

# NORTHCOAST SPORTS'\$10

© 2012 Northcoast Sports Service **VOLUME 30 ISSUE 16/17 December 15, 2012-January 7, 2013** 

# L WRITE-UPS THRU JANUAR PASS EFF DEF & COL 133-69 66% O

#### Who Faced the Toughest Schedule in 2012?

What makes our Toughest Opponents Faced rankings far superior to the NCAA method is that ours takes into account 9 sets of power ratings, combines them and factors in every team's schedule this year. We did this not only for the bowl teams, but for all the NCAA teams & found out which teams played the toughest schedules this year. Arizona St ranked #91 in the NCAA rankings with an opp W/L % of 49.2% playing 6 gms vs teams with winning records but played our #42 toughest schedule including games against then #3 Oregon, #11 Oregon St, #19 USC & #24 Arizona. Per the NCAA, Cincinnati faced the #46 toughest schedule with an opp W/L % of 56.1% facing 6 teams with winning records but 2 of those 6 were FCS opp (Fordham & Delaware St) as they took on our #83 schedule. Baylor had the toughest slate among bowl teams (finished 7-5) while Northern Illinois had the easiest (finished 12-1). The 2012 bowl teams are in **boldface** 

ann	ong bowi teams (iiilism	cu i		און פוע	ad the easiest (illiishet	1 12-	1). The 2012 bown lea	iiio a	ie iii bolulace.	
1	Kansas	23	Kansas St	45	Georgia Tech	67	Clemson	89	UNLV	111 Western Michigan
2	Missouri	24	Washington St	46	Michigan	68	Florida St	90	Rutgers	112 Utah St
3	Baylor	25	USF	47	Virginia Tech	69	Wyoming	91	Hawaii	113 Boise St
4	Florida	26	Miami, Fl	48	Idaho	70	North Texas	92	Connecticut	114 New Mexico
5	California	27	LSU	49	Arizona St	71	Buffalo	93	Louisville	115 Houston
6	Mississippi	28	Texas	50	Wisconsin	72	Army	94	Akron	116 Rice
7	Kentucky	29	Tennessee	51	Iowa	73	Colorado St	95	New Mexico St	117 Louisiana Tech
8	Stanford	30	Boston College	52	Eastern Michigan	74	Southern Miss	96	San Diego St	118 Central Michigan
9	Iowa St	31	TCU	53	Purdue	75	UAB	97	Middle Tennessee	ee 119 Air Force
10	Texas A&M	32	UCLA	54	Maryland	76	Louisiana	98	WKU	120 Nevada
11	Arizona	33	Michigan St	55	Mississippi St	77	BYU	99	East Carolina	121 Bowling Green
12	Colorado	34	Wake Forest	56	Indiana	78	UTEP	100	North Carolina	122 UTSA
13	Auburn	35	Utah	57	Temple	79	San Jose St	101	Memphis	123 Ohio
14	Arkansas	36	Texas Tech	58	Minnesota	80	Ball St	102	2 Marshall	124 Northern Illinois
15	Notre Dame	37	Duke	59	Florida Atlantic	81	Tulane	103	3 Texas St	SPECIAL OFFER: We kn
16	South Carolina	38	Georgia	60	Pittsburgh	82	SMU	104		that many of our Power Plays subsc
17	Oklahoma	39	Syracuse	61	Miami, Oh	83	Cincinnati	105		ers are self-handicappers and we w

85

86

87

88

Fresno St

**Arkansas St** 

Massachusetts

Toledo

FIU

The ratings above are based on overall strength of schedule. Now take a look at the toughest schedules of opponents units faced: Rush Offenses - Here are the teams that took on the 10 toughest slates of rushing offenses:

1.) Missouri, 2.) Penn St, 3.) Nebraska, 4.) Army, 5.) Florida, 6.) Oklahoma St, 7.) Texas, 8.) Michigan, 9.) Texas Tech, 10.) Iowa St Pass Offenses - Here are the teams that took on the 10 toughest slates of passing offenses:

1.) Stanford, 2.) TCU, 3.) Kansas, 4.) Utah, 5.) Kansas St, 6.) Texas, 7.) Baylor, 8.) Auburn, 9.) Oklahoma St, 10.) Oregon Rush Defenses - Here are the teams that took on the 10 toughest slates of rush defenses:

1.) Oregon St, 2.) California, 3.) Arkansas, 4.) Nebraska, 5.) Notre Dame, 6.) Baylor, 7.) USC, 8.) Texas A&M, 9.) Missouri, 10.) Arizona <u>Pass Defenses</u> - Here are the teams that took on the 10 toughest slates of pass defenses:

Northwestern

**NC State** 

Vanderbilt

Penn St

Ohio St

1.) Missouri, 2.) Florida, 3.) Ole Miss, 4.) Kentucky, 5.) Arkansas, 6.) Tennessee,

7.) S Carolina, 8.) Maryland, 9.) UTEP, 10.) Auburn

Total Offenses - Here are the teams that took on the 10 toughest slates of total offenses:

1.) Kansas, 2.) Stanford, 3.) Oklahoma St, 4.) TCU, 5.) Texas, 6.) Iowa St, 7.) Missouri,

8.) Baylor, 9.) Auburn, 10.) California

<u>Total Defenses</u> - Here are the teams that took on the 10 toughest slates of total defenses:

1.) Missouri, 2.) Florida, 3.) Ole Miss, 4.) Kentucky, 5.) Ārkansas, 6.) Tennessee,

7.) S Carolina, 8.) Maryland, 9.) UTEP, 10.) Auburn

you to be the most informed player on the block! To help you along we are offering the **Double Bowl Issue of Power Sweep** for just \$15 (add \$6 for priority shipping) to all 2012/2013 Plays subs. What the difference between the two? The Double Bowl Issue of Power Sweep is 32 action-packed pages with half-page

106 Tulsa

109 Kent St

108 South Alabama

107 Troy

110 ULM

writeups on all 35 bowls. While you'll see the same checklist in both, Power Sweep expands the writeups to include emotion, bowl experience, talent matchups, momentum, special teams, coaching, etc. While we use the Power Plays numbers to analyze our Power Sweep forecasts and will never change a side, the ratings may vary from newsletter to newsletter as each uses different factors to handicap (PP is purely statistical). We want you to have both issues in your

hands! Call us today 1-800-654-3448!

ers are self-handicappers and we want



18

19

20

21

Oklahoma St

Washington

**West Virginia** 

USC

22 Oregon St

Alabama

Illinois

Virginia

44 Nebraska

43 Oregon

41

42

NEW MEXICO BOWL	QB -	N UA - 1/2		WR - V		UN UA 1/2 -	While RichRod got UA to a bowl, Ault is
Saturday, December 15th • 1:00 pm ESPN • University Stadium  NEVADA (7-5)  Albuquerque, NM  ARIZONA (7-5)	OL -	IN UA	UN avg 6-3 301, 2 Sr, 1		C. Turf/	1/2 -	a HOF'er and we'll give him the edge here.  UAiscloser, but we don't expect to see a large contingent
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S SP TEAMS A.O.R. NEVADA 259 290 39 -3 96.0	DL -	- V		8 sk all'd (3.7%), 5.4 ypc	Crowd		of Wildcat fans, while UN ply'd here Nov 17th. Similar in stats as the nation's top 2 RB's face
ARIZONA 305 292 46 -2 - 107.5  Nevada and Arizona are expected to get the bowl season started with plenty of fireworks		-		n's 16 sks (25%), 4.4 yp	C.		off with each QB also finishing #2 in rushing. UN already knocked off a P12 school but UA happy
here as the 2 are projected with 85 pts and 1,100+ ttl yds. The Wolf Pack are getting 9' pts here,			Fischer #1 tkl'r w/106,	6.5 tfl, Flowers #2, 12 t	i.	-   -	to make a bowl. OVERALL - ARIZONA
played in Albuquerque a few wks back and have a HOF HC to keep this one within the spread.  4★ OVER • 3★ NEVADA 39 (+) ARIZONA 46	DB -	- /	UN #96 pass eff D, 218 UA #75 pass eff D, 296	8 ypg (56%), 24-4 ratio. 6 ypg (61%), 22-10 ratio		-	by 7' 's
IDAHO POTATO BOWI	υτ QB -	T USU - 1/2	UT USU	UT USU	ссн	UT USI	
Saturday, December 15th • 4:30 pm ESPN • Bronco Stadium	U	T USU	CHECKLIST COMME	ENTS	Turf/	Ľ	prefer Andersen's experience.  Aggies will obviously have the crowd edge and
TOLEDO (9-3) POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S SP TEAMS A.O.R.	OL -	- 1/2	USU avg 6-4 304, 0 Sr, 1	16 sk all'd (4.1%), 5.3 ypc	Crowd		will be hungry after losing here LY to a MAC tm. The winner can very well come down to Utah
TOLEDO 134 213 21 +7 96.1 UTAH ST 183 311 35 -2 94.7	DL -	- //	USU avg 6-2 284, 18 of tm	's 39 sks (46%), 2.9 ypc.	MTCH	-   -	St's #19 pass D vs Toledo's receivers.  UT has been competitive not losing any gm
PP has Utah St taking home a 2 td victory here but we disagree as Toledo has played the tougher schedule and hasn't lost by more than 7 this season (10 pt dog here). The Aggies on	LB -	-	Molls #1 tkl'r w/166, 6 tfl, Doughty #1 tkl'r w/101, 3	, Bell #2 w/93, 2.5 tfl. 3.5 tfl, Vigil #2 w/96, 9.5 tf		-	by more than 7 pts.  OVERALL -  UTAH ST
the other hand have played in a clearly less-competitive conf and could struggle to cover here.  NO PLAY: UTAH ST 35 TOLEDO 21	DB -	-   ~	UT #77 pass eff D, 296 y USU #19 pass eff D, 213		ST	<b>-</b>	SCH 1/2 - <b>OTAH ST</b> by 5' ✓'s
		YU SDS				YU SDS	it
Thursday, December 20th • 8:00 pm ESPN • Qualcomm Stadium		/2 - YU SDS	RB - 1/2		-	-	While Rocky Long has plenty of experience, Mendenhall is now in his 8th straight bowl.
BYU (7-5) San Diego, CA SAN DIEGO ST (9-3)	OL 6	-	BYU avg 6-5 306, 2 Sr, 2 SDSt avg 6-5 298, 3 Sr.	28 sk all'd (6.2%), 4.1 ypc 30 sk all'd (9.3%), 5.1 ypc		- 1/1/	/2 SDStrarely sells out but they wanted to be at home for this bowl and they are, so a significant edge.
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S SP TEAMS A.C.R. BYU 258 25 -5 - 99.9	DL VV	/1/2 -	BYU avg 6-4 284, 13.5 of SDSt avg 6-3 265, 6 of to	tm's 31 sks (44%), 2.7 ypc	MTCH	-	BYU was +30 ypg vs bowl eligible tms TY while SDSt was -77 on the season.
SAN DIEGO ST 152 143 21 +3 97.5 While the Aztecs have a 9-3 record, PP realizes the value in taking BYU as they are	LB 🗸	v -	Ogletree #1 tkl'r w/92,	11.5 tfl, Hundley #5, 9 t		-   -	BYU expected to top the 7 wins but they were already signed up for this bowl.  OVERALL -
forecasted to take a 4 pt win while outgaining them 385-295 and having plenty of experience playing at Qualcomm Stadium in the past in their former MW days.	DB 🗸	v -	Fely #2 tkl'r w/86, 11.5 BYU #31 pass eff D, 182	2 ypg (58%), 12-9 ratio.	ST	- v	SCH - BYU
2* BYU 25 SAN DIEGO ST 21	BSI	U UCF	SDSt #97 pass eff D, 23	5 ypg (63%), 23-11 ratio. BSU UCF	В	SU UCF	by 7 🗸 's
BEEF 'O' BRADY'S BOWL	QB -	-	RB - 1/2	WR - 1/2		- V	
Friday, December 21st • 7:30 pm ESPN • Tropicana Field  BALL ST (9-3) St Petersburg, FL UCF (9-4)	OL 1/2		BSU avg 6-4 303, 3 Sr, 1	10 sk all'd (2.2%), 5.0 ypc		- /	· · ·
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S SP TEAMS A.O.R. BALL ST 185 211 24 -2 98.6	DL -	1/2	UCF avg 6-4 298, 2 Sr, 2 BSU avg 6-2 274, 17 of t	23 sk all'd (6.1%), 4.8 ypc tm's 20 sks (85%), 5.1 yp		- 1	UCF OL avg 4.8 and DL 4.1 while Ball St's
UCF 226 250 37 +11 - 99.9 UCF is looking to make a statement here after an OT loss to Tulsa in the CUSA Champ that	LB -	v	UCF avg 6-3 271, 17 of the Freeman #1 tkl'r w/119	tm's 23 sks (73%), 4.1 yp ), 1 tfl, Martin #2, 3 tfl.	C. INT		OL avg 5.0 and DL 5.1. BSU excited but UCF knows they'll be staying home for holidays next vr.  OVERALL -
kept them out of a trip to the Liberty Bowl. With the line at just 7 and UCF forecasted to take home	DB -	VV1/2	Plummer #3 tkl'r w/102 BSU #106 pass eff D, 25	2, 6 tfl, J Davis #4, 9 tfl.		1/2	UCF
a 13 pt win (476-396 yd edge) in their home state of FL, we'll make UCF a star rated play here.  2★ UCF 37 BALL ST 24			UCF #34 pass eff D, 21	8 ypg (60%), 14-11 ratio	).	-	scH - 1/2 by 9' ✓'s
NEW ORLEANS BOWL	QB -		RB 🗸 -	WR 1/2 -		- 1/2	
Saturday, December 22nd • 12:00 ESPN • Superdome  E CAROLINA (8-4) New Orleans, LA LOUISIANA (8-4)	OL -		CHECKLIST COMME EC avg 6-4 312, 2 Sr, 28		Turf/	- VV1/	Hudspeth is a rising star in the business.  2 LY there may not have been a bigger home
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S SP TEAMS A.C.R. E CAROLINA 105 290 30 -1 - 97.6	DL -	- V	UL avg 6-4 308, 2 Sr, 12 EC avg 6-2 310, 7 of tm's	sk all'd (3.6%), 5.1 ypc.	Crowd MTCH	- 1/2	edge than UL bringing 40,000 here. UL was -26 ypg vs 5 bowl opponents but EC
LOUISIANA 185 281 37 -1 - 95.0 PP projects a 7 pt win by Louisiana in their home state but we disagree and like the dog	LB .		UL avg 6-3 286, 23.5 of Grove #2 tkl'r w/71, 4.5	tm's 26 sks (90%), 3.9 yp	c.		dead last at -160 ypg vs 4 bowl foes.  While CUSA EC is a td dog to SBC, both tms
here as East Carolina is forecasted to be outgained by just 71 yds. Have to wonder what UL's			Anderson #1 tkl'r w/10	1, 8 tfl, Molbert #5, 2 tf	1.		excited to be here.  LOUISIANA
mind-set will be as nearly a td favorite here.  NO PLAY: LOUISIANA 37 E CAROLINA 30	DB -		EC #70 pass eff D, 272 UL #69 pass eff D, 285	2 ypg (58%), 27-9 ratio. 5 ypg (62%), 19-12 ratio			by 4 $\checkmark$ 's
LAS VEGAS BOWL	QB 1/		RB	UW BSU	ССН	JW BSI	
Saturday, December 22nd • 3:30 pm ESPN • Sam Boyd Stadium	UV	W BSU	CHECKLIST COMME		Turf/	- 1/2	who is 5-1 ATS with easy wins here L2Y.  While we think the crowd will be split, Boise gets
WASHINGTON (7-5) Las Vegas, NV POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S SPITEAMS A.O.R.	OL -	-	BSU avg 6-3 293, 3 Sr, 9	9 sk all'd (2.5%), 4.7 ypc.	Crowd	- 1	edge in familiarity with 4th time here in 3Y.
WASHINGTON 117 173 16 +7 BO 5 107.6 BOISE ST 155 161 18 +18 97.5	DL -	- /		tm's 34 sks (78%), 3.6 yp	c.	-	and a 3.6-4.5 ypc edge on defense. You have a BCS tm that is a decided underdog
You have to wonder Boise's mind-set going to Vegas for a 3rd straight year. Despite a ssn- ending loss to rival WSU, UW has put together a strong finish and could have the momentum	LB 1/			5 tfl, Smith #3 w/55, 4 tf		-	vs a non-BCS. OVERALL - WASHINGTON
here as Boise is a 5 pt favorite but are expected to outgain the Huskies by just 26 yds.  4★ WASHINGTON 16 (+) BOISE ST 18	DB   1/	/2 -	UW #11 pass eff D, 18 BSU #18 pass eff D, 16			- /	SCH vvvv - WASHINGTON
HAWAII BOWI.	SM QB -	IU FSU	SMU FSU	SMU FSU		MU FS	TI D D
Monday, December 24th • 8:00 pm ESPN • Aloha Stadium	QB -	IU FSU	CHECKLIST COMME	ENTS	Tuest	v -	college/NFL vet coaching in his 10th bowl. The Islands were good to Jones who cch'd here for
SMU (6-6) Honolulu, HI FRESNO ST (9-3) POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S SP TEAMS A.O.R.	OL -	- 1/2		29 sk all'd (5.9%), 4.3 ypc 22 sk all'd (4.6%), 4.4 ypc	Crowd		9 yrs and brought this SMU tm here 3Y ago.
SMU 100 186 24 +12 - 100.2 FRESNO ST 142 308 36 +18 98.3	DL   1/	/2 -	SMU avg 6-5 280, 9.5 of FSU avg 6-2 291, 13 of t	tm's 17 sks (56%), 3.9 ypc tm's 35 sks (37%), 4.0 yp		- /	SMU is -145 ypg vs its 6 bowl foes.
PP has Fresno St and its high-powered offense right at the line with a 450-286 yd edge and a 12 point differential having us pass on this matchup against SMU and former Hawaii HC	LB 1/	/2 -	Joyner #1 tkl'r w/89, Brown #3 tkl'r w/67, 2 tfl,		. INT	/1/2 -	Doesn't get any better than a home town vet HC being a DD dog.
Jones, who is back in this bowl for a 2nd time in the L/4 ssns.  NO PLAY: FRESNO ST 36 SMU 24	DB -	- 1/2	SMU #20 pass eff D, 27			-	FRESNO ST
LITTLE CAESARS PIZZA BOWL	CI	M WKU	CM WKL	J CM WKL		CM WK	(U
Wednesday, December 26th • 7:30 pm ESPN • Ford Field	QB -	M WKU	RB	WR 1/2 -	ССН	- 1/2	ATS here while Enos is 11-25 ATS.
C MICHIGAN (6-6) Detroit, MI POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S SPIEAMS A.O.R.	OL V		CM avg 6-4 303, 3 Sr, 14		C	-	Central was picked to be in this bowl to make sure seats are filled with fans from MI.
C MICHIGAN 122 217 30 +2 - 94.2 WKU 201 201 33 -2 98.2	DL -	- 1	CM avg 6-3 275, 12 of tr		MTCH	- /	Against bowl eligible tms, CM was -126 ypg and WKU was -16 ypg.
While WKU is forecasted with a 3 pt win and a 402-339 yd edge, you have to take into effect that the Hilltoppers will be without their HC, playing in their first ever bowl and CM is just happy	LB -	- 1	Cherocci #1 tkl'r w/124,	2.5 tfl, Benton #2 w/117		-   -	On Bowl Selection Sunday both tms had to feel they were a longshot.  OVERALL -
to be bowling, especially in their own backyard of Detroit.	DB -	- 1	CM #93 pass eff D, 244			- v	wKU
2★ C MICHIGAN 30 (+) WKU 33  Power Plays Subscribers Get \$500 in Northcoast Debit Co	ard	Cre		06 ypg (59%), 21-13 rati 29! Load up		sketh	1 PER CUSTOMER. OFFER VAILD THRU 12/31/12
Tomorria o dabionibolo Cor Coo III Voli i Codo Debii Co	2		GIII OI OI		101 00	OKO IL	JUII! CALL 1-800-654-3448

