12 oper PLAY: UT Yards Rushing 151 194 vith a sizeable 4 al score near th	core, we'll glax Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms vs caN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yards. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA Yards Passing 321 264 ner. PP project AH 31 OF Yards Passing 163 260 154-314 yarda he line, we'll pr	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the I) NORTHV Points 16 46 9 OPOINTS 28 31 ts the ydg nea IEGON ST Points 28 33 ge edge aggain ass here.	me dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t 4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ideck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a F 28 Turn Overs -3 st the cross	a special 4.5★ Special Teams →→→ hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. Special Teams →→→→ ne UTSA defense Special Teams →→→→→→→→ a close outcome. Special Teams →→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTEF UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH OSU's road opener is al NO CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNLV PP projects the Rebels v Chippewas, but with the fin	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost : RUMICHIC Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zon: O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159 so its P12 oper PLAY: UT Yards Rushing 151 194 vith a sizeable 4 al score near the set of t	core, we'll glax Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms ve 3AN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yards. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA Yards Passing 321 264 ner. PP project AH 31 OF Yards Passing 163 264 ner. PP project AH 31 OF Yards Passing 163 260 (54-314 yarda ne line, we'll pr 33 CENTR	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the I) NORTHV Points 16 46 9 OPOINTS 28 31 ts the ydg nea IEGON ST Points 28 33 ge edge aggain ass here.	me dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t 4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ideck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a T 28 Turn Overs -3 st the cross IGGAN 28	a special 4.5★ Special Teams vv hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. Special Teams vv a close outcome. Special Teams vv a close outcome. Special Teams vv vv a close outcome. Special Teams vv vv a close outcome. Special Teams vv vv vv a close outcome. Special Teams vv vv vv come. Special Teams vv vv vv come. Special Teams vv vv vv vv vv vv vv vv vv v	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTEF UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH OSU's road opener is al NO CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNLV PP projects the Rebels v Chippewas, but with the fin	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost : RUMICHIC Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two sc d edge for Zon: O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159 so its P12 oper PLAY: UT Yards Rushing 151 194 vith a sizeable 4 al score near th	core, we'll glax Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is the all 9 rd gms vs caN 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yards. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA Yards Passing 321 264 ner. PP project AH 31 OF Yards Passing 163 260 154-314 yarda he line, we'll pr	dly take the hc 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the I) NORTHV Points 16 46 9 OPOINTS 28 31 ts the ydg nea IEGON ST Points 28 33 ge edge aggain ass here.	me dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t 4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ideck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a F 28 Turn Overs -3 st the cross	a special 4.5★ Special Teams →→→ hey are a 30+ pt by a ttl of 11 pts. Special Teams →→→→ ne UTSA defense Special Teams →→→→→→→→ a close outcome. Special Teams →→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→	
slight 412-408 yard edge ar 4.5★ WESTERN MICHIGAN NORTHWESTERN First meeting. Rare spot fav vs an FBS foe and while 4★ WESTEF UTSA ARIZONA First ever meeting betwe already spent. 538-291 yar N OREGON ST UTAH OSU's road opener is al NO CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNLV PP projects the Rebels v Chippewas, but with the fin	nd close final s NEW ME Yards Rushing 67 272 t for the Wildca e WM has lost : RNMICHIG Yards Rushing 98 254 en these two so d edge for Zon: O PLAY: A Yards Rushing 81 159 so its P12 oper PLAY: UT Yards Rushing 151 194 vith a sizeable 4 al score near the set of	core, we'll glax Yards Passing 252 282 ts as this is thi all 9 rd gms vs can 18 (+) Yards Passing 193 284 yards. Wildcats a doesn't justif RIZONA 4 Yards Passing 321 264 ner. PP project AH 31 OF Yards Passing 163 260 154-314 yarda he line, we'll pi to CENTR Yards	dly take the ho 26 (+) UTI Points 18 45 e first time sin the B10 the I NORTHV Points 16 46 46 90 ORTS 28 31 15 the ydg nea REGON ST Points 28 33 ge edge again ass here. AL MICHI	ome dog as EP 29 Turn Overs -2 +3 ce '96 that t -4Y, 3 were VESTEF Turn Overs -3 +5 ideck with th Pass. 16 Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a Turn Overs 0 +2 rly even w/a Turn Overs -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -3	a special 4.5★ Special Teams v v special Teams v special Teams v a close outcome. Special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams v s special Teams	

	100	000	01	17	
The Badgers enter this game	avg 600 ypg	, but now takiı	ng a trip out v	vest PP projects them to	
finish with just 311. As long as th	ne line stavs u	nder 6. ao wit	h the home fa	V.	

4★ ARIZONA ST 31 (if 5.5 or less) WISCONSIN 20

NOTE: Power Plays - PP PRO FOOTBALL September 12th-16th

S

S

Just a quick note for our Power Plays Subscribers. Obviously this newsletter is based upon statistical numbers and rankings that are used to develop the projections you see here. As with all types statistical information the more numbers that one inputs ordinarily the stronger the accuracy of the results. Therefore, one would expect the project MINNESO tions to be more reliable after a number of weeks worth of stats are input. The numbers here are based upon how CHICAGO they finished last season with adjustments made due to personnel changes. Preseason statistics are not included in any of the projections as we do not feel they would accurately adjust the numbers.

, , ,	THURS	DAY, SEPTEM	BER 12th			
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's	
NY JETS	28	169	11	0	#19	
NEW ENGLAND	144	302	30	-1	#9	
	a status as finials as	An order of Theme D	Jatulata kanalu	and have DI	IT to and field	

Both teams are off surprising finishes to wk 1. The Patriots barely got by a BUF team fielding a rookie QB while the Jets snared a win thanks to a late penalty. NE is 5-15 ATS as a DD fav but the **NEW** Jets are 5-12-1 ATS hosting a Div foe. Can NE take advantage of a young QB on a short week or TAM will the Jets defense bring some surprises to the table? Get the Thursday Night Marquee Winner! Available on game day for just \$12 on the NC Sports VIP Debit Card or at ncsports.com Also on the Marquee 7-Pack (available for just \$59).

NO PLAY: PATRIOTS 30 JETS 11

	SUND				
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
SAN DIEGO	75	251	23	0	#15
PHILADELPHIA	102	357	25	0	#32
Both tooms are off M	INE motohune v	with rookin a	pachae and PP	obvioucly	doocn't hovo

Both teams are off MNF matchups with rookie coaches and PP obviously doesn't have that information available. SD is in a clear situational disadvantage coming across the country off MNF ARI with another road game (TEN) on deck. PHI has a welcome home game vs Andy Reid on Thursday to look forward to but without MNF this is clearly a No Play.

NO PLAY: EAGLES 25 CHARGERS 23						
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's	
CLEVELAND	89	238	18	-2	#22	
BALTIMORE	111	333	27	0	#1	
Poth toomo oro	off owful wk 1 comoo o	o PAL was have	mmarad by D	ENI looing the	sir #0\MD (1	~ ^

Both teams are off awful wk 1 games as BAL was hammered by DEN losing their #2 WR (Jacoby Jones) and missing the Reed/Lewis tandem on defense. CLE inexplicably went away from RB Richardson and put too much on Weeden (53 att's 49% 1-3 ratio) albeit vs a good MIA defense. PP leans with the Ravens to bounce back with extra rest here and we agree as well.

3★ RAVENS 27 BROWNS 18								
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's			
TENNESSEE	83	174	14	+2	#8			
HOUSTON	142	255	24	0	#6			
PP doesn't have I W's	MNF name facto	ored in but HO	11 is 7-1-1 AT	S hosting a l	Division foe v	vitl		

in but HOU is 7-1-1 ATS hosting a Division foe with a 31-17 avg score. TEN is off an upset win vs PIT but Locker didn't stand out with 125 yds (55%) as TEN's defense simply grinded it out vs an out of sync OL that lost its center. Without knowing the Ν Monday Night results though this is a No Play.

	NO PLAY: I	EXANS 2	4 IIIANS	14		
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's	
MIAMI	126	285	22	+2	#5	
INDIANAPOLIS	36	240	16	+2	#21	

This is a tough spot for MIA on the road again after a wk 1 road win with a marquee game vs ATL on deck. IND didn't live up to its DD fav spot vs OAK last week but they are 8-1 SU/6-3 ATS at home w/Luck. PP calls for MIA to tally its second win of the year with a 135 yd edge (#3 TW) but we feel that IND will be more focused after LW's close call so No Play on the side but a small look on the Total.

NO PLAY: D	OLPHINS 22 CO	LTS 16 • 2	🛨 DOLPH	INS/COI	LTS UND)ER
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's	
CAROLINA	171	226	21	-1	#27	
BUFFALO	83	208	14	+1	#10	
CAR deserves	a ton of credit for goin	g toe to toe w/	SEA who is cl	early expect	ed to be a p	lavoff

team holding them to 2 FG's on their 1st 7 drives. CAR also was in position to win the game getting down to the SEA 8 before turning the ball over w/5:34 left. BUF lost a heartbreaker to NE and now DI. faces an NFC team they don't know w/a road game vs NYJ on deck. PP sides w/the road team (+106 CIN yds) with a manageable line vs the home team off a last second loss to a premier rival.

	3★ PAN	THERS 21	BILLS 1	4	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
ST LOUIS	77	258	15	0	#20
ATLANTA	81	331	24	-1	#4

ATL went to the wire vs a NO team thrilled to get HC Payton back on the sidelines. 11-3-1 ATS at home after a SU loss, ATL has a big edge w/experienced skill players here with a rowdy home crowd as RB Jackson takes on his former team. STL struggled vs an ARZ unit w/a new HC but improved QB situation and now have to travel with another marguee game vs DAL on deck. PP leans with the home team but doesn't back it up w/just +77 yds so No Play on the side but the Total is worth a look. NO PLAY: FALCONS 24 RAMS 15 • 4★ FALCONS/RAMS UNDER

NU PLAT. FA			+ × FALUU	μι σ/ π Αι	VIS UIVL	νEn
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's	
WASHINGTON	109	264	21	0	#30	
GREEN BAY	90	384	30	-2	#29	
GB is off another	loss to SE where th	av last thair a	ne) arusonmo	ain) and wo	ra takan ar	hart fo

another loss to SF where they lost their composure (again) and were taken apart for almost 500 yds. Rodgers can't be happy with 3 punts/2 TO's on 5 of GB's 1st 6 drives offensively. This is a very poor spot for WAS off a MNF home opener vs a Div rival and having to travel on a short week w/DET on deck. While the situation says GB, the reality is that PP doesn't have WAS's MNF info available and without seeing how sharp Griffin is, this is a No Play.

into available and with	hout seeing how shai	rp Grittin is, th	iis is a No Pla	у.						
NO PLAY: PACKERS 30 REDSKINS 21										
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's					
DALLAS	49	229	20	+5	#24					
KANSAS CITY	117	275	21	+2	#16					
The Objets sugar	اللام متدامم المصحافة بتلام				In off a ONIT of					

The Chiefs own the situational edge at home off an easy win vs JAX while DAL is off a SNF game where they gave up 478 yds with 24 pts off 6 TO's. Reid's also has advance knowledge of DALs of-fense to help prep. DAL is 4-11 ATS away off a SU win but PP calls for this to be right around the line (but +114 yds for KC) so for now this is a No Play.



	Rushing I	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
DTA	132	187 [ँ]	20	-2	#7
)	102	279	26	+2	#31
A CLIVATE	booting MIN w/o 26 01	ova ocoro	doopito Dotoroop	ruching	for DED udo (

CHI is 5-0 SU/ATS hosting MIN w/a 36-21 avg score despite Peterson rushing for 263 yds (5.3) LY. Trestman impressed w/shrewd halftime adjustments vs a good CIN defense while MIN sputtered when DET took the game out of Peterson's hands and forced Ponder to make plays. PP has this right around the line for the side (just +62 yds for CHI) making it a No Play as we want to see if CHI's OL effort LW (0 sacks just 4 QBH's) was a fluke or the real thing.

NO PLAY: BEARS 26 VIKINGS 20									
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's				
/ ORLEANS	44	367	27	+1	#3				
PA BAY	157	313	24	0	#28				
) was clearly re-en	ergized with the r	eturn of Payto	on and carries	that momer	ntum forwar				

NO rward vs a TB team that is just 4-15 ATS as a HD. The Bucs are off a crushing loss where they had the game in hand despite a shaky effort from Freeman giving up the game winning FG thanks to a late hit. Perhaps the biggest concern is that with their upgraded defense they gave up over 300 yds to an offense led by a rookie QB and now face a New Orleans team that earned 35 and 41 pts without Payton. Power Plays has this at the line though so No Play.