#### MILITARY BOWL

Thursday, December 27th • 3:00 pm ESPN • RFK Stadium

<b>BOWLING GREEN</b>	(8-4)	Washing	ton, DC	SAN JOSE ST (10-2)			
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RÚSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.	
BOWLING GREEN	111	227	19	+4	M MOS	94.0	
SAN JOSE ST	68	264	25	+8		95.2	

While the Spartans enter this matchup as a td favorite, the yds actually say the Falcons as they are forecasted to outgain SJSt by a 338-332 mark and are happy to be here after questions about whether or not they would be selected for the post season. BG keeps this contest within a td.

3★ BOWLING GREEN 19 (+) SAN JOSE ST 25

#### BELK BOWL

Thursday, December 27th • 6:30 pm ESPN • Bank of America Stadium

CINCINNATI (9-3)		Charlot	te, NC		<b>DUKE</b> (6-6)		
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.	
CINCINNATI /	235	227	35	+5	VV	97.3	
DUKE	128	307	27	+6	4	104.0	
The Decrease will be a	بالقائد والمساعدات وسال	م ما بنا م مالا لا، . م م		🗖 معاقبها العاديد	Desile and		

The Bearcats will be playing without their head coach here while the Blue Devils are ecstatic to be back in a bowl after an 18 yr layoff. Cincy and Duke are forecasted to rack up nearly 900 total yards and we agree in this probable shootout.

4★ OVER CINCINNATI 35 DUKE 27

# HOLIDAY BOWL

Thursday, December 27th • 9:45 pm ESPN • Qualcomm Stadium

	San Die	ego, CA		A (9-4)	
RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.
216	329	40	+5	VVV	109.8
217	324	43	+4	RUIN	107.1
	216	RUSH PASS <b>216 329</b>	216 329 40	RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S 216 329 40 +5	RUSH PASS POINTS TO'S SPITEAMS 216 329 40 +5

2nd consecutive year that Baylor is facing a P12 team in the post ssn (67-56 win over Wash in LY's Alamo). This isn't your average UCLA offense, however, and PP agrees as while the yardage forecast is nearly even, the Bruins have the narrow point edge and are fairly close to home.

1★ UCLA 43 BAYLOŘ 40

#### INDEPENDENCE BOWI

Friday, December 28th • 2:00 pm ESPN • Independence Stadium

Shreveport, LA

ULM

UNIU (0-4)		Sillevep	ULIVI (0-4)			
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.
OHIO	151	234	26	+14		92.1
ULM	173	274	38	+8	VVV	98.4

Two teams headed in different directions here as Ohio lost its final 3 contests while ULM came out victorious in its L/2. ULM is forecasted with a 12 pt win in their home state but the yards are in favor of OU keeping this one tight. No Play.

NO PLAY: ULM 38 ÓHIO 26

#### RUSSELL ATHLETIC BOWL

Friday, December 28th • 5:30 pm ESPN • Florida Citrus Bowl

RUTGERS (9-3)		Orland	o, FL	VIRGINIA TECH (6-6)			
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.Ŕ.	
RUTGERS	107	154	19	+9		99.1	
VIRGINIA TECH	99	223	17	-4	/_ /_	103.8	

Two disappointed teams battle here as Rutgers was clearly thinking Orange Bowl just a few weeks ago while the Hokies have posted their worst record since the 1992 season. While PP projects the dog to win here, the yards are in favor of Va Tech and we'll pass.

**NO PLAY: RUTGERS 19 VIRGINIA TECH 17** 

# CAR CARE BOWN

Friday, December 28th • 9:00 pm ESPN • Reliant Stadium

MINNESOTA (6-6)		Housto	on,TX	TEXAS TECH (7-5)			
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.	
MINNESOTA	143	109	19	-3		102.0	
TEXAS TECH	130	327	34	-12		105.7	
December 10 Secretion	alala alama		T 110 :	Edward Starke	O'	C a source	

Despite the questionable departure of Texas Tech HC Tuberville to Cincy, we still agree with the forecast here as the Red Raiders are projected to outgain the Gophers by a 457-252 clip while delivering a 15 pt victory in their home state.

2★ TEXAS TECH 34 MINNESOTA 19

# ARMED FORCES BOWL

Saturday, December 29th • 11.45 am ESPN • Amon G. Carter Stadium

SCF (6-6)

Fort Worth TX

RICE (6-6)

AIR FORCE (6-6)	Fort Wo	rth, TX		RICE (6-6			
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.Ŕ.		
AIR FORCE 338	110	29	-13		95.2		
RICE 166	205	30	+5	VVV	96.9		
0 11 1 1 1 1 1 1		40 074) : 1	1				

Solid yardage edge for the Falcons here (448-371) in a bowl that they have played in on 4 occasions over the past 6 years. For a game that is right at even as of presstime, we'll make some stipulations here with Air Force.

2★ AIR FORCE 29 (if dog) RICE 30 • No Play if AF favored

# FIGHT HUNGERBOWL

Saturday, December 29th • 4:00 pm espn2 • AT&T Park

NAVY (8-4)	220077		isco, CA		ARIZONA ST (7-5		
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SPITEAMS	A.O.Ŕ.	
NAVY	266	40	16	-2		97.3	
ARIZONA ST	202	229	36	+6	ノノノ	106.3	

While ASU is projected with a 20 point victory, we disagree as Navy is forecasted with 266 yards on the ground which will likely chew up the clock and keep this matchup tighter than expected.