		~y.						
NŎ PLAY: ŚAINTS 27 BUCS 24								
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's			
TROIT	71	325	24	+2	#25			
IZONA	59	306	26	0	#11			
Don't overreact as the	projection is more	e a result of AF	Z's homefield	l edge than L	W's results.	DE		

Do offense showed how loud they can roar with some variety with Bush having 191 total yds. ARZ played STL tough thanks to Palmer but saw it slip away with Palmer taking a sack/fumble in the 4Q to set up a FG to tie. PP calls for the home team here but we think DET is the better team with momentum.

NO PLAY: CARDINALS 26 LIONS 24									
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's				
JACKSONVILLE	135	152	17	-2	#18				
OAKLAND	128	241	23	-2	#26				
Both teams are pay	ing for past roster	mistakes in 2	013 with both	in the hunt	for the top pi				

B op pick in 2013 with bo the hunt for the the 2014 Draft. JAX went 3 & out on 7 of its first 11 drives with just 4 FD's and 34 yds until their 13th drive. OAK made the most out of Pryor's mobility last week to mask their OL issues but is just 5-15 ATS as a HF. Gabbert injured his right hand last week (15 stitches) and will likely go to Henne but with this being at the line its a No Play.

NO PLAY: RAIDERS 23 JAGUARS 17									
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's				
ENVER	52	384	32	0	#2				
IY GIANTS	71	389	28	-5	#13				
Peyton is 2-0 SU/ATS in	the Manning	Bowl with	extra rest and this	will be the	14th game h	e's			

played in a NYJ/NYG stadium. The Giants tallied 478 yds vs DAL but have only themselves to blame for 6 TO's. The Giants are 4-0 SU/ATS at home off a loss and Coughlin will be livid after LW's effort. PP has this right at the line for the side making it a No Play for now.

NO PLAY: BRONCOS 32 GIANTS 28									
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's				
AN FRANCISCO	114	201	18	+2	#14				
EATTLE	98	266	21	+1	#12				
101 I I I I I I		/	1 1 N N D		10 1 110				

It's not a surprise that PP has this right at the line (making it a No Play) with just 49 yds difference with a pair of similar mindsets backed by solid rosters. SEA is 14-3-1 ATS at home but SF clearly has its swagger after beating GB last week The best of the NFC West is on display here and you can get this Sunday Marquee after 11 am ET.

NO PLAY: SEAHAWKS 21 49ERS 18

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's	
TTSBURGH	12	204	13	-2	#23	
INCINNATI	56	236	22	-2	#17	
Both teams are off high	losses as CIN h	lew a 21-10 le	ad halfway th	ru the 30 I \	N and PIT is	: (

oth teams are off big losses as CIN blew a 21-10 lead halfway thru the 3Q LW and PIT is off a brutal home loss where they lost 2 starters (Pouncey, Foote) and a key backup (RB Stephens-Howling) for what is expected to be the year. PIT is 11-1 ATS at CIN as their fans travel incredibly well here. Does CIN stand up to the injury depleted Steelers or do they continue to get bullied in their own house? NO PLAY: BENGALS 22 STEELERS 13

Monday Night Magic releases are available on your NC VIP Debit Card System for a Money Back PLUS Guarantee! Simply get the MNM Play through the VIP Debit System for \$30 after 3:00 pm ET on Monday and if the release fails to win, you will be credited back \$40 on your NC VIP Debit account! Winning is easy - and Guaranteed on Monday Night!

ERS WILL BEGIN AFTER 3 WEEKS OF PLAY IGS FOR TEAM RTG TEAM RTG TEAM RTG 1. Denver 108.2 12. Kansas City 101.8 23. Arizona 98.0 107.9 13. Dallas 101.7 24. Miami 97.9 2. Houston 3. San Francisco 107.3 101.7 25. Cleveland 97.5 14. Baltimore 4. Seattle 105.2 15. Pittsburgh 101.6 26. Tennessee 97.3 5. New England 104.9 16. Chicago 101.3 27. Philadelphia 96.8 6. Green Bay 104.2 17. Carolina 100.2 28. NY Jets 96.6 7. New Orleans 103.5 18. Washington 95.8 99.5 29. Buffalo