NO PLAY: ARIZONA ST 36 NAVY 16

_													
Q	_	BG -	SJSt VV1/2	RB	BG -	SJSt	WR	BG -	SJSt ✓1/2	ССН	BG -	SJSt -	We respect the job both HC's have done and
		BG	SJSt	CHECK		-	NTS			Turf/	~	_	feel each will soon be at BCS schools. While neither will have more than a smattering
0	L	~	-	BG avg 6- SJSt avg						Crowd	•	-	of fans, we give BG the edge b/c of climate.
DI	L	-	1/2	BG avg 6-	-2 268, 2	24 of tm	's 37 sks	(65%),	3.6 ypc.	MTCH	-	~	The Spartans were +148 ypg vs bowl eligible teams while the Falcons were -42 ypg.
LE	3	,		SJSt avg 6 Martin #1						INT	_	_	SJSt thrilled with 1st bowl appearance s/06 but 9 wins
L	1			Smith #1	tkľr w/	87, 3.5	tfl, Buha	giar #2	, 9 tfl.				for BG would be great. SAN JOSE ST
DI	В	~	-	BG #28 p SJSt #50						ST	-	-	SCH - by 2' ✓'s
		UC	DUKE			DUKE		UC	DUKE		UC	DUKE	
Q	В	-	DUIVE	RB	1/2	-	WR	-	1/2	ССН		~	Butch Jones has been to 3 bowls but vet Cutcliffe excited in his 1st bowl s/'03.
0	LT	UC 1/2	DUKE -	UC avg 6-				.8), 5.2	ypc.	Turf/	-	<b>V</b> 1/2	Duke ply'g in same state and the Cameron Crazies have
		1/0		DU avg 6-				-		Crowd MTCH	_	_	the whole wk off so they'll be rooting for the FB tm. UC has ply'd just 2 decent pass offenses (Syr,
DI	-	1/2	-	UC avg 6- DU avg 6-						WITCH	_	_	L'ville) and all'd 502 ypg in those two.
LE	3	•	-	Blair #1 t Canty #1						INT	-	-	1st bowl for DU s/'94 and excitement on campus for 'the other' sports tm. OVERALL -
DI	В	V	-	UC #15 p	oass eff	D, 244	ypg (55°	%), 11-	14 ratio.	ST	~	-	SCH - VV1/2 DUKE
				DU #92 p	oass eff	D, 262	ypg (57°	%), 25-	11 ratio.				by 2 <b>✓</b> 's
Q	_	BU	UCLA	RB	BU	UCLA	WR	BU	UCLA	ССН	BU 1/2	UCLA -	While Briles has bowl exp, we'll only go up to 1/2
ď		BU	UCLA	CHECK	LIST C	OMME					1/2		because of Mora's successful transition to NCAA.
0	L	~	-	BU avg 6 UCLA avg						Turf/ Crowd	-	~	Short trip down I-5 has us giving the Bruins the edge in this matchup.
DI		- (	<b>//</b> 1/2	BU avg 6	-3 263,	8 of tm	's 13 sks	(62%),	4.7 ypc.	МТСН	-	<b>√</b> 1/2	UCLA +91 ypg vs 8 bowl tms while BU only +33 ypg vs post season foes.
LE	3		~	UCLA avg Hager #						INT		_	Two mobile QB's matchup and both D's used to facing
_				Kendrick	s #1 tkl	r w/137	7, 6 tfl, Ba	arr #3,	20.5 tfl.				them in practice.  OVERALL - UCLA
DI	3		<i>\\\</i>	BU #84 p UCLA #5						ST	1/2	-	SCH ✓ - by 6 ✓ s
F	_	OU	ULM		OU	ULM	710 (	OU	ULM	•	OU	ULM	
Q	В	-	1/2	RB	~	-	WR	-	~	ССН	~	-	While Berry is a vet this is his 1st bowl as a HC and Solich is making his 7th bowl appearance overall.
0		00	ULM	CHECK OU avg 6-				'.1%). 4	.3 vpc.	Turf/	-	<b>///</b>	This will be 1 of the largest home edges with
L				ULM avg	6-3 301	, 1 Sr, 2	2 sk all'd	(4.4%),	4.3 ypc.	Crowd MTCH	_	_	Shreveport just a stone's throw away.  We'll call it even as both teams were injury
DI	-	-	~	OU avg 6- ULM avg 6						MICH	_	_	plagued down the final stretch.
LE	3	1/2	-	Moore #	1 tkľr w	v/89, 3.	5 tfl, Lev	vis #2,	1.5 tfl.	INT	-	-	ULM thrilled for its 1st bowl appearance while OU has exp in bowls.  OVERALL -
DI	3	<b>v</b>	-	Young #1 OU #52 p			tfl, Stovall pg (57%),			ST	_	<b>√</b> 1/2	SCH L
				ULM #76	pass eff	f D, 272	ypg (62%	), 27-15	5 ratio.	•		¥ .,_	by 5' <b>✓</b> 's
Q	ы	RU	VT		RU	VT		RU	VT		RU	VT	
Q							WD		1/0	CCH			Reamer's 26th season vs Flood's 1st makes it
	_	RU	VT	RB	LIST C	- OMME	WR NTS	-	1/2	ССН	-	v	Beamer's 26th season vs Flood's 1st makes it an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.
01			-	CHECK RU avg 6	LIST C 3-5 299,	1 Sr, 8	NTS 3 sk all'd (		3.9 ypc.	Turf/	-	V	
O DI	-		VT 1/2	CHECKI RU avg 6 VT avg 6- RU avg 6-	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255,	, 1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of tn	NTS 3 sk all'd ( sk all'd (5 n's 22 sks	.3%), 4. (50%)	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc.		-		an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2. Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that
DI	L L	RU - -	VT 1/2	CHECKI RU avg 6 VT avg 6- RU avg 6- VT avg 6-	LIST C 6-5 299, -5 305, 3 -3 255, -2 283, 1	, 1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of tn 13 of tm	NTS 3 sk all'd ( sk all'd (5 n's 22 sks 's 32 sks (	.3%), 4. (50%) (41%), 3	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH	•	<i>v</i>	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2. Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season. VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st
DI	L L	RU - -	VT 1/2 1/2 -	CHECKI RU avg 6 VT avg 6- RU avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 2 283, 1 1 tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1	, 1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of tn 13 of tm 125, 10.	NTS 3 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 n's 22 sks 's 32 sks ( 5 tfl, Jama tfl, Taylo	.3%), 4. (50%) (41%), 3 Il Merrel or #3, 9	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc. Il #3, 8 tfl. 0 tfl.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH	•		an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2. Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season. VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  VIRGINIA TECH
DI	L L	RU - -	VT 1/2 1/2 -	CHECKI RU avg 6 VT avg 6- RU avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene #1	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 2 283, 1 1 tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1	1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of tn 13 of tm 125, 10.9 112, 11 D, 217	NTS B sk all'd (5. n's 22 sks s's 32 sks (5. 5 tfl, Jama tfl, Taylo	.3%), 4. (50%) (41%), 3 Il Merrel or #3, 9 %), 12-	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc. II #3, 8 tfl. tfl.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH	•	<i>v</i>	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2. Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season. VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st
DI	L   B	RU	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2	CHECKI RU avg 6- VT avg 6- RU avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 † RU #36 p	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 2 283, 1 1 tkl'r w/1 bass eff	1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of tm 13 of tm 125, 10.1 112, 11 FD, 217 D, 205	NTS 8 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 n's 22 sks 's 32 sks ( 5 tfl, Jama tfl, Taylo ypg (56 ypg (51	3%), 4. (50%) (41%), 3 I Merrel or #3, 9 %), 12- %), 17-	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc.   #3, 8 tfl.   tfl.   16 ratio.   12 ratio.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH	- - -	<ul><li>V</li><li>V</li><li>-</li></ul>	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH 2 CALC VIRGINIA TECH
DI	L B	RU - -	VT 1/2 1/2 -	CHECKI RU avg 6- VT avg 6- RU avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 † RU #36 p	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 2 283, 1 1 tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1	1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of tn 13 of tm 125, 10.9 112, 11 D, 217	NTS 8 sk all'd (5 8 sk all'd (5 n's 22 sks 6's 32 sks (5 5 tfl, Jama tfl, Taylo ypg (56° ypg (51°)	.3%), 4. (50%) (41%), 3. I Merrel or #3, 9 %), 12- %), 17- UM	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc. II #3, 8 tfl. tfl.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH	-	<i>v</i>	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - VIRGINIA TECH by 6' v's
DI LE DI	L B B	RU	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 TT VVV	CHECK RU avg 6 VT avg 6- RU avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 RU #36 p VT #13 p	LIST C 5-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 2 283, 1 1 tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1 pass eff UM - LIST C	1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of tm 13 of tm 125, 10.1 112, 11 D, 217 D, 205	NTS 8 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 n's 22 sks (5 stl, Jama tfl, Taylof ypg (56° ypg (51°) WR	3%), 4. s (50%) (41%), 3 ll Merrel or #3, 9 %), 12- %), 17-	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc. I #3, 8 tfl. tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT	- - - •	v v ·	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - V1/2  VIRGINIA TECH by 6' V's
DI LE DI	B L	RU UM	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 TT VVV	CHECKI RU avg 6- RU avg 6- RU avg 6- RU avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB CHECKI UM avg 6- TT avg 6-	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 2 283, 1 1 tkl'r w/1 bass eff UM - LIST C -4 304, 5 313, 3	1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of tm 13 of tm 125, 10.1 112, 11 D, 217 D, 205 TT OMME 0 Sr, 18 3 Sr, 18	NTS 8 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (3 sk all'd (3 sk all'd (3 sk all'd (5	3%), 4.6 (50%) (41%), 3 (10 Merrel or #3, 9 %), 12-6), 17-1	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc.   #3,8 tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio.  TT  //1/2  5.8 ypc.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST CCH Turf/ Crowd	- - - V	ν ν - π	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - V1/2 VIRGINIA TECH by 6' V's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this trip to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foli'g.
DI LE DI	B L	RU UM	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 TT VVV	CHECKI RU avg 6- RU avg 6- RU avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB CHECKI UM avg 6- TT avg 6- UM avg 6-	LIST C 6-5 299, 5-305, 3 -3 255, 2 283, 1 1 tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1 pass eff UM - LIST C -4 304, 5 313, 3	1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of tm 13 of tm 125, 10.9 112, 11 D, 217 D, 205 TT OMME 0 Sr, 18 3 Sr, 18 16.5 of tm	NTS 8 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sh's 22 sks (5 5 tfl, Jama tfl, Taylof ypg (56° ypg (51°) WR NTS sk all'd (5 sk all'd (3 sk 25 sks all'd (3 sk 25 sks all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sk	3%), 4. (50%) (41%), 3 Il Merrel or #3, 9 %), 12- %), 17- UM - •	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc.   #3, 8 tfl. tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio.  TT //1/2  3.8 ypc. 5 ypc. , 4.6 ypc.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST	- - - V	ν ν - π	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season. VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - VIRGINIATECH by 6' 's's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Tech fanswill make this tripto Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).
DI LE DI	B	RU UM	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 TT VVV	CHECK: RU avg 6- VT avg 6- RU avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 * RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB CHECK: UM avg 6- TT avg 6- UM avg 6- TT avg 6- Hill #2 tkl	LIST C LI	1 1 Sr, 8 8 Sr, 21 11 of th 13 of th 11 of th 13 of th 12 11 of th	NTS s k all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 n's 22 sks s 32 sks (5 stil, Jama stil, Taylor ypg (56s ypg (51s) WR NTS sk all'd (5 sk all'd (3 m's 25 sks s 16 sks (6 allis #3 v	.3%), 4.6 (50%) (41%), 3.1 Merrel or #3, 9 %), 12-%), 17-  UM  - 6.7%), 3.2%), 4.8 (66%), 4.8 (66%), 4.71, 5.7%), 4.8	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc.   #3, 8 tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio.  TT //1/2  3.8 ypc. 4.6 ypc. 4.4 ypc. 5 tfl.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST CCH Turf/ Crowd	- - - V	ν ν - π	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - V1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' '>'s  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this trip to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g.  UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (64%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT 3-1 ATS
DI LE DI Q OI	B B L L B	RU UM - UM	VT   1/2   1/2   1/2     1/2     T	CHECK: RU avg 6- VT avg 6- RU avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB CHECK: UM avg 6- TT avg 6- TT avg 6- TT avg 6-	LIST C 299, 5 305, 3 255, 3 255, 3 255, 2 283, 1 tkl'r w/1 bass eff UM LIST C -4 304, 1 5 3 3 269, 1 l'r w/71, ttkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1 t	1 Sr, 8 Sr, 21 11 of tn 13 of tm' 11 of tn 125, 10.3 112, 11 12, 11 12, 11 13, 11 14 15 16.5 of tn 16.5 of tn 17, 4 tfl, F 147, 1.5	NTS s k all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 n's 22 sks 's 32 sks (5 ftl, Jama tfl, Taylor ypg (56° ypg (519)  WR  NTS sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sks all'd (5 sks all'd (5 sks all's 4 sks all's	.3%), 4.4 6 (50%)) 6 (50%)) 441%), 3 6 (50%) 14 Merrell 9 or #3, 9 7 %), 12- 9 0 10 (10 %), 17- 10 (10 %), 17- 10 (10 %), 17- 10 (10 %), 17- 10 %), 17- 10 (10 %), 17- 10 %), 17- 10 %, 17	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc.   #3, 8 tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio.  TT //1/2  3.8 ypc. 4.6 ypc. 4.4 ypc. 15, 1.5 tfl.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT	- - - - - -	т - vv v	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' 's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techtanswillmake this trip to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g.  UM has 2 major issues onoffense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT 3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - XASTECH
DI LE	B B L L B	- UM - UM	VT   1/2   1/2   1/2     1/2     T	CHECKI RU avg 6 VT avg 6- RU avg 6 VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB CHECKI UM avg 6- TU avg 6- Hill #2 tkl Smith #4	LIST C 299, 5 305, 3 255, 3 255, 3 255, 3 255, 4 2 283, 1 tkl'r w/1 bass eff UM LIST C -4 304, 1 5 313, 3 269, 1 l'r w/71, tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/2 bass eff	1 1 Sr, 8 8 Sr, 21 11 of th 13 of th" 13 of th" 15 C 11 11 of th 13 of th" 15 C 11 12 Sr, 10.2 12 Sr,	NTS 8 sk all'd (5 st all's 22 sks (5 st all sk all's 22 sks (5 st all sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (5 sk all'd (3 sk all's 43 sk all'd (3 sk all'd	.3%), 4.4 6 (50%)) 441%), 3 41%), 3 14 (14%), 3 14 (14%), 3 14 (14%), 3 14 (14%), 4 15 (14%), 4 16 (14%), 4 17 (14	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. , 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc. 1 #3, 8 tfl. 0 tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio. 17 / 1/2 8.8 ypc. 4.6 ypc. 4.6 ypc. 1.4 ypc. itfl. 5, 1.5 tfl. 11 ratio.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH	UM	т -	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - V1/2 VIRGINIA TECH by 6' V's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this tripto Relant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foli'g.  UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT 3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.
DI LE	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	RU UM 11/2	VT   1/2   1/2   -	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 RU avg 6 RU avg 6 Greene #1 Tyler #1 RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB  CHECKI UM avg 6 Hill #2 tkl Smith #4 UM #32 p  TT #45 p	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 2 283, 11 tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1 bass eff UM - LIST C -4 304, 5 313, 3 4 268, 1 1'r w/71/, tkl'r w/pass eff AF	1 1 Sr, 8 8 Sr, 21 11 of th 13 of th" 13 of th" 15 C 11 11 of th 13 of th" 15 C 11 12 Sr, 10.2 12 Sr,	NTS  3 sk all'd (5	.3%), 4.4 6 (50%)) 441%), 3 41%), 3 14 (14%), 3 14 (14%), 3 14 (14%), 3 14 (14%), 4 15 (14%), 4 16 (14%), 4 17 (14	3.9 ypc. 0. ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 1 #3,8 tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio. 17 / √1/2 16 ratio. 17 / √1/2 17 ratio. 18 ypc. 18 ypc. 19 ypc. 19 ypc. 11 ypc. 11 ypc. 11 ypc. 11 ypc. 12 ratio. 17 ratio.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST	UM AF	TT - VV - RICE	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' '>'s  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this tirp to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UMhas 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (64%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT-31 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VERALL-TEXAS TECH by 13 V's
DI LE	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	RU UM 11/2 AF	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 RICE 1/2	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB  CHECKI UM avg 6 TT avg 6- RB  RB  RB	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 2 283, 11 tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1 pass eff UM - LIST C -4 304, 5 313, 3 4 268, 1 1'r w/1, 1 tkl'r w/1 4 AF	1 Sr, 8 8 Sr, 21 11 of tn 3 of tm 125, 10.1 12, 11 1	NTS  3 sk all'd (5	.3%), 4. ; (50%) ; (50%) ; (41%), 3. il Merrel or #3, 9 %), 12- why, 17- um - why, 17- why, 1	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc. 1 tfl. 1 fe ratio. 1 ratio. 1 ratio.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT	UM AF	TT - VV - RICE	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' 's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techtanswillmake this trip to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g.  UM has 2 major issues onoffense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT 3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - XASTECH
DI LE	B B	RU UM 11/2	VT   1/2   1/2   -	CHECK! RU avg 6 VT avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene ## Tyler #1 1 Tyler #1	LIST C 5-5 299, 5 305, 2 53, 5 305, 2 283, 1 4 1 tkl'r w/1 hasses eff UM LIST C -4 304, 1 1 tkl'r w/1 hasses eff UM LIST C -4 304, 1 1 tkl'r w/1 hasses eff US - 2 3 255, 3 3 255, 3 3 255, 3 3 255, 3 3 255, 3 3 255, 3 3 255, 3 3 255, 3 3 255, 3 3 3 255, 3 255, 3 255	1 Sr, 88 Sr, 21 11 of tn 3 of tm' 125, 10.1 11 p, 205  TT  OMME  O	NTS  I sk all'd (sk all'd (sk all'd sk all'd sk all'd (sk all'd sk	.3%), 4.4 (50%), 4.4 (14%), 3.4 (14%), 3.4 (14%), 3.4 (14%), 3.4 (14%), 3.4 (14%), 1.2 (14%), 1.2 (	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 1#3, 8 tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio. 17 // 1/2 1.8 ypc. 2.8 ypc. 4.6 ypc. 1.4 ypc. 1.1 ratio. 7 ratio. 7 ratio. 17 ratio. 18 ypc. 2 ypc. 2 ypc.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Turf/ Turf/ Turf/ Turf/	UM AF	TT - VV - RICE	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - V1/2 VIRGINIA TECH by 6' 's's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here. Techtanswill make this tripto Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a folig. UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%). We usually give an edge to DD dogs butTT3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VIRGINIA TECH by 1 STATE CH by 13 V's  All Calhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Rice beingin-state, it's called the Armed Forces
DI LE DI COLO	B   B	RU UM 11/2 AF - AF	VT 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 TT TT VVV RICE 1/2 RICE	CHECKI RU avg 6 to 4 vT avg 6 to 5 vT avg 6 to 6 vT avg 6 to 7 vT avg 6 to 7 vT avg 6 to 8 vT avg 6	LIST C 5-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 5 298, 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 Sr, 8 8 Sr, 21 11 of tn 3 of tm' 125, 10.1 12, 11 D, 217 D, 205  TT  OMME 8 Sr, 18 6 5 of tm' 4, 4 tfl, F 47, 1.5 F D, 179 D, 196  RICE  OMME 8 Sr, 7 s 0 Sr, 38	NTS sk all'd (sk	.3%), 4.4 (50%), 4.4 (14%), 3.4 (14%), 3.4 (14%), 3.4 (14%), 3.4 (15%), 12- (15%), 12- (	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 183, 8 yp. 183, 8 tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio. 17	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST	- UM V AF V1/2	TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' 's's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this trip to Fellant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT-3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VIRGINIATECH by 13 V's  All Calhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Rice being in-state, it's called the Armed Forces Bowl and that's who the majority will be pulling for. In our bowl vs bowl numbers, the Falcons