99.4

99.0

98.4

98.3

30. San Diego

32. Jacksonville

31. Oakland

95.4

90.9

90.9

19. Minnesota

20. Tampa Bay

22. Indianapolis

21. St Louis

8. NY Giants

11.Cincinnati

9. Atlanta

10. Detroit

102.8

102.8

102.6

102.0

2013	PASS	EFFICI	ENCY	D	201	3 CO		E								V	ER/	G	ES	
TEAM 1 Alabama 2 Michigan St	YDS ATT 59.0 26 129.5 74	COMP % TE 5 19.2 0 24 32.4 2	D INT GRADE 1 24.6	OPP 10.64 10.24		OFF OFF PTS FD	ATT	- RUSHIN YDS	IG -	Т	OM AT	- PA	SSING -	INT TD	DEF	_	_	NENTS F	USH -SKS FUM VS	
3 Florida 4 Virginia 5 Oklahoma	129.5 74 158.5 63 191.0 69 173.0 80	24 32.4 2 29 46.0 2 28 40.6 3 41 51.3 0	2 19.5 1 18.8	13.60 12.66 13.15	Air Force Akron Alabama	29.0 22.0 21.0 14.5 35.0 11.0	56.5 30.0 38.0	285.5 101.5 96.0	5.1 0 3.4 0 2.5 0	1.5 6 1.5 1	6.5 14.5 9.0 28.5 0.0 24.0	66.7	90.0 201.5 110.0	0 1 2 5 1 1	32.5 35.5 10.0	25.5 24.5 7.0	43.5 187.0 45.0 172.5 33.0 153.0	4.3 3.8 4.6	0.5 1 0.5 5 0.0 4	1 7 1
6 Virginia Tech 7 USC 8 LSU	80.5 38 211.5 79 140.5 53	14 36.8 1 42 53.2 1 24 45.3 1	6 17.6 1 17.6	10.11 13.51 12.30	Arizona Arizona St Arkansas	46.5 20.0 55.0 27.0 32.5 23.0	51.0 44.0 52.0	351.5 158.0 312.5	3.6 0 6.0 1	.0 2 .5 1	8.5 17.0 27.0 36.0 2.0 20.0	75.0 60.0	86.5 365.0 177.5	0 1 0 5 0 5	6.5 0.0 17.5	12.5 6.0 16.0	37.0 127.5 31.0 51.0 28.5 99.5	1.6 3.5	0.5 0 2.0 2 0.5 2	5 1 6
9 Tulsa 10 Maryland 11 Washington St	135.5 57 125.0 61 76.5 41	24 42.1 2 31 50.8 0 21 51.2 0	4 17.0 2 15.8	11.21 11.64 10.81	Arkansas St <u>Army</u> Auburn Ball St	35.5 24.5 <u>21.0 19.5</u> 34.5 19.5 45.5 23.0	48.0 53.0 47.5 33.0	329.5 329.0 299.0 97.5	6.2 1 6.3 1	.0 <u>5</u> .0 1	9.5 27.0 5.5 14.0 0.5 19.5 3.5 38.5	72.2 39.3 53.8 61.0	217.5 88.5 133.0 332.5	0 1 <u>1 1</u> 0 2 0 5	24.5 26.0 16.5 21.0	15.5 20.5 26.0 19.5	40.5 156.5 43.0 150.0 31.0 135.0 50.0 218.5) <u>3.5</u>) 4.4	0.5 2 1.0 4 0.5 1 1.5 3	6 3 4
12 BYU 13 Michigan 14 Stanford 15 Arizona	213.5 76 229.0 81 216.0 44 150.5 64	42 55.3 3 42 51.9 2 29 65.9 1 30 46.9 1	3 15.6 1 15.4	13.72 12.37 15.45 9.79	BALL ST BAYLOR BOISE ST BOSTON COLLEGE	40.5 23.0 69.5 32.0 34.5 24.0 24.0 18.0	50.0 45.5 43.0	316.0 195.5 159.5	6.3 1 4.3 0	.0 2 .5 2	20.5 26.0 24.5 39.0 5.0 22.0	78.8	420.5 213.5 204.0	0 6 1 5 1 4	8.0 26.0 12.0	16.0 26.0 16.5	54.5 128.0 51.0 207.5 37.5 126.0	2.3 4.1	1.5 4 1.0 2 1.5 3	5 3 6
16 UNLV 17 Mississippi St 18 Auburn	92.5 44 140.5 58 308.0 106	18 40.9 1 32 55.2 1	1 14.6 1 14.5	8.94 11.61 13.61	BOWLING GREEN BUFFALO BYU	37.5 24.5 16.5 17.0 28.0 27.0 33.5 25.5	49.5 42.0 62.5 33.0	226.0 78.0 368.5 99.0	4.6 0 1.9 0 5.9 0	.0 1 .5 1 .5 1	5.5 26.5 7.0 29.0 1.0 33.5	58.5 58.6 32.8	260.0 232.5 152.0	1 2 2 3 2 1	14.5 55.0 20.0	13.5 27.5 18.5 19.5	26.0 94.5 50.0 295.0 40.5 120.5	3.6 5.9 3.0	1.5 4 0.5 3 0.5 3	3 6 4
19 Texas 20 Arizona St 21 Florida St	185.5 73 116.0 23 201.0 28	41 56.2 1 12 52.2 0 15 53.6 1	2 14.1 2 13.9	11.75 10.68 12.90	CALIFORNIA CENT MICHIGAN CINCINNATI CI EMSON	33.5 25.5 16.5 14.5 29.5 22.0 45.0 24.5	33.0 28.5 39.5 45.0	99.0 85.0 184.5 190.0	3.0 0 4.7 0	1.5 1 1.5 2	0.5 46.0 7.0 32.5 0.0 33.5 7.0 40.5	59.7	415.5 238.5 256.0 299.5	1 4 2 3 3 2 0 6	37.0 40.0 26.0 24.0	19.5 20.5 19.5 16.5	33.0 180.0 45.0 200.0 30.5 137.5 43.5 154.0	4.4 4.5	1.0 6 0.5 7 1.0 3 1.0 5	3 5 1 7
22 Washington 23 Texas Tech 24 Missouri	175.0 46 337.5 106 218.0 80	49 61.3 3	1 13.8 6 13.6	11.69 15.09 12.47	COLORADO COLORADO ST CONNECTICUT	40.0 24.5 39.5 23.5 27.0 12.5 18.0 15.0	45.0 35.5 31.5 27.0	96.5 136.0 81.0	2.7 1 4.3 1	.0 2 .5 1	28.0 41.0 7.0 34.0 6.0 28.0	68.3 50.0	295.5 370.5 154.5 206.0	2 6 2 2 1 2	24.0 25.5 35.5 33.0	17.5 23.0 17.0	40.5 104.0 27.0 77.0 40.5 162.0 50.0 201.0	2.9 4.0	1.0 3 1.5 5 1.0 3 0.0 5	3 2 0
25 ULM 26 Penn St 27 Oregon 28 FAU	133.5 57 155.5 65 215.0 97 201.0 61	25 43.9 4 34 52.3 0 56 57.7 0 38 62.3 3	2 13.0 3 12.9	9.08 9.69 10.17 14.28	<u>Duke</u> E Michigan East Carolina	<u>36.5 26.0</u> 20.5 16.5 41.5 21.0	47.0 38.5 28.0	215.0 115.5 72.0	4.6 1 3.0 0 2.6 1	<u>.0 2</u> 1.0 1 .0 3	1 <u>3.0 32.5</u> 18.5 27.0 13.0 42.0	70.8 68.5 78.6	264.0 166.0 324.5	2 4 1 2 0 7	7.0 34.5 25.5	10.5 21.5 21.0	31.0 85.0 37.0 226.5 28.5 97.0	2.7 6.1 3.4	1.5 3 0.5 5 1.0 5	3 7 8
29 Marshall 30 Ohio St 31 Colorado	141.0 43 200.5 73 240.5 94	19 44.2 1 41 56.2 3 55 58.5 2	4 12.8 3 12.6	9.32 10.84 10.72	FIU FLORIDA FAU	5.0 9.5 20.0 22.0 9.5 17.0 41.0 24.0	34.5 46.0 38.5 34.0	61.0 192.0 118.5	4.2 2 3.1 1	.0 1 .0 1	4.5 25.0 9.5 27.5 9.5 36.0 27.0 29.0	70.9 54.2	111.0 222.0 147.5	1 0 2 2 2 1 0 4	40.5 13.5 32.5 13.0	21.0 11.0 18.0	40.0 208.0 22.0 50.0 36.0 206.5	2.3 5.7	1.0 7 0.0 4 1.0 11 0.0 2	3 3 4
32 North Carolina33 UCLA34 Texas St	210.5 62 175.0 33 279.5 95	36 58.1 3 20 60.6 0 54 56.8 1	0 12.3 2 12.1	12.34 11.39 10.80	FLORIDA ST FRESNO ST GEORGIA GEORGIA ST	41.0 24.0 46.5 28.0 38.0 23.5 17.5 16.0	34.0 31.5 47.0 21.0	156.0 121.5 224.5 51.0	3.9 1 4.8 0	.5 3 .5 1	27.0 29.0 18.0 54.0 18.5 26.0 12.5 43.0	70.4 71.2	377.0 339.0 316.0 332.0	0 4 1 8 1 4 2 5	38.0 34.0 36.5	16.0 19.0 21.0 20.0	27.0 96.0 40.5 183.5 41.0 211.5 48.0 253.0	5.2	0.0 2 1.0 1 1.0 6 0.0 3	3 2 3 1
 35 Notre Dame 36 Georgia Tech 37 Oklahoma St 38 W Michigan 	261.0 80 164.0 35 295.5 83 170.5 55	44 55.0 4 20 57.1 0 47 56.6 4 27 49.1 0	3 12.0 4 11.9	11.38 9.55 11.87 9.32	<u>Georgia Tech</u> Hawaii Houston	70.0 23.0 13.5 15.0 42.0 33.5	50.0 28.0 49.0	368.0 46.0 295.5	7.4 0 1.6 0 6.0 1	0.0 7 0.0 1 .0 2	7.0 11.0 7.0 36.5 27.5 43.0	63.6 46.6 64.0	189.0 189.0 280.0	0 2 5 2 0 4	0.0 31.5 13.0	16.0 23.5 18.0	34.0 89.0 39.0 124.5 34.5 102.0	2.6 3.2 3.0	1.0 0 0.5 10 2.0 3	1 9 3
39 Bowling Green 40 Tennessee 41 Nebraska	170.5 55 193.0 69 177.0 62 302.5 81	35 50.7 3 30 48.4 1 50 61.7 5	0 11.7 7 11.6	9.41 8.36 13.38	IDAHO Illinois Indiana	8.0 15.5 43.5 24.0 54.0 27.0 27.5 24.5	41.0 34.5 40.0	139.0 129.5 215.5	3.8 0 5.4 0	.5 2 .5 2	21.0 30.5 27.5 37.0 24.0 36.5	74.3 65.8	217.5 363.5 341.0	0 1 1 6 3 10	41.0 25.5 38.0	26.0 20.5 21.0	42.0 224.5 29.0 107.0 54.5 287.5	3.7 5.3	0.5 12 0.5 5 0.5 0	4 2 5
42 San Jose St 43 Rutgers 44 TCU	199.5 67 272.0 101 191.5 55	40 59.7 2 61 60.4 5 28 50.9 2	2 11.6 2 11.5	11.10 11.00 10.00	IOWA <u>IOWA ST</u> KANSAS KANSAS ST	27.5 24.5 20.0 21.0 31.0 20.0 34.5 20.0	50.5 44.0 50.0 30.0	249.0 168.0 280.0 95.0	3.8 0 5.6 1	.0 2 .0 1	20.0 32.5 22.0 32.0 0.0 20.0 22.0 31.5	68.8 50.0	224.5 242.0 110.0 292.5	3 1 0 2 0 1 4 2	22.0 28.0 14.0 25.5	16.0 23.0 15.0 19.5	32.5 116.5 33.0 228.0 43.0 219.0 41.5 196.0	<u>6.9</u> 5.1	1.0 2 2.0 5 0.0 2 0.0 3	1 2 5
45 Wisconsin 46 South Carolina 47 Miami, Fl	90.5 49 251.5 66 204.0 65	22 44.9 3 43 65.2 5 38 58.5 1	0 11.0 2 10.8	7.21 15.85 10.23	KENT ST KENTUCKY LOUISIANA	19.5 17.5 33.5 24.5 20.5 19.5	38.5 35.5 36.0	152.5 239.0 131.0	4.0 1 6.7 1 3.6 0	.0 1 .5 2 .5 1	8.0 28.0 26.5 26.5 7.5 31.0	64.3 100.0 56.5	179.0 308.0 191.0	0 4 0 4 2 2	25.5 21.0 41.0	18.5 11.5 23.5	34.0 126.0 42.0 157.5 44.0 220.5	3.7 3.8 5.0	0.0 2 0.5 3 0.5 6	3 6 1