DI LE DI DI DI DI DI DI DI	B   B	UM 11/2 AF - AF	VT   1/2   1/2   1/2   1/2   1/2   TT   VVV   TT   VVV   TT   T   T   T	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 Tyler #1 1 Tyler #3 1	LIST C 5-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, 3 -3 255, 3 289, 1 3 289, 1 3 289, 1 3 289, 1 3 289, 1 3 289, 1 3 289, 1 3 289, 1 3 25, 3 3 25, 3 3 25, 3 3 25, 3 3 25, 3 2	1 Sr, 88 3 Sr, 21 11 of tn 13 of tm 125, 10.3 of tm 13 of tm 125, 10.3 of tm 1	NTS  I sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4. (50%) (41%), 3. (50%) (41%), 3. (11 Merrel or #3, 9 %) (17 - 17 Merrel or #3, 17 Me	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 183, 8 ypc. 183, 8 ypc. 183, 8 ypc. 183, 8 ypc. 193, 8 ypc. 193, 194, 195, 195, 195, 195, 195, 195, 195, 195	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH	AF V1/2	TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season. VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - V1/2 VIRGINIA TECH by 6' V's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach horle. Tech fanswill make this trip to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a folig. UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%). We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT 3-1 ATS over the control of the control
DI LE DI COLO	B   B	RU UM 11/2 AF - AF	VT 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 TT TT VVV RICE 1/2 RICE	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 RU #36 FRB CHECKI UM avg 6 TT avg 6-6 TT avg 6-6 TT avg 6-7 TT 4vg 6-7 TT 4vg 6-7 RB RB CHECKI UM 4vg 6 TT 4vg 6-7 RB RB CHECKI AF avg 6-7 RB RB CHECKI AF avg 6-7 AF avg 6-7	LIST C	1 Sr, 8 Sr, 21 11 of tn 13 of tm' 13 of tm' 13 of tm' 13 of tm' 14 of tm' 15	NTS  3 sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4.4 (.3%), 4.4 (.41%), 5. (.41%), 5. (.41%), 7.4 (.41%), 1.7 (.41%), 1.7	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 1 #3, 8 fll. 11 fl ratio. 12 ratio. 17 / 1/2 1.8 ypc. 1.4 ypc. 1.4 ypc. 1.1 ratio. 17 ratio. 18 ratio. 11 ratio. 11 ratio. 12 ratio. 14 ratio. 15 ratio. 16 ratio. 17 ratio. 17 ratio. 18 ratio. 18 ratio. 19 ratio. 11 ratio.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT  CCH Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd	- UM V AF V1/2	TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - V1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6¹ V's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this trip to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT-3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VIRGINIATECH by 13 V's  All Calhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Ricebeingin-state, it's called the Armed Forces bowl and that's who the majority will be pulling for. In our bowl vs bowl numbers, the Falcons are +40 ypg while Rice is -89 ypg.  While both are 6-6, AF has had 5 str virining ssns.
DI LE DI DI DI DI DI DI DI	B   B   B   B   B   B   B   B   B   B	UM 11/2 AF - AF	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 TT	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 RU avg 6 Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 RU #36 T FRB  CHECKI UM avg 6 TT avg 6- UM avg 6 TT avg 6- RE RB  CHECKI UM avg 6 RT avg 6- Rice avg 6 Rice avg 6 Rice avg 8 RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB	LIST C 299, 5 305, 5 299, 5 305, 5 305, 6 329, 1 tkl'r w/' 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 Sr, 88 Sr, 21 11 of tn 11 11 of tn 12 11 of tn 12 125, 10.0 112, 11 1 D, 217 D, 205  TT  OMME 0 Sr, 18 8 Sr, 18 8 Sr, 18 9 Sr, 18 9 Sr, 18 9 Sr, 18 10 OMME 10 OMME 10 OMME 11 of th 16 11 of th 16 12 of tn' 17 19 of tr 18 19 of tr 19	NTS  i sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4.4 (-3%),	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 183, 8 yp. 183, 8 tfl. 116 ratio. 12 ratio. 12 ratio. 14 ypc. 14 ypc. 15 ypc. 16 ypc. 17 ypc. 18 ypc. 18 ypc. 19 ypc. 19 ypc. 19 ypc. 11 tfl. 11 ratio. 11 tfl. 12 rypc. 14 ypc. 15 ypc. 16 ypc. 17 ypc. 18 ypc. 18 ypc. 19 y	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH	AF V1/2	TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' '>'s  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this tirp to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (64%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT 3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VERALL-TEXAS TECH by 13 'S's  All Calhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Rice being in-state, it's called the Armed Forces Bowl and that's who the majority will be pulling for. In our bowl vs bowl numbers, the Falcons are +40 ypg while Rice is -89 ypg.  While both are 6-6. AF has had 5 str winning ssns.
DI LE DI COLLE	B   1   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3	RU UM -   1/2   AF   -   1/2   -	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 TT VVV RICE 1/2 RICE 1/2	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 Greene #1 Tyler #1 RU #36 FRB CHECKI UM avg 6 TT avg 6- Hull #32 TT #45 FRB RB CHECKI RB	LIST C 289, 5 305, 5 30	1 Sr, 8 8 Sr, 21 11 of tn 11 of tn 11 of tn 12 o	NTS  i sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4. (.3%), 4. (.50%), (.6 (50%), (.6 (50%), (.7 (50%)), 12-  .2%), 17-  .2%), 44%  .775%), 4775%),	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 1#3, 8 tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio. 17 / 1/2 18 ypc. 14 46 ypc. 14 tfl. 11 ratio. 17 ratio. 17 ratio. 18 RICE 19 / 1/2 19 ypc. 14 (2 ypc. 14 (3 ypc. 15 (4 ypc. 16 (4 ypc. 17 ratio. 18 ratio. 19 (1 ypc. 10 ratio. 10 ratio. 10 ratio.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH INT ST	UM V V1/2 V 1/2 V -	TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - V1/2 VIRGINIA TECH by 6' V's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this tripto Reliant Stadium while we cant expect UM to have any semblance of a foli'g.  UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  SCH - VIRGINIA TECH by 13 V's  All Callhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here.  Despite Ricebeing in-state, it's calledthe Armed Forces Bowl and that's who the majority will be pulling for.  In our bowl vs bowl numbers, the Falcons are +40 ypg while Rice is -89 ypg.  While both are 6-6, AF has had 5 str winning ssns.
	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	UM 11/2 AF - AF	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 TT	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 RU avg 6 Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 RU #36 T FRB  CHECKI UM avg 6 TT avg 6- UM avg 6 TT avg 6- RE RB  CHECKI UM avg 6 RT avg 6- Rice avg 6 Rice avg 6 Rice avg 8 RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB  RFB	LIST C 299, 5 305, 5 299, 5 305, 5 299, 5 305, 5 299, 5 305, 2 283, 1 tkir w/r tkir w/r tkir w/r tkir w/r tkir w/r tkir w/r w/r tkir w/r w/r w/r w/r w/r w/r w/r w/r w/r w/	1 Sr, 8 8 Sr, 21 11 of tn 11 of tn 11 of tn 12 o	NTS is k all'd (s k al	.3%), 4.4 (-3%),	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 1#3, 8 tfl. 16 ratio. 12 ratio. 17 / 1/2 18 ypc. 14 46 ypc. 14 tfl. 11 ratio. 17 ratio. 17 ratio. 18 RICE 19 / 1/2 19 ypc. 14 (2 ypc. 14 (3 ypc. 15 (4 ypc. 16 (4 ypc. 17 ratio. 18 ratio. 19 (1 ypc. 10 ratio. 10 ratio. 10 ratio.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH INT ST	UM V V1/2 V 1/2 V -	TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' '>'s  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this tirp to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (64%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT 3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VERALL-TEXAS TECH by 13 'S's  All Calhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Rice being in-state, it's called the Armed Forces Bowl and that's who the majority will be pulling for. In our bowl vs bowl numbers, the Falcons are +40 ypg while Rice is -89 ypg.  While both are 6-6. AF has had 5 str winning ssns.
DI LE	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	RU	VT 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 TT VVV RICE 1/2 RICE 1/2	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 RU avg 6 Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 RU #36 FRB CHECKI UM avg 6 TT avg 6- UM avg 6 TT avg 6- HT 45 FRB CHECKI UM 432 FRB RB CHECKI RG avg 1 RG AF AF AVG 6 RG AVG 8 RG A	LIST C 299, 5 305, 5 299, 5 305, 2 283, 1 tkl'r w/' 2048 eff 2 3 255, 3 2	1 Sr, 8 s Sr, 21 11 of that 11 of that 2 of th	NTS  I sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4. (.3%), 4. (.50%), (.41%), (.50%), (.41%), (.41%), (.70%), (.41%), (.70%), (.1	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 1 #3, 8 tfl. 116 ratio. 12 ratio. 17	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST		TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' 's's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Tachfanswill make this trip to Fellant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VIRGINIATECH by 13 V's  All Calhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Rice beingin-state, it's called the Armed Forces Bowl and that's who the major will be pulling for. In our bowl vs bowl amy on the service of the opp y ghille Rice is -89 ypg.  While both are 6-6, AF has had 5 str over the opp by a comb 170-55.
	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	RU UM 11/2 AF - 11/2 -	VT 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 TT TT TV V V V TT TT T	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 VT avg 6 Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 Tyler #1 1 Tyler #3 1	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 3 -3 255, -3 255, -3 255, -3 255, -3 255, -3 255, -3 255, -3 255, -3 255, -3 255, -4 268, -1 3 269, -1 1 klr w/r  LIST C -4 264, -4 247, -4 267, -4 268	1 Sr, 8 8 Sr, 21 11 of th 11 of th 11 of th 12 o	NTS  I sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4. (50%) (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%) (	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc. 3.8 ypc. 3.9 ypc. 3.8 ypc. 3.1 ratio. 4.2 ypc. 4.2 ypc. 4.2 ypc. 4.4 ypc. 5.1 ypc. 11 ftl. 2.6 tfl. 2.6 tfl. 3.9 ypc. 4.5 ypc. 5.3 ypc. 5.3 ypc. 5.3 ypc.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd	UM V V1/2 V 1/2 V -	TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come.  Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season.  VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' '>  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH
DI LE	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	RU	VT 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 RU avg 6 Greene #1 Tyler #1 RU #36 FYT #36 RB RB CHECKI M#32 RB RB CHECKI RI RB RB CHECKI RI RB RB CHECKI RI RB	LIST C 299, 5 305, 5 299, 5 305, 5 305, 6 3 255, 3	1 Sr, 8 s Sr, 21 11 of that 11 of that 2 s Sr, 21 of th	NTS  I sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4. (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (7 (50%), (7 (50%) (41%), (7 (50%)	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 0 ypc. 1 #3, 8 tfl. 116 ratio. 12 ratio. 12 ratio. 13 ypc. 14.4 ypc. 14.5 ypc. 15.5 ypc. 16.5 ypc. 17 ypc. 17 ypc. 18 ypc. 19 ypc. 19 ypc. 19 ypc. 19 ypc. 19 ypc. 10 ratio. 11 ratio. 12 ypc. 13 ypc. 14 ypc. 15 ypc. 16 ratio. 17 ypc. 18 ratio. 19 ypc. 19 ypc. 19 ypc.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ ST  CCH Turf/ Turf/ Turf/ Turf/ Turf/ Turf/		TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season. VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIA TECH by 6' 's's  Allthough Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here. Techfanswillmake this tripto Reliant Stadium while we cant expect UM to have any semblance of a foli'g. UM has 2 major issues on offense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  SCH - VIRGINIA TECH by 6' 's's  All Callhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Ricebeingin-state, it's calledthe Armed Forces Bowl and that's who the majority will be pulling for. In our bowl vs bowl numbers, the Falcons are +40 ypg while Rice is -89 ypg.  While both are 6-6, AF has had 5 str winning ssns.  SCH AIR FORCE by 4' 's's  Istyr for Graham but in his L/3 bowl gms he's outscored the opp by a comb 170-55. Can't see many ASU fans making this trip
	B B N N N L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	RU	VT 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB CHECKI UM avg 6 TT avg 6- Hill #2 tt 4 UM #32 p TT #45 p  RB CHECKI AF avg 6- Rice avg 1 Niklas #1 Porras # RF H118 Rice #72  RB CHECKI AF avg 6- Rice avg 1 Rice avg 1 Rice avg 4 Rice	LIST C 299, 5 305, 2 283, 1 tkl'r w/' tkl'r w/	1 Sr, 8 s Sr, 21 11 of that 11 of the 12 of the 13 of the 14 of the 12 of the 14 of the 12 of the 13 of the 14 of th	NTS  I sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4. (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%), (6 (50%) (41%)	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 183, 8 yp. 1843, 8 yp. 187, 187, 187, 187, 187, 187, 187, 187,	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd Turf/ Crowd		TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season. VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' 's's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here. Tachfanswill make this trip to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UM has 2 major issues onoffense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT 3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VIRGINIATECH by 13 V's  All Calhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Rice beingin-state, it's called the Armed Forces Bowl and that's who the majority will be pulling for. In our bowl vs bowl numbers, the Falcons are +40 ypg while Rice is -89 ypg.  While both are 6-6, AF has had 5 str overant of the opp by a comb 170-55.  Can't see many ASU fans making this trip but service personnel will show up.  Always have to give an edge to Navy w/its cut-blocking as this DL has never seen it. With Navy missing a bowl LY, this will be a
	B B N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	TI/2  AF - 1/2  - 1/2  AF - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 -	VT 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 TT TT VVV TT 1/2 RICE 1/2 RICE 1/2 RICE 1/2 ASU V1/2 ASU V1/2 ASU	CHECKI RU avg 6. RU avg 6. FR 10 avg 6. Greene #1 Tyler #1 1. RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB CHECKI UM avg 6. TT avg 6- HS avg 6- RICe	LIST C 6-5 299, 5 305, 2 283, 1 1 kl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/1 tkl'r w/2 1 3 255, 3 4 268, 1 3 269, 1 1 r w/7 1 1 tkl'r w/2 pass eff  AF  LIST C AF AF  NAVY  NAVY 1 tkl'r w/2 1 tkl'r w/1 1 tkl'r w/2 1 tkl'r w/1	1 Sr, 8 3 Sr, 21 11 of th 11 11 of th 12 of t	NTS  I sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4. (50%) (41%), (3 (50%) (41%), (3 (50%) (41%), (3 (50%) (41%), (3 (50%) (41%), (3 (50%) (41%), (41%)	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 1 #3, 8 tfl. 116 ratio. 12 ratio. 12 ratio. 14 ypc. 4.6 ypc. 4.6 ypc. 4.7 ypc. 11 tfl. 11 ratio. 11 ratio. 12 ratio. 14 ratio. 15 ypc. 16 ypc. 17 ypc. 18 ypc. 18 ypc. 19 ypc. 19 ypc. 10 ypc. 11 tfl. 2, 6 tfl. 10 ratio. 10 ratio. 11 ratio. 12 ypc. 13 ypc. 14.2 ypc. 14.2 ypc. 15.3 ypc. 16 ypc. 17 ypc. 18 ypc. 19 ypc. 10 ypc. 10 ypc. 11 tfl. 20 ypc. 11 tfl. 20 ypc. 21 ypc. 22 ypc. 33 ypc. 34 ypc. 35 ypc. 36 ypc. 37 ypc. 38 ypc. 38 ypc.	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT		TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season. VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' 's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here.  Techfanswill make this trip to Fellant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UM has 2 majorissues onoffense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%). We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VIRGINIATECH by 6' 's's  All Calhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Ricebeingin-state, it's called the Armed Forces Bowl and that's who the majority will be pulling for. In our bowl vs bowl numbers, the Falcons are +40 ypg while Rice is -89 ypg.  While both are 6-6, AF has had 5 str winning ssns.  SCH AIR FORCE by 4' 's's  1styrfor Graham but in his L/3 bowl gms he's outscored the opp by a comb 170-55.  Can't see many ASU fans making this trip but service personnel will show up.  Always have to give an edge to Navy wifts cut-blocking as this DL has never seen it.  With Navy missing a bowl LY, this will be a very special gm.  DVERALL-  VOLETALL-  VIRGINIATECH  SOLETAL-  OVERALL-  AIR FORCE  By 4' 's's
	B B N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	TI/2  AF - 1/2  - 1/2  AF - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2 -	VT 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2	CHECKI RU avg 6 RU avg 6 VT avg 6- VT avg 6- VT avg 6- Greene #1 Tyler #1 1 RU #36 p VT #13 p  RB CHECKI UM avg 6- HT avg 6- RICe avg 1 RF RB CHECKI UM #32 p RF RB CHECKI UM #32 p RF RB CHECKI Navy avg AF avg 6- RICe avg 1 RF RB CHECKI Navy avg ASU avg 4 Navy 6-2 RB CHECKI Navy avg ASU avg 4 Navy 6-2 RB CHECKI Navy avg ASU avg 4 Navy 6-2 RB CHECKI Navy avg ASU avg 4 Navy 6-2 RB CHECKI Navy avg ASU avg 4 Navy 6-2 RB CHECKI Navy avg ASU avg 4 Navy 6-2 RB CHECKI Navy avg ASU avg 4 Navy 6-2 RB CHECKI Navy avg ASU avg 4 Warrick #	LIST C 299, 5 305, 5 299, 5 305, 5 305, 5 299, 5 305, 5 209, 5 305, 5 2 283, 1 tkl'r w/' tkl'r w/' tkl'r w/' tkl'r w/' tkl'r w/' 204 205, 2 20	1 Sr, 8 8 Sr, 21 11 of tn 11 of tn 11 of tn 125, 10. 11 of tn 125,	NTS  I sk all'd (sk all'd	.3%), 4. (50%) (41%), (6 (50%), (6 (	3.9 ypc. 0 ypc. 2.9 ypc. 183, 8 yp. 183, 8 tfl. 116 ratio. 12 ratio. 12 ratio. 15 ypc. 1.4 ypc. 1.4 ypc. 1.5 ypc. 1.5 ypc. 1.6 ypc. 1.6 ypc. 1.7 ypc. 1.7 ypc. 1.8 ypc. 1.9 ypc. 1.9 ypc. 1.1 ypc. 1.1 ypc. 1.1 ypc. 1.2 ypc. 1.3 ypc. 1.4 ypc. 1.4 ypc. 1.5 yp	Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST  CCH Turf/ Crowd MTCH INT ST	- UM V NAVY	TT	an easy decision, but we'll stop at 2.  Despite disappointing ssn VT fans have not been to an Orlando bowl so they will come. Large, mobile QB Thomas is a threat that Rutgers hasn't seen yet this season. VT has 2 choices: finish 7-6 or have their 1st losing ssn s/92.  SCH - 1/1/2 VIRGINIATECH by 6' 's's  Although Kill is 0-2 SU/ATS in bowls, he will be taking on an interim head coach here. Tachfanswill make this trip to Reliant Stadium while we can't expect UM to have any semblance of a foll'g. UM has 2 major issues onoffense: they can't run (3.8 ypc) and they don't pass successfully (54%).  We usually give an edge to DD dogs but TT 3-1 ATS in that role, UM 0-3.  SCH - VIRGINIATECH by 13 V's  All Calhoun has done since coming to AF is lead them to 6 str bowls and he rates the edge here. Despite Rice beingin-state, it's called the Armed Forces Bowl and that's who the majority will be pulling for. In our bowl vs bowl numbers, the Falcons are +40 ypg while Rice is -89 ypg.  While both are 6-6, AF has had 5 str overant of the opp by a comb 170-55.  Can't see many ASU fans making this trip but service personnel will show up.  Always have to give an edge to Navy w/its cut-blocking as this DL has never seen it. With Navy missing a bowl LY, this will be a

#### wν QB 🗸 1/2 RB WR CCH 1/2 Impressed with what Holgorsen did in LY's bow ~ V Saturday, December 29th • 3:15 pm ESPN • Yankee Stadium CHECKLIST COMMENTS but Marrone gets his tm to overachieve SU W۷ W VIRGINIA (7-5) Bronx, NY While SU is still a distance away from this NYC SYRACUSE (7-5) OL WV avg 6-5 315, 3 Sr, 20 sk all'd (3.9%), 4.9 ypc. 1/2 oowl, the instate fans will back the Cuse. RUSH SU avg 6-4 289, 1 Sr, 14 sk all'd (3.1%), 4.2 ypc. POWER PLAYS PROJE WEST VIRGINIA POINTS TO's SP TEAMS SU comes in outgaining bowl elig tm by 69 vpg 158 357 40 +6 VV 108.0 DL 1/2 WV avg 6-4 290, 4.5 of tm's 21 sks (21%), 3.5 ypc. while WV was outgained by 20 ypg. SYRACUSE 181 360 42 -2 104 0 SU avg 6-2 267, 10.5 of tm's 24 sks (44%), 4.1 ypc. WV has revenge from LY but clearly dis Former Big East rivals match up here with the Orange clearly having the home edge, on a 3 game win streak to end the regular ssn (WV just 2-5 L/7 gms) and the yardage and point edges LB Bruce #2 tkl'r w/86, 6.5 tfl, Garvin #3, 8.5 tfl. to be here. Davis #2 tkl'r w/67, 13 tfl, Diabate #3, 7.5 tfl. W VIRGINIA here. With the Mountaineers listed as the favorite, Syracuse earns a very special 4★ selection. WV #123 pass eff D, 328 ypg (65%), 36-9 ratio SCH ST 4★ SYRACUSE 42 W VIRGINIA 40 SU #105 pass eff D, 237 ypg (63%), 21-9 ratio. by 1 🗸 UT OSU ALAMO BOWL Riley usually gets the best out of his talent QB - 1/2 RB - 🗸 WR **~** ССН 1/2 while Brown has disappointed L3Y. Saturday, December 29th • 6:45 pm ESPN • Alamodome UT CHECKLIST COMMENTS Just a 1.5 hour drive from Austin for a UT fan base OL ✓ 1/2 OSU avg 6-4 299, 1 Sr, 23 sk all'd (4.8%), 3.8 ypc. San Antonio, TX **TEXAS (8-4)** OREGON ST (9-3) that sells out 100,000 seat stadium at home. UT avg 6-5 306, 0 Sr, 14 sk all'd (3.8%), 4.6 ypc. POWER PLAYS PROJECTION OREGON ST PASS PÓINTS 30 SP TEAMS A.O.R. **107.5** Texas DB's can match up with OSU WR's MTCH OSU avg 6-3 293, 20.5 of tm's 26 sks (79%), 4.0 ypc. 147 265 +10 while OSU DL can negate UT's RB's UT avg 6-4 284, 17 of tm's 25 sks (68%), 4.8 ypc. TEXAS 161 250 27 +3 108.5 UT has to be disappointed ply'g here, but also a rare LB Doctor #1 tkl'r w/72, 10.5 tfl, Alexander #5, 6.5 tfl. The Beavers came out of nowhere this season to end up at 9-3 and now visit San Antonio. non-BCS bowl dog. dmond #2 tkl'r w/97, 6 tfl, Cobbs #11, 5 tfl. While the score gives Oregon St a slight edge, the yards are more in the favor of the home TEXAS OSU #16 pass eff D, 223 ypg (59%), 12-19 ratio ST SCH state Longhorns and we'll move past this selection with a No Play. UT #42 pass eff D, 214 ypg (60%), 16-13 ratio. **NO PLAY: OREGON ST 30 TEXAS 27** TCU MSU TCU MSU TCU MSU TCU MSU Vhile Patterson is 0-4 ATS L/4 bowls, he is QB 1/2 RB - 1/2 WR 1/2 ССН 1/2 6-1 SU the L/7 while Dantonio 1-4 SU Saturday, December 29th • 10:15 pm ESPN • Sun Devil Stadium TCU MSU CHECKLIST COMMENTS Two BCS fan bases that have to get on a TCU avg 6-5 308, 2 Sr, 25 sk all'd (6.7%), 3.9 ypc. TCU (7-5) Tempe, AZ MICHIGAN ST (6-6) plane to travel to this non-BCS bowl. MSU avg 6-4 305, 1 Sr, 19 sk all'd (4.3%), 4.0 ypc. POWER PLAYS PROJECTION POINTS PASS TO's SP TEAMS TCU mobile QB vs MSU +70 ypg better than MTCH DL TCU avg 6-4 279, 19.5 of tm's 26 sks (75%), 3.3 ypc. TCU 16 106.8 rogs against bowl teams MSU avg 6-5 292, 5.5 of tm's 16 sks (34%), 3.3 ypc. MICHIGAN ST 170 11 105.2 lave to like a B10 tm who is LB Cain #1 tkl'r w/74, 5.5 tfl, Hasley #2, 8 tfl. INT Offense will be limited to say the least in this matchup with Power Plays calling for the Frogs hat ply'd in MW LY Bullough #1 tkl'r w/102, 12 tfl, Allen #3, 9 tfl. and Spartans to combine for just 27 points and 538 total yards. We agree and judging by the MICHIGAN ST DB 1/2 TCU #7 pass eff D, 228 ypg (54%), 21-21 ratio. ST SCH total of 41 at presstime, this will be a star rated selection. 1/2 MSU #17 pass eff D, 174 ypg (53%), 10-13 ratio. 3★ UNDER TCU 16 MICHIGAN ST 11 NCSt VU NCSt VU NCSt VU NCSt VU NUSIC CITY BOV - ✔1/2 WR ranklin has exceeded expectations while NCS QB ~ RB CCH Monday, December 31st • 12:00 pm ESPN • LP Field nade surprise move dismissing O'Brien CHECKLIST COMMENTS Turf/ you can't play on your home field, the next best thing NC STATE (7-5) Nashville, TN VANDERBILT (8-4) OL St avg 6-5 310, 3 Sr, 36 sk all'd (7.0%), 3.1 ypc. ould be playing in an NFL stadium in your home city. VU avg 6-4 292, 2 Sr's, 23 sk all'd (7.1), 4.2 ypc. POWER PLAYS PROJECTION Both tms have off and D rankings in the above NC STATE 96 244 17 -4 103.3 DL St avg 6-3 294, 22.5 of tm's 35 sks (64%), 4.2 ypc average category but neither has an elite unit VANDERBILT 188 295 31 102.3 VU avg 6-3 270, 6 of tm's 28 sks (21%), 4.0 ypc. NCSt feels disrespected as a dog but has to deal Tough matchup for NC State here as not only are they playing this game without their HC, LB ✓ 1/2 Dowdy #3 tkl'r w/81, 13.5 tfl, Lucas #4, 5.5 tfl. INT v/a cch'q change. but they also have to play just down the street from Vanderbilt's campus. PP projects a 14 pt Garnham #3 tkl'r w/77 11 5 tfl Rarnes #4 w/60 VANDERBILT win (line 7) and a 483-340 yard edge here for the Commodores. St #37 pass eff D, 262 ypg (58%), 18-16 ratio. 3★ VANĎERBILT 31 NC STATE 17 VU #14 pass eff D, 176 ypg (52%), 6-8 ratio. GT USC GT USC GT USC GT USC UN BOWE QB RB 1/2 WR - /// ССН Well aware that Paul Johnson is 0-4 SU/ATS -VV Monday, December 31st • 2:00 pm CBS • Sun Bowl Stadium but he was only a dog once in those 4 gms CHECKLIST COMMENTS We'll give GT a slight edge as they ply'd here LY but dropped an OT affair to Utah. **GEORGIA TECH (6-7)** El Paso, TX 1/2 USC (7-5) OL GT avg 6-3 297, 1 Sr, 12 sk all'd (6.5%), 5.5 ypc. POWER PLAYS PROJECTION GEORGIA TECH PASS **POINTS** USC avg 6-4 302, 1 Sr, 17 sk all'd (4.0%), 5.0 ypc. 104.2 It's always an edge to have extra time to prep for 125 289 31 +4 DL ✓1/2 GT avg 6-6 305, 10 of tm's 28 sks (36%), 4.3 ypc the option and USC has talent to stop it -2 11111 USC 155 302 35 109.2 USC avg 6-4 261, 30.5 of tm's 43 sks (71%), 4.0 ypc Emotional last gm for Barkley and last gm Disappointed tms square off here as GT is looking to make it back to .500 after a loss in the LB ✓1/2 Nealy #3 tkl'r w/74, 3.5 tfl, Hunt-Days #4, 74 tkl FRALL for DC Kiffin ACC Championship gm while USC's ssn went from a #1 ranking to 5 losses and a trip here. We Pullard #2 tkl'r w/91, 6.5 tfl, Bailey #3, 8 tfl. USC disagree with PP's forecast as tms generally play well vs the option with extra time to prepare. DB GT #66 pass eff D, 240 ypg (62%), 22-13 ratio. SCH by 15 🗸 NO PLAY: USC 35 GEORGIA TECH 31 USC #40 pass eff D, 240 ypg (61%), 18-18 ratio. IBERTY BOW ISU TU ISU TU ISU TU ISU TU Blankenship is in 2nd str bowl but lost LY RB - 1/2 WR QB - 1/2 ~ CCH 1/2 Monday, December 31st • 3:30 pm ESPN • Liberty Bowl Memorial Stadium while Rhoads in 3rd bowl in 4Y. TU CHECKLIST COMMENTS IOWA ST (6-6) Memphis, TN TULSA (10-3) ough draw w/1 of the smallest DI schls & it will be ISU avg 6-6 301, 14 sk all'd (3.2%), 4.2 ypc 1/2 POWER PLAYS PROJECTION IOWA ST A.O.R. **108.6** ough to get fans while ISU's happy w/attendance. **POINTS** TU avg 6-3 293, 9 sk all'd (2.0%), 5.0 ypc 113 207 23 42 f you check out our chart on pg 29 you'll see MTCH DL ISU avg 6-4 264, 8.5 of tm's 15 sks (57%), 4.2 ypc TULSA 217 229 22 98.2 hat TU is the #1 tm in sks by minus sks vs. TU avg 6-3 274, 30 of tm's 48 sks (63%), 3.2 vpc. Only rematch from the regular season takes place here (lowa St 38-23 victory in week 1 as While TU has revenge from opener, ISU disrespected Klein #1 tkl'r w/98, 2.5 tfl, George #2, 4 tfl. INT a 2 pt dog). While the yards are clearly in favor of the CUSA Champions, the points say lowa D Brown #1 tkl'r w/125, 17 tfl, Jackson #3, 8,5 tfl TULSA St thus making this selection a No Play ISU #47 pass eff D, 280 ypg (61%), 18-13 ratio. ST SCH VVVV **NO PLAY: ÍOWA ST 23 TULSA 22** 1/2 🗸 TU #21 pass eff D, 233 ypg (56%), 18-10 ratio. CU LSU CU LSU CU LSU CU LSU RB - 1/2 WR ✓ s you see in our bowl grades, Miles is only 1 of 5 QB 🗸 1/2 -CCH ~ Monday, December 31st • 7:30 pm ESPN • Georgia Dome HC's to receive an A and deserves this edge LSU CHECKLIST COMMENTS **CLEMSON (10-2)** Atlanta, GA LSU (10-2) Clemson had its ssn opener here but LSU CU avg 6-4, 300, 1 Sr, 26 sk all'd (4.8%), 4.4 ypc. SP TEAMS POWER PLAYS PROJECTION RUSH PASS POINTS amiliar as they won the SEC Title here LY. .SU avg 6-5 316, 2 Sr, 26 sk all'd (7.8%), 4.3 ypc. CLEMSON 122 101.6 284 +3 31 LSU wants to run the ball while CU all's 4.3 ypc MTCH DL CU avg 6-4 279, 20 of tm's 28 sks (77%), 4.3 ypc. LSU 186 219 29 +15 106.8 and CU will struggle vs LSU's 3.1 ypc on D. LSU avg 6-4 271, 15.5 of tm's 30 sks (52%), 3.1 ypc Two 10-2 squads meet here and while PP forecasts Clemson to take home a 2 pt win, the yards Great matchup of off vs def but no significant LB Willard #1 tkl'r w/88, 9.5 tfl, Shuey #2, 6 tfl. INT are clearly even and judging by how both trns performed in their meetings with S Carolina TY (LSU 23-21 win, Clemson 27-17 loss), we'll go ahead and side with the SEC over the ACC here. Minter #1 tkl'r w/111, 13.5 tfl, Barrow #2, 5.5 tfl LSU CU #74 pass eff D, 251 ypg (58%), 22-12 ratio. ST SCH V1/2 NO PLAY: CLEMSON 31 LSU 29 LSU #8 pass eff D, 194 ypg (54%), 14-18 ratio. PU osu PU OSU PU osu PU OSU HEART OF DALLAS BOWL luge mismatch here with Gundy in 7th QB WR CCH - 1/2 - V VV Tuesday, January 1st • 12:00 pm espnU • Cotton Bowl consec bowl taking on an interim CHECKLIST COMMENTS While OSU fans can't be thrilled to be placed here, they **PURDUE (6-6)** Dallas, TX OKLAHOMA ST (7-5) Turf/ OI **√**1/2 PU avg 6-4 296, 2 Sr, 22 sk all'd (4.9%), 4.5 ypc. still will show more support than lame-duck PU tm. POWER PLAYS PROJECTION SP TEAMS OSU avg 6-4 315, 2 Sr, 10 sk all'd (2.2%), 5.4 ypc. RUSH PASS POINTS OSU is +95 ypg vs its 10 bowl opponents PURDUE 139 245 27 101.3 DL 1/2 PU avg 6-4 298, 11 of tm's 20 sks (55%), 4.7 ypc. while PU is -147 against its 6 bowl foes. OKLAHOMA ST 221 344 107.0 OSU avg 6-3 279, 10.5 of tm's 22 sks (48%), 3.7 ypc. Have to give edge to 6-6 tm, a 2 td+ dog The Cowboys are the biggest favorite on the board this bowl season and for a good reason LB V1/2 Lucas #2 tkl'r w/53, 6.5 tfl, Gilliam #4, 2.5 tfl, INT 1/2 to a 7-5 tm. as Purdue is just 6-6 and making the transition to a new HC. While OSU is projected to take Elkins #1 tkl'r w/73, 3.5 tfl, Lewis #6, 7.5 tfl, home a 20 pt win, the yards are surprisingly closer than expected. No Play PU #43 pass eff D, 228 ypg (57%), 16-14 ratio. NO PLAY: OKLAHÓMA ST 47 PURDUE 27 OSU #41 pass eff D, 286 ypg (61%), 18-9 ratio