48 Hawaii 49 Louisville 50 S Alabama 51 Iowa	311.5 79 145.5 47 255.0 71 201.0 71	50 63.3 5 25 53.2 1 42 59.2 4 39 54.9 4	2 10.6 1 10.6	14.42 9.03 11.76 9.17	LOUISIANA TECH LOUISVILLE LSU	20.5 17.0 46.5 23.5 46.5 24.0	35.5 34.0 41.0	208.0 138.5 174.5	4.1 0 4.3 1	.0 2 .0 1	8.5 29.5 4.0 32.5 6.5 26.0	73.8 63.5	172.0 406.5 272.0	0 2 1 10 0 6	27.0 7.0 22.0	24.0 12.5 15.0	46.0 163.5 35.0 94.0 34.5 137.0	2.7 4.0	0.5 4 0.5 1 1.0 2	7 5 4
52 Wake Forest 53 Cincinnati 54 Arkansas	86.0 33 236.5 72 153.0 59	18 54.5 3 44 61.1 4 36 61.0 2	4 10.3 2 10.3	8.29 11.06 9.54	Marshall Maryland Massachusetts Memphis	53.5 30.0 45.0 27.0 7.0 13.5 14.0 12.0	48.0 44.0 25.5 33.0	264.0 281.5 82.0 89.0	6.4 1 3.2 1	.0 1 .0 1	23.0 36.0 9.5 26.0 7.0 36.0 4.0 24.0	47.2	286.0 300.0 156.5 148.0	2 / 1 5 2 2 0 0	7.0 10.0 33.0 28.0	11.5 13.5 21.5 25.0	39.0 70.5 39.0 128.0 44.5 320.0 45.0 173.0	7.2	1.5 3 0.5 4 1.0 1 2.0 1	/ 9 0 3
55 Temple 56 NC State 57 Duke	330.0 75 239.0 72 125.5 56	46 61.3 3 44 61.1 2 28 50.0 0	0 10.1 1 10.0	14.52 10.96 7.10	Miami, FL Miami, Oh Michigan	27.5 15.0 10.5 8.5 50.0 23.5	33.0 38.5 43.0	176.5 86.5 204.0	5.3 0 2.2 0	0 1	5.0 28.0 7.0 18.5 7.5 27.0	53.6 37.8	181.0 94.0 257.5	2 3 1 1 4 5	11.0 46.5 19.5	20.0 30.5 17.5	43.5 127.5 48.0 301.0 24.0 81.0	2.9 6.3	2.0 2 0.5 6 0.5 2	7 1 5
58 Nevada 59 Northwestern 60 UCF 61 Houston	236.5 61 323.5 86 129.0 53 234.0 75	36 59.0 3 57 66.3 3 37 69.8 1 47 62.7 2	5 9.9 1 9.9	11.33 12.91 10.79 10.23	Michigan St Middle Tenn Minnesota	23.5 17.0 32.5 25.5 47.5 19.0	40.0 45.5 40.5	176.0 214.0 281.5	4.7 1 7.0 0	.5 1 .5 2 .0 9	4.5 30.5 21.5 34.0 9.0 19.0		105.0 224.0 113.0	0 0 3 2 2 1	9.5 32.0 22.0	13.0 22.0 21.0	31.0 50.0 38.0 124.0 37.5 148.0	3.9	0.5 4 1.5 7 0.5 1	6 5 3
62 East Carolina 63 Colorado St 64 West Virginia	234.0 75 274.0 92 306.0 85 163.0 48	62 67.4 4 54 63.5 6 28 58.3 2	2 9.8 1 9.7	11.60 12.49 9.50	Mississippi Mississippi St Missouri N Illinois	35.0 26.5 27.0 23.5 48.0 28.0 30.0 20.0	43.5 45.5 46.0 43.0	239.0 194.0 265.0 163.0	4.3 0 5.8 0	.0 1 .5 2	2.5 39.5 8.5 33.0 2.0 33.5 5.0 41.0	56.1 65.7	271.5 250.5 274.0 275.0	1 2 3 2 1 4 0 3	24.0 14.0 18.5 27.0	18.0 14.0 16.5 24.0	37.0 109.5 32.5 157.0 29.5 124.0 43.0 202.0) 4.8) 4.2	0.5 6 1.0 4 0.0 5 1.0 0	2 1 3 0
65 Georgia 66 Vanderbilt 67 Kentucky	249.0 55 168.5 63 147.0 48	34 61.8 5 42 66.7 0 31 64.6 1	0 9.2 0 9.2	14.52 10.19 10.19	NAVY NC State Nebraska	41.0 27.0 31.5 25.5 46.5 28.5	70.0 48.5 54.5	444.0 212.5 330.0	6.3 0 4.4 1 6.1 1	.0 2 .0 2 .0 1	2.0 <u>5.0</u> 2.5 33.0 8.0 25.0	40.0 68.2 72.0	71.0 280.5 174.5	0 0 3 1 1 6	35.0 17.5 23.5	25.0 20.0 25.5	26.0 118.0 29.0 82.5 28.5 140.5	4.5 2.8 4.9	0.0 1 3.0 2 0.5 0	0
68 Arkansas St 69 Minnesota 70 N Illinois 71 SMU	164.0 46 239.5 91 256.0 37 315.5 87	24 52.2 2 65 71.4 2 21 56.8 1 60 69.0 5	2 9.0 2 8.9	8.50 10.66 8.64 13.51	Nevada New Mexico New Mexico St North Carolina	28.0 27.0 27.5 20.0 14.0 19.5 25.0 22.5	55.5 49.5 39.5 38.5	219.5 294.0 103.5 116.5	5.9 0 2.6 1	.5 5 .5 3	20.5 30.0 5.0 12.0 20.5 43.0 25.5 39.5	41.7 70.9	221.5 86.0 247.5 285.5	0 2 0 1 1 1 1 3	32.5 28.0 50.0 23.5	22.0 22.0 23.5 21.5	33.5 216.5 39.5 218.5 42.5 350.5 40.0 193.0	5.5 8.2	0.0 3 0.5 3 0.5 4 0.5 5	1 4 1 5
72 USF 73 Kansas 74 Illinois	169.5 49 67.0 18 324.5 83	28 57.1 2 8 44.4 1 49 59.0 4	1 8.7 1 8.5	8.74 5.35 10.08	NORTH CARDEINA NORTH TEXAS NORTHWESTERN NOTRE DAME	30.5 20.5 46.0 21.5 29.0 22.0	41.5 35.5 27.0	145.5 160.5 142.0	3.5 1 <u>4.5 0</u> 5.3 0	.5 2 .5 2	3.5 31.5 3.5 30.0 3.0 40.0	74.6 78.3	299.5 305.5 334.5	3 4 1 5	16.5 28.5 23.5	17.5 24.5 25.0	38.0 171.0 28.0 100.0 34.0 150.0	4.5 3.6 4.4	2.0 3 0.0 4 0.5 2	5 2 2
75 Troy 76 Texas A&M 77 Indiana	236.0 60 176.5 53 122.5 37	33 55.0 3 28 52.8 4 14 37.8 3	1 8.5 4 8.5 1 8.5	9.00 7.50 5.85	Ohio Ohio St Oklahoma	17.0 16.5 41.0 22.5 25.0 23.0	34.0 42.5 53.5	142.0 262.0 310.5	4.2 0 6.2 0 5.8 1	.5 1 .5 1 .0 1	7.0 30.5 8.5 26.5 2.0 27.0	55.7 69.8 44.4	218.0 190.5 121.5	3 2 2 5 3 4	35.0 13.5 3.5	19.5 17.5 14.0	39.0 151.5 31.0 68.5 23.0 103.5	3.9 2.2 4.5	1.0 1 1.0 5 1.5 3	3 4 2
78 Tulane79 San Diego St80 Middle Tenn81 Clemson	270.5 62 271.5 76 317.0 72 239.0 51	35 56.5 2 52 68.4 5 44 61.1 4 30 58.8 2	1 8.3 3 8.1	9.33 12.08 11.14 10.79	OKLAHOMA ST OLD DOMINION OREGON OREGON ST	62.5 28.5	36.0 30.5 42.5 28.5	186.5 127.5 425.0 81.0	4.2 0 10.0 0	.5 3 .0 1	28.0 38.0 10.0 45.5 5.0 27.5 16.0 47.0	65.9	332.0 270.0 239.5 436.5	0 6 3 3 0 4 1 7	19.0 49.5 6.5 31.5	24.0 27.0 20.5 23.0	34.5 123.0 34.0 173.0 38.0 105.5 31.0 123.0	5.1 2.8	0.0 2 0.0 6 1.5 0 0.0 2	5 4 8 6
82 Navy 83 New Mexico 84 Kansas St	363.0 43 178.0 54 179.0 61	31 72.1 4 36 66.7 3 39 63.9 3	1 8.1 0 8.0	16.32 10.38 8.38	Penn St Pittsburgh Purdue	34.0 19.5 13.0 16.0 13.5 14.0	38.5 27.0 34.0	154.0 96.0 99.5	4.0 1 3.6 0	.5 2 .0 1 .0 1	4.0 33.5 5.0 28.0 6.5 29.5	71.6 53.6 55.9	309.5 201.0 155.5	3 3 2 1 2 0 2 2 2 6 6 1	12.0 41.0 28.0	11.0 24.0 18.0	36.0 66.0 34.0 156.0 34.5 130.0	1.8 4.6 3.8	0.5 7 0.0 3 0.5 3	5 2 6
85 North Texas86 Purdue87 Boston College	237.0 58 230.0 70 174.5 56	38 65.5 3 46 65.7 3 34 60.7 2	2 7.9 3 7.8 4 7.8	11.42 9.16 7.64	Rice Rutgers San Diego St San Jose St	31.0 29.0 44.5 20.5 13.0 20.5 18.5 18.5	51.0 44.0 31.0 26.0	306.0 234.0 93.0 88.5	5.3 0 3.0 1	.5 1 .5 2	21.0 37.0 9.0 31.5 24.5 52.5 22.5 38.0	60.3 46.7	203.0 249.0 267.0 220.5	2 2 2 6 6 1 1 3	52.0 26.0 41.0 17.0	29.0 19.5 21.5 21.0	39.0 202.0 25.0 70.0 38.0 217.5 40.0 141.5	2.8 5.7	1.0 2 1.5 3 1.0 7 0.5 5	2 4 1 3
88 Baylor 89 Utah St 90 Toledo 91 E Michigan	170.0 43 205.0 46 182.5 47 252.5 67	23 53.5 1 23 50.0 3 33 70.2 2 44 65.7 2	0 7.4	7.51 7.80 11.77 9.48	SMU SALABAMA SOUTH CAROLINA	27.0 26.5 31.0 20.5 28.5 19.0 14.0 16.0	28.5 39.0 37.0	123.0 177.5 227.0	4.3 1 4.6 0 6.1 0	.0 3 .5 1 .5 1	8.0 55.0 9.0 30.5 4.0 23.0	69.1 62.3 60.9	352.5 222.5 203.0	0 1 <u>3 4</u> 0 4	35.5 30.5 25.5 39.0	28.0 17.0 21.5 21.5	35.5 104.0 33.5 89.5 44.5 163.0	2.9 2.7 3.7	0.0 9 0.5 3 0.5 3	6 6 5
92 WKU 93 Utah 94 Wyoming	206.5 53 225.0 65 180.0 55	38 71.7 2 44 67.7 2 40 72.7 3	1 7.3 0 7.2 1 7.1	12.44 9.63 10.69	Southern Miss Stanford Syracuse	34.0 24.0 22.0 20.0	25.5 41.0 35.5	42.5 197.0 102.0	48 1	.0 1 .5 2	24.5 45.0 7.0 27.0 22.5 41.0	54.4 63.0 54.9	299.5 207.0 245.0	6 2 0 2 6 1	35.5	22.0 20.5	43.0 183.0 23.0 35.0 41.0 131.5	1.5 3.2	1.0 4 0.0 0 1.0 3	0 4 6
95 Southern Miss 96 Louisiana Tech 97 California	164.0 50 288.5 68 272.0 52	33 66.0 4 41 60.3 1 30 57.7 2	2 7.1 2 7.0	8.98 8.84 10.53	TCU Temple Tennessee <u>Texas</u>	9.5 22.0 48.5 25.0	32.0 34.0 48.5 40.5	141.5 117.0 277.5 245.5	3.4 1 5.7 0	.0 2 .5 1	6.0 28.0 21.5 43.0 3.0 20.0 23.0 37.0	50.0	189.0 214.0 137.0 334.5	1 2 2 0 1 4 2 6	27.0 25.0 10.0 23.5	22.0 26.5 16.0 25.5	46.5 202.0 41.5 203.5 30.5 125.0 55.0 327.0	4.9 4.1	1.0 3 1.0 4 1.0 3 1.5 4	4 3 4 2
 98 Cent Michigan 99 Ball St 100 Memphis 101 Oregon St 	224.0 48 179.0 49 297.0 37 309.0 66	31 64.6 2 27 55.1 2 25 67.6 2 44 66.7 5	2 6.8 1 6.8	10.85 6.70 10.35 13.11	Texas A&M Texas St Texas Tech	58.5 33.5 25.0 17.0 51.0 33.0	39.0 41.5 32.0	208.0 161.5 142.5	5.3 0 3.9 0 4.5 1	.5 2 .5 1 .0 3	27.0 37.5 5.5 24.5 17.5 53.5	72.0 63.3 70.1	392.0 150.0 453.5	1 8 0 1 2 8	29.5 9.0 18.0	21.5 16.0 23.5	46.5 273.0 24.5 34.0 31.5 85.5) 5.9 1.4 2.7	0.0 3 2.5 4 0.5 4	2 3 5 5