# ior boy

Tuesday, January 1st • 12:00 pm espn2 • Everbank Field

NORTHWESTERN	۱ (9-3)	Jackso	nville, FL	MISSISSIPPI ST (8-4)			
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	I RÚSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.	
NORTHWESTERN	215	155	26	+13		101.2	
MISSISSIPPI ST	110	324	29	+17		105.3	
The state of the s							

Despite the success he's had with his alma mater, NW HC Fitzgerald has yet to win a bowl with the Wildcats (0-3 SU/2-1 ATS). PP projects that trend to continue here with MSU escaping with a 3 p win. We disagree, however, and envision the Wildcats earning their 1st bowl victory since 1949.

**NO PLAY: MISSISSIPPI ST 29 NORTHWESTERN 26** 

#### OUTBACK BOW

Tuesday, January 1st • 1:00 pm ESPN • Raymond James Stadium

MICHIGAN (8-4)		Tampa	a, FL	SOUTH	CAROLINA	(10-2)
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.
MICHIGAN	145	155	20	-8	SAVE TO	104.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	138	155	24	+3		107.7
The state of the state of the state of the	- 14 - II - · · · - 4		and a kindle of the second		and all DD and	and a secondaria

Two defenses that don't allow a ton of yards match up here and as expected, PP agrees with that statement forecasting Michigan and South Carolina to post just 593 total yards combined a stat that calls for us to use the Under here.

4★ UNDER SOUTH CAROLINA 24 MICHIGAN 20

# PITAL ONL

Tuesday, January 1st • 1:00 pm ABC • Florida Citrus Bowl

NEBRASKA (10-3)		Orland	lo, FL		GEORGIA	(11-2)
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SPTEAMS	A.O.R.
NEBRASKA	211	167	20	-12	-/	105.0
GEORGIA	183	224	36	+10	VVV	106.0

A pair of squads off of conf title game losses and missed BCS bowls get to face off here The Bulldogs are forecasted to deliver a 16 pt win (line 10) but the yard projection has us making this meeting a No Play as Nebraska is only expected to be outgained by 29 yds.