102 Mississippi 103 Air Force 104 Miami, Oh	229.5 60 251.5 67 350.0 72	37 61.7 4 46 68.7 6 49 68.1 8	3 6.5 1 6.4	7.82 10.01 14.96	Toledo Troy Tulane Tulsa	14.5 14.5 50.0 26.0 36.5 18.0 18.5 17.0	19.5 39.5 35.0 34.0	87.5 214.5 101.5 133.0	5.4 1 2.9 0	.0 2 .5 1	21.5 39.5 29.0 32.5 8.0 31.5 20.5 40.0	89.2 57.1	208.5 299.5 238.5 217.0	4 1 0 7 1 5 1 4	31.0 17.0 24.0 30.5	22.5 14.5 21.5 16.0	47.5 217.0 27.5 64.0 46.0 140.0 44.0 205.5	2.3 3.0	0.5 2 0.5 1 1.5 6 0.5 2	6 7 3 2
105 Army 106 Fresno St 107 Idaho	220.5 47 296.0 68 353.0 73	29 61.7 3 41 60.3 6 51 69.9 6	1 5.5 2 5.5	8.68 7.80 13.09	UAB UCF UCLA	24.0 18.0 38.0 19.5 58.0 33.0 24.0 17.5	37.0 38.5 42.0	137.0 161.0 315.0 202.5	37 0	1.5 1 1.0 1	5.0 30.0 6.5 24.0 3.0 34.0	<u>50.0</u> 68.8	237.5 272.0 295.0	0 4 0 4 0 2 2 3	45.0 3.5 20.0 22.0	23.5 12.5 24.0 18.0	36.5 165.0 32.0 82.5 47.0 172.0	<u>4.5</u> 2.6	1.5 4 1.0 5 0.0 0	1 3 2
108 Georgia St109 Louisiana110 New Mexico St111 Boise St	150.5 46 267.5 57 241.5 45 267.0 66	30 65.2 3 38 66.7 3 30 66.7 4 44 66.7 3	2 5.3 3 5.2	6.48 9.59 12.28 12.45	ULM UNLV USC	18.0 15.5 18.5 18.5	30.0 34.5 43.5	177.0 165.5	5.1 0 3.8 0	.0 1 1.5 2 1.5 1	8.0 34.5 2.5 39.5 3.0 25.0	57.0 52.0	166.0 175.5 113.0	4 3 3 1	54.5	21.5 13.5	42.0 199.5 53.0 309.0 26.5 15.0	4.8 5.8 0.6	0.5 1 0.5 3 0.5 4	4 0 11
112 Iowa St113 Akron114 Massachusetts	229.0 29 314.5 70 236.0 46	22 75.9 2 48 68.6 6 30 65.2 2	0 4.6 1 4.2 2 4.1	9.66 8.44 8.06	USF Utah <u>Utah St</u> UTEP	13.5 13.0 50.0 24.0 <u>39.0 29.5</u> 35.0 22.0	27.0 41.0 42.5 41.8	121.5 243.0 <u>195.0</u> 280.0	5.9 0 4.6 1 6.1 0	.5 1 .0 3	2.5 33.0 7.0 26.0 1.5 40.0 5.0 20.0	65.4 78.8	137.5 296.0 <u>337.0</u> 119.0	3 2 0 5 <u>1 7</u> 0 1	37.0 16.5 25.0 42.0	18.5 20.0 20.0 25.0	40.0 175.5 41.0 121.0 46.5 155.0 57.0 395.0) 3.0) <u>3.3</u>	1.0 2 1.5 3 <u>1.0 3</u> 1.0 1	3 9 3 1
115 Rice 116 Connecticut 117 UTSA 118 Obio	284.0 27 192.0 20 301.0 60 305.5 60	20 74.1 4 13 65.0 1 41 68.3 7 44 73.3 8	1 4.0 0 4.0	16.64 6.93 10.50 14.29	UTSA Vanderbilt Virginia	28.0 26.5 36.5 20.0 14.5 16.5	32.5 34.0 40.5	146.0 147.5 116.5	4.5 0 4.3 0 2.9 1	.5 2 .0 2 .0 2	25.5 42.0 20.0 32.5 24.5 39.5	60.7 61.5 62.0	303.0 286.5 144.0	2 6 3 3 4 1	34.5 21.0 37.5 19.0	22.5 17.0 23.0	37.0 140.0 35.5 145.5 46.5 268.5	3.8 4.1 5.8	0.0 5 0.5 1 0.0 2	4 8 3
118 Ohio 119 Syracuse 120 Old Dominion 121 Kent St	305.5 60 335.5 69 361.0 77 273.0 47	44 73.3 8 53 76.8 6 60 77.9 7 33 70.2 3	2 3.5 1 3.0	14.29 13.81 12.73 9.92	VIRGINIA TECH W MICHIGAN WAKE FOREST WASHINGTON	27.5 15.5 18.0 22.0 20.5 20.5 38.0 33.0	33.5 35.5 46.5 54.0	195.0 121.0 <u>122.0</u> 119.5	3.4 0 2.6 2	.5 2 .0 1	2.5 30.5 21.0 45.5 6.0 28.0 23.0 31.0	41.0 46.2 57.1 74.2	142.0 223.5 205.0 324.0	3 1 5 2 1 2	19.0 26.5 15.5 6.0	9.5 18.0 9.5 23.0	39.0 103.5 41.0 201.5 35.0 146.5 42.0 171.0	2.7 4.9 4.2	0.0 1 1.5 6 1.5 3 0.0 1	5 1 4 0
122 UTEP 123 FIU 124 Buffalo	88.0 7 275.0 50 325.5 48	4 57.1 0 39 78.0 4 35 72.9 6	0 1.7 0 1.3 1 -0.4	3.53 11.81 14.01	Washington St West Virginia Wisconsin	17.0 20.0 15.5 21.5 46.5 25.5	22.5 34.0 47.5	63.5 170.5 390.0	2.8 0 5.0 2 8.2 0	.5 3 2.0 2	0.5 51.5 0.0 34.0 7.0 23.5	59.2 58.8 72.3	279.5 227.5 212.0	5 1 1 1 2 5 5 2	19.0 16.5 0.0	15.0 16.5 9.5	43.5 218.0 44.0 209.0 29.5 72.0) 5.0) 4.8 2.4	0.5 6 1.0 3 1.0 1	2 2 0
125 UAB 126 Pittsburgh	306.0 53 377.0 29	47 88.7 6 27 93.1 4		13.42 14.09	WKU Wyoming	27.5 18.0 38.0 30.0 7	33.0 34.5	193.5 240.5	5.9 1	.5 1 0.0 2	7.5 32.0 26.0 41.5	54.7	212.5 342.5	2 5 5 2 3 8	39.0 23.5	21.0 21.5	43.0 228.0 54.5 257.0	5.3	1.0 5 1.5 3	2 7