NO PLAY: GEORGIA 36 NEBRASKA 20

#### ROSE BOWL

Tuesday, January 1st • 5:00 pm ESPN • Rose Bowl

WISCONSIN (8-5)		Pasade	na, CA		STANFORD (11-					
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.				
WISCONSIN	155	150	13	+4	7-7	103.5				
STANFORD	150	195	20	+7	VV	109.5				

The Badgers are back in Pasadena for a 3rd consec post ssn but are still in search of their first Rose Bowl win s/'00 which actually came against Stanford and with interim HC and current AD Alvarez as then full-time HC. While the pts give Stan the edge, the yds say Wisky and we'll pass.

**NO PLAY: STANFORD 20 WISCONSIN 13** 

#### RAMY (\*\* HIBO)

Tuesday, December 1st • 8:30 pm ESPN • Sun Life Stadium

N ILLINOIS (12-1)	N	<i>I</i> liami Gar	dens, FL	FI	LORIDA ST	(11-2)
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.
N ILLINOIS	138	157	20	+8	F	93.5
FLORIDA ST	184	303	34	-7	1	100.0
Mhile the painte are	alaaa hara	dua to the a	amaatitiaa tha	. I lualdaa ta	and the second state of the second	20110

are close here due to the competition the Huskies took on within the M the yards paint a clearer picture as the Seminoles are projected to outgain the Huskies 487-295 Have to believe that NI is just happy to be here while FSU is looking to make a statement.

2★ FLORIDA ST 34 N ILLINOIS 20

# SUGAR BOW

Wednesday, January 2nd • 8:30 pm ESPN • Superdome

LOUISVILLE (10-2)		New Orle	ans, LA	1.7	FLORIDA (11-1)
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS A.O.R.
LOUISVILLE (III)	40	222	13	+9	- 99.6
FLORIDA	224	143	32	+19	<b>VVV</b> 108.2

Louisville and former UF asst Charlie Strong are lucky to be here after benefitting from a late ssr collapse by Rutgers. Florida, on the other hand, was an 8 pt loss to Georgia away from potentially playing for the National Title. The yards and score clearly have the Gators running away here.

4★ FLORIDA 32 LOUISVILLE 13

# 

Thursday, January 3rd • 8:30 pm ESPN • University of Phoenix Stadium

KANSAS ST (11-1)		Glenda	le, AZ		OREGON	(11-1)
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.
KANSAS ST	168	202	32	+21		107.5
OREGON	275	212	40	+19	EGC	107.5 104.3

Classic example here of the line being inflated by the amount of points that Kansas St and Oregon have been able to put up this season. A close dissection of the projected yards has this total closer to 63 than the 76 as of presstime.

4★ UNDER OREGON 40 KANSAS ST 32

#### TON BOY

Friday, January 4th • 8:00 pm FOX • Cowboys Stadium

	<b>,</b> , ,			,			
TEXAS A&M (10-2)		Arlingt	on,TX	OKLAHOMA (10-2)			
POWER PLAYS PROJECTION	RUSH	PASS	POINTS	TO's	SP TEAMS	A.O.R.	
TEXAS A&M	244	254	39	-4	7	106.2	
OKLAHOMA	136	359	36	-4	VV	107.9	

Hard to figure that Oklahoma is a dog to anyone let alone a Texas A&M squad that they have gone 11-2 against during B12 play dating back to 1999. Sooners are forecasted to be outgained by just 3 ttl yards and have an excellent chance to knock of Heisman winner Manziel here.

3★ OKLAHOMA 36 (+) TEXAS A&M 39

Description   Proceedings   Description   Proceedings   Description	ı		NU	MSU		NU	MSU		NU	MSU		NU	MSU							
		QΒ	•	-		~	-		-		ССН	-	-							0-4
2	)	OL							4.8%),	5.0 ypc.		-	V	Miss St	fans	packe	d this	bowl ji	ust 2Y a	
NSU sep4 4 50 % 10 % 10 % 10 % 10 % 10 % 10 % 10 %	?. 2	וח	1/2									-	-							
Del	3		1/2		MSU avg	6-4 285,	5.5 of tr	n's 19 sks	(29%),	4.3 ypc.										
UN   SC   UN		LB	-		Lawrence	#1 tkľr	w/111, <sup>-</sup>	10 tfl, Mck	(inney #	2, 4.5 tfl.	INT	V1/2	-	than a td I	oowl d	og.				3 <i>V</i> I
Dec     Dec   De		DB	-	~							ST	-	-	SCH	-	-	NON by	/ 1/2	L∂ILI ✓'s	IIV
Discrete			UM	sc		UM			UM			UM								
O		QB		- SC			- OMME		1/2	-	ССН	-	~	boldly ca	ashed	l with	him in I	Y's Bo	wl GOY.	
Decomposition   Part   See   Sept.	)	OL		-	UM avg 6	-5 304,	3 Sr, 15	sk all'd (5				-	-				,	vel wel	but this	s is
1	₹. <b>6</b>	DL	-	v	UM avg 6	-4 285,	5 of tm's	19 sks (2	26%), 3	.8 ypc.	МТСН	-	-						I-2 ATS	VS
DB	<b>7</b> h	LB	-	1/2							INT	-	-	8-4 B10 tm	exped	ts to be	dog vs 1	0-2 SEC		little
NU UGA	d,	DB	-	<b>√</b> 1/2							ST	_			_	e licie.	SC	AR	OLIN	Α
CB   RB   WR   V   V   CCH											Ο.			0011		_	b	y 2'	<b>√</b> 's	
NU   GA   CHECKLIST COMMENTS   GA   GA   GA   GA   GA   GA   GA   G		OB	NU	UGA	RB	NU -	UGA	WR	NU -	UGA	ССН	NU -	_	Richt is 7	-4SU	in bov	vls while	Pelini i	s0-2his	L/2
Doctor   D			NU		CHECK			NTS	F0/\ F	1::::		-								
Description   1 for wr101, 6 ftl, Wholey 4, 4 ftl, NT	)		_		UGA avg	6-4 309	, 0 Sr, 2	5 sk all'd (	6.8%),	4.9 ypc.	Crowd			always to	ravel	well e	ven for	a 2nd s	straight t	trip.
Comparison   Com		DL	-	-							МІСН	-	_	UGA wa	s +65	ypg v	s its bo	owl tms		
S   DB	e.	LB	-	<b>√</b> 1/2							INT	~	-	seconds of	narras Nat'l	sing B1 Champ.		OVERA	LL -	
UW SU	IS	DB	~	-	NU #9 pa	iss eff D	), 148 y <sub>l</sub>	og (46%),	13-11	ratio.	ST	-	v	SCH	-	-	Gl	Ul v 3'	KGIA ✓'s	1
CCH		-	UW	SU	UGA #40		,	+ ypg (57	,,			UW	SU				D	y o	<i>y</i> 3	
1		QΒ	-	~		~	-		-	-	ссн	-								
B	,	OL		SU -					9.9%), 5	i.2 ypc.		-	-	Every yrw	e see	the aer	ial shots	of the R	ose Bowl	and
B	<b>?)</b> ₹.	וח	-	<b>√</b> 1/2								-	~	Stanford	llead	ls the	countr	y with	56 sks a	
Stop	5				SU avg 6	4 286,	22 of tm	's 56 sks (	(39%), 2	2.8 ypc.	INIT			UW has I	ost 3	gms in	OT and	2 by 3	pts and i	now
SU #12 pass eff D, 25 ypg (6 %), 12-14 ratio.			_		Skov #1	tkľr w/	72, 9.5	tfl, Thom	as #2,	14 tfl.			_	1	f a td+	dog.				D
OB   1/2   - RB   -     WR   -     CCH		DB	-	1/2				,, ,			ST	-	~	SCH	-	<b>/</b> 1/2				
N   FSU CHECKLIST COMMENTS			NI	FSU		NI	FSU		NI	FSU		NI								
OL		QB		- FSU		- LIST C			-	<b>VV</b>	ССН	-	vvv							ınd
The only other top 50 DN laced of Tyre difference of the Carlos of the	2)	OL	-		NI avg 6-	4 296,	0 Sr, 14	sk all'd (				-	<b>///</b>						l will clea	arly
LB	₹. 5	DL	- (		NI avg 6-	1 264, 3	6.5 of tn	n's 40 sks	(91%),	4.3 ypc.	МТСН	-	VVV							em
SCH		LB			Clark #2	tkľr w	/82, 10	tfl, Bass	s #3, 5	tfl.	INT	v	-	NI sky high	for its	1st eve	r BCS bo	wl gm w	hile FSU d	an't
UL UF CHECKLIST COMMENTS  OL - ✓ UL avg 6-4 310, 2 Sr, 26 sk all'd (6.1%), 3.6 ypc. UF avg 6-4 280, 15.5 of tms 19 sks (82%), 4.3 ypc. UL avg 6-4 280, 15.5 of tms 19 sks (82%), 4.3 ypc. UL avg 6-4 280, 15.5 of tms 19 sks (82%), 4.3 ypc. UL avg 6-4 280, 15.5 of tms 19 sks (82%), 4.3 ypc. UL avg 6-4 280, 15.5 of tms 19 sks (82%), 4.3 ypc. UL avg 6-4 280, 15.5 of tms 19 sks (82%), 4.3 ypc. UL avg 6-4 280, 15.5 of tms 19 sks (82%), 4.3 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. Us avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-5 298, 15 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UL avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tms 25 sks (50%), 4.9 ypc. UL avg 6-5 299, 1 sk 148 sk 176 (5.2%), 6.1 ypc. UL avg 6-5 299, 1 sk 148 sk 176 (5.2%), 6.1 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 fof tms 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 fof tms 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 fof tms 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 fof tms 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 fof tms 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 fof tms 27 sks (50%), 18-24 ratio. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 fof tms 27 sks (50%), 4.9 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 fof tms 27 sks (50%), 4.9 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 for tms 25 sks (50%), 4.8 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 for tms 25 sks (50%), 4.8 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 for tms 25 sks (50%), 4.8 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 for tms 25 sks (50%), 4.8 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 for tms 25 sks (50%), 4.8 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 for tms 25 sks (50%), 4.8 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 for tms 25 sks (50%), 1.8 ypc. UL avg 6-5 208, 1 for tms 25 sks (50%), 1.8 ypc. UL avg 6-	5.	DB	-	V							ST				-	///	FL(	DRII	DA S	
QB					FSU #5 p	oass eff	D, 161	ypg (50%	%), 12-	10 ratio.							by	/ 20 <sup>°</sup>	<b>√</b> 's	
UL UF CHECKLIST COMMENTS   UL avg 6-4 310, 2 Sr, 26 sk alld (6.1%), 3.6 ypc. UF avg 6-4 310, 2 Sr, 26 sk alld (13.9%), 4.5 ypc. UF avg 6-4 310, 2 Sr, 26 sk alld (13.9%), 4.5 ypc. UF avg 6-4 280, 15.5 of tm's 19 sks (82%), 4.3 ypc. UF avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tm's 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UF avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tm's 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UF avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tm's 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UF avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tm's 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UF avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tm's 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UF avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tm's 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UF avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tm's 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UF avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tm's 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc. UF #1 pass eff D, 186 ypg (51%), 5-19 ratio. UF #1 pass eff D, 186 ypg (51%), 5-19 ratio. UF #1 pass eff D, 186 ypg (51%), 5-19 ratio. UO avg 6-5 299, 1 Sr, 18 sk alld (4.6%), 4.9 ypc. UO avg 6-5 299, 1 Sr, 18 sk alld (4.6%), 4.9 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.9 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.9 ypc. UO avg 6-5 285, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 16-18 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, 236 ypg (59%), 18-24 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, 236 ypg (59%), 18-24 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, 236 ypg (59%), 18-24 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, 236 ypg (59%), 18-24 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, 236 ypg (59%), 18-24 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, 236 ypg (59%), 18-24 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, 236 ypg (59%), 18-24 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, 236 ypg (59%), 18-24 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, 236 ypg (59%), 18-24 ratio. UO #6 pass eff D, No edge. UV #6 pas		ΩR		UF -	RB			WR	UL -	UF -	ССН	UL -	UF -	Both HC	shav	ethesa	ame ped	digree v	rith SEC	DC
DL					CHECK	LIST C	OMME	NTS	40()			-	VV	exp and	this i	s their	1st BC	S bowl	as HC's	3
DL   -     UL avg 6-4 288, 10.5 of tm's 19 sks (82%), 3.1 ypc.   UF avg 6-2 288, 10.5 of tm's 25 sks (42%), 3.1 ypc.   Dand the Gators are clearly our #1.	۹.		-		UF avg 6-	4 314, 2	2 Sr, 36	sk all'd (1	3.9%), 4	4.6 ypc.	Crowd			is ply'g o	n this	stage	e for the	1st tin	ne s/'06.	
LB	ò	DL	-	VVV							MICH		~~	D and th	e Ğa	tors a	e clear	ly our #	1.	
DB	n	LB	-	~							INT	~	-					OVERA	LL ·	
RSU   UO   CCH   1/2   - While this is the 4th str BCS bowl for Kelly, no one does more with less than Snyder.   Turt/   Cowd   MTCH   - Surprisingly Eugene is 19 miles closer than Manhattan and crowd will be even.   K-St front 7 and extra time but UO +116 ypg vs KSU +30 ypg vs bowl foes.   Snyder and Wildcats are 11-2 ATS as a dog store with less than Snyder.   Turt/   Cowd   MTCH   - K-St front 7 and extra time but UO +116 ypg vs KSU +30 ypg vs bowl foes.   Snyder and Wildcats are 11-2 ATS as a dog the last 2 years.   ST   V1/2   SCH   1/2   - SCH   SEVEN   SEVEN   ST   V1/2   SCH   SEVEN   ST   V1/2   SCH   SEVEN   ST   V1/2   SCH   SEVEN   ST   V1/2   SCH   V1/2   SEVEN   ST   V1/2   SCH   V	·y	DB	-	VVV1/2	UL #63 p	ass eff	D, 194	ypg (58%	6), 21-9	ratio.	ST	-	<b>/</b> 1/2	SCH	- ا	v				
QB   1/2   - RB   -     WR     -   CCH   1/2   -   While this is the 4th str BCS bowl for Kelly, no one does more with less than Snyder. Surprisingly Eugene is 19 miles closer than Manhattan and crowd will be even.			KSII	ŲΟ	-π 1 μc			FB (01/0)			ı	KSII	UΩ							
Note		QΒ	1/2	-		-	<b>///</b>		<b>V</b>	-	ССН		-							no
DL 1/2 - KSU avg 6-1271, 23.5 of tm's 31 sks (76%), 3.7 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. UO avg 6-5 283, 16 of tm's 27 sks (59%), 4.0 ypc. Brown #1 tkl'r w/91, 6 tfl, Childs #4, 4.5 tfl. Clay #1 tkl'r w/92, 8 tfl, Alonso #2, 12 tfl. ST 1/2 - Sch 1/2 - S	)	OL	KSU -		KSU avg	6-4 298	, 0 Sr, 1	3 sk all'd (				-	-	Surprisi	ngly l	Euger	e is 19	miles	closer th	nan
LB	≀. 5	DL	1/2	-								-	-	K-St fror	nt 7 a	nd ext	ra time	but UC		/pg
Clay #1 tkl'r w/92, 8 tfl, Alonso #2, 12 tfl.				-	UO avg 6	-5 283,	16 of tm	's 27 sks	(59%),	4.0 ypc.	INT	1/2		Snydera	and V	Vildca			Sasac	log
A&M OU Fine job in 1st yr here for Sumlin, but still giving an edge to Stoops for familiarity.    A&M OU CHECKLIST COMMENTS   Turt/ Ctowd   Turt/ Ct				1/0	Clay #1 t	kľr w/9	2, 8 tfl,	Alonso #	2, 12 tf	l					Ī	ırs.				
QB   1/2   - RB   -		מט		1/2							81	<b>√</b> 1/2		PCH .	1/2	_	V	's E	VEN	V
A&M OU CHECKLIST COMMENTS   Giving an edge to Stoops for familiarity.		OΡ		OU	PP	A&M		WD	A&M		CCn	A&M		Fine inh	jn 1	st vr l	nere fo	r Sum	in, but	still
Compared			A&M	OU	CHECK		OMME	NTS				_		giving a	n edg	je to S	Stoops	for fam	iliarity.	
2 DL A&M avg 6-4 274, 19.5 of tm's 30 sks (65%), 3.7 ypc.  OU avg 6-3 285, 12.5 of tm's 25 sks (50%), 4.8 ypc.  Stewart #2 tkl'r w/72, 2.5 tfl, Jenkins #3, 5 tfl.  Wort #5 tkl'r w/52, 4.5 tfl, Nelson #6, 3.5 tfl.  DB - V A&M with Heisman finalist at QB takes on our #2 pass eff D. No edge.  OU is a dog. Let me repeat that - OU is a dog and we'll take the pts.  ST - OKLAHOMA  DY 61 V's	Ŕ.	OL	~	-							Crowd		_	best thir	ng an	d the	fans wi	ll all be	preser	nt.
LB	2	DL	-	-	A&M avg (	6-4 274,	19.5 of 1	m's 30 sk	s (65%)	3.7 ypc.	MTCH	-	-	our #2 p	ass (	eff D. I	No edg	e.		
DB - A&M #38 pass eff D, 248 ypg (56%), 16-11 ratio. OU #2 pass eff D, 198 ypg (51%), 9-12 ratio. ST - SCH SCH by 6' 'S	e	LB	-	~	Stewart	#2 tkľr	w/72, 2	2.5 tfl, Je	nkins #	3, 5 tfl.	INT	-	~					OVERA	LL -	
7 7 7	<b>u</b>	DB	-	V	A&M #38	pass e	ff D, 24	8 ypg (56	%), 16	-11 ratio.	ST	-	~	SCH	-	-	OK	LAI v 6'	10M 2''s	A
		5			ου #2 βά	ioo Uli l	, 130 y	pg (31%)	, J-12	anu.								, ·	· ·	

	LVIL	FINAL	YA	וננ				17 1	<u></u>
1	TEAM Florida	YDS 185.6	ATT 413	COM 211	% 51.1	TD 5	INT 19	GRADE 16.7	OPP 11.50
2	Oklahoma	197.9	385	197	51.2	9	12	15.1	12.05
3 4	Alabama Arizona St	166.6 180.5	361 384	196 194	54.3 50.5	7 21	17 20	14.9 14.6	11.67 11.52
5	Oregon	235.9	481	284	59.0	18	24	13.9	12.24
6 7	TCU Florida St	227.6 160.5	406 414	219 208	53.9 50.2	21 12	21 10	13.9 13.8	12.61 10.46
8	LSU	194.4	407	218	53.6	14	18	13.7	10.99
9 10	Nebraska Notre Dame	148.2 194.1	364 406	169 240	46.4 59.1	13 7	11 16	13.5 13.4	9.80 11.38
11	Washington	188.9	355	190	53.5	14	17	13.4	11.26
12 13	Stanford Virginia Tech	252.1 204.9	536 381	329 194	61.4 50.9	12 17	14 12	12.9 12.8	12.72 11.28
14	Vanderbilt	175.8	379	197	52.0	6	8	12.7	10.03
15 16	Cincinnati Oregon St	243.5 222.5	448 436	244 257	54.5 58.9	11 12	14 19	12.6 12.6	11.04 11.16
17	Michigan St	173.7	396	210	53.0	10	13	12.5	9.65
18 19	Boise St Utah St	163.3 212.9	337 433	199 236	59.1 54.5	3 12	16 12	12.4 12.4	10.24 10.63
20	SMU	271.2	485	267	55.1	26	19	12.0	12.03
21 22	Tulsa South Carolina	232.7 192.5	453 341	255 193	56.3 56.6	18 15	10 14	11.9 11.8	12.40 11.56
23	Kansas St	255.2	449	283	63.0	15	18	11.7	12.67
24 25	Fresno St North Carolina	163.4 246.9	353 424	182 246	51.6 58.0	14 15	20 16	11.6 11.4	8.73 11.49
26	Ohio St	244.3		266	58.5	15	14	11.4	11.22
27 28	N Illinois Bowling Green	217.7 173.0	471 368	258 205	54.8 55.7	10 9	15 10	11.3 11.2	9.34 9.57
29	Wisconsin	196.3		242	54.5	18	8	11.2	10.54
30 31	Houston BYU	290.3 182.0		302 202	59.2 57.9	24 12	19 9	11.2 11.0	12.25 10.83
32	Minnesota	178.5	357	199	55.7	12	11	11.0	9.84
33 34	Pittsburgh UCF	196.9	377	213	56.5	12 14	13 11	10.9	9.96
35	Connecticut	217.8 212.0		266 207	59.8 54.3	15	6	10.9 10.6	11.25 10.70
36	Rutgers	217.2		215	56.1	12	16	10.6	9.70
37 38	NC State Oklahoma St	261.8 285.7	428 510	248 310	57.9 60.8	18 18	16 9	10.4 10.3	11.21 12.25
39	Texas A&M	248.5		246	56.4	16	11	10.3	10.56
40 41	USC Utah	240.0 235.3		257 240	60.5 59.9	18 18	18 8	10.3 10.2	10.85 12.47
42	Texas	213.8	322	193	59.9	16	13	10.1	12.47
43 44	Purdue Buffalo	227.5 208.3	413 341	235 189	56.9 55.4	16 18	14 13	10.1 10.1	9.90 10.58
45	Texas Tech	195.7	346	190	54.9	23	7	9.8	11.15
46 47	Virginia Iowa St	208.3 279.7	395 453	227 277	57.5 61.1	23 18	5 13	9.8 9.8	11.64 12.10
48	Georgia	173.0	323	183	56.7	12	11	9.7	9.70
49 50	Tulane San Jose St	259.7 227.8	380 404	212 234	55.8 57.9	24 18	15 15	9.6 9.5	11.84 9.75
51	WKU	206.2	363	215	59.2	21	13	9.4	10.77
52 53	Ohio Mississippi St	229.8 223.9	434 378	246 244	56.7 64.6	24 17	10 16	9.4 9.3	10.39 11.48
54	Penn St	225.8	430	244	56.7	15	10	9.2	9.05
55 56	Northwestern FIU	262.6 262.1	468 437	277 265	59.2 60.6	16 24	9 10	9.1 8.9	10.11 12.07
57	UCLA	255.2	466	291	62.4	25	15	8.9	11.81
58 59	Maryland UTEP	207.6 246.0	368 386	205 231	55.7 59.8	24 18	4 7	8.9 8.9	10.80 11.81
60	Mississippi	250.4	394	251	63.7	19	14	8.8	12.02
61 62	Miami, FI Louisville	268.5 193.8	429 353	261 203	60.8 57.5	15 21	11 9	8.8 8.7	10.68 9.79
63	Memphis	245.6	435	269	61.8	25	8	8.7	12.10
64 65	Hawaii Michigan	182.8 155.2	308 294	169 173	54.9 58.8	20 13	9 7	8.5 8.5	9.35 8.92
66	Georgia Tech	239.5	410	254	62.0	22	13	8.5	11.59
67 68	South Alabama Louisiana	218.5 283.8	368 431	215 265	58.4 61.5	25 19	11 12	8.5 8.5	11.48 11.48
69	North Texas	251.5	420	266	63.3	19	11	8.4	11.23
70 71	East Carolina Rice	271.7 250.8	440 366	257 210	58.4 57.4	27 23	9 10	8.4 8.3	11.57 11.42
72	Kent St	276.0	491	296	60.3	26	23	8.3	9.60
73 74	Texas St Clemson	252.9 250.6	408 404	248 234	60.8 57.9	26 22	14 12	8.3 8.2	11.25 9.99
75	Arizona	296.1	467	286	61.2	22	10	8.1	11.34
76 77	ULM Toledo	271.5 296.0		271 288	62.4 61.3	27 26	15 17	8.0 7.9	11.47 10.59
78	Tennessee	281.6	446	272	61.0	26	12	7.8	11.23
79 80	E Michigan Iowa	212.3 219.5	350 353	208 224	59.4 63.5	18 16	7 10	7.8 7.8	9.81 10.42
81	California	271.9			63.3	31	14	7.7	11.87
82 83	Boston College Miami. Oh	242.4 226.6	429 356	290 268 217 158 331	62.5 61.0			7.6 7.6	9.88 9.56
84	Florida Atlantic	203.6	286	158	55.2	20	8	7.5	9.95
00	Baylor Wake Forest	323.4	517 411	255	64.0 62.0	22	18 11		12.07 10.85
87	Missouri	241.4	401	261	65.1	19	7	7.4	11.21
88 89	Arkansas St Kansas	233.2 285.8		259 251	64.9 62.3		12 11	7.3 7.3	10.18 12.85
			364	207	56.9	25	11	7.2	10.47
91 92	San Diego St Cent Michigan Colorado St Troy	235.2 244.2 203.2	400 396	251 247	62.8 62.4	23 22	11 13	7.2 7.2	9.84 9.69
93	Colorado St	203.2	364	219	60.2	24	7	7.2	9.39
94 95	Troy Nevada	258.4 218.0	372 382	211 212	56.7 55.5	26 24	6 4	7.1 7.1	10.99 8.90
96	W Michigan	240.8	387	234	60.5	19	8	7.1	9.41
97 98	UNLV Marshall	238.0 257.8		223 277	59.6 64.4		9 11	7.0 7.0	9.95 11.26
99	Navy	210.9	336	229	68.2	12	8	6.9	10.49
100	Auburn Washington St	222.9 262.7			65.6 65.5				12.22 11.12
102	Arkansas	285.9	419	262	62.5	19	6	6.7	10.92
	Massachusetts Illinois	235.8 193.6			64.7 62.5				11.39 10.82
105	Ball St	253.9	394	242	61.4	25	7	6.5	10.44
106	Syracuse Southern Miss	237.3 219.0		233 219	63.1 64.8	21 23	5	6.5 6.2	9.82 11.44
108	Kentucky	229.8	367	247	67.3	21	5	6.0	11.15
109	Idaho UAB	277.9 244.6		260 232	64.7 65.2	27	6	6.0 5.9	11.95 11.54
111	UTSA	270.3			64.3	26	13	5.9	9.65
	Middle Tenn Akron	252.2 238.9		255 232	67.5 61.1	22 30	12 5	5.9 5.8	10.52 10.27
114	Temple	237 4		178	59.9	21	4	5.6	9.86
115	Louisiana Tech New Mexico St	338.9 254.8	494 381		60.5 61.2	35 28	10	5.6 5.5	10.89
117	Indiana	232.2	356	222	62.4	23	7	5.4	8.74
	Air Force USF	203.6 251.3	314		67.5 68.3	16 17	8 2	5.2	8.73 10.82
120	New Mexico	269.2	417	271	65.0	31	11	4.8	10.54
	Wyoming Army	237.9 195.0			65.3 63.8			4.7 3.7	9.12 7.39
123	West Virginia	327.0	408	263	64.5	35	9	3.4	12.07
124	Colorado	263.5	368	250	67.9	39	3	2.2	11.95

# 2012 FINAL DASS EFFICIENCY D 2012 FINAL COLLEGE TEAM STAT AVERAGES

	OIL TINKE COLLEGE TERM >																	
	OFF	OFF		- RUSHII						SSING -		DEF	DEF					SKS
Air France	PTS	FD	ATT	YDS	AVG	FUM	COM	ATT	PCT	YDS	INT TD	PTS	FD	ATT	YDS	AVG	FUM VS	BY
Air Force Akron	28.5 26.1	23.2 23.9	63.0 30.4	328.8 114.4	5.2 3.8	1.6 0.8	6.7 30.3	11.6 48.6	57.6 62.4	107.2 312.8	7 9 18 30	28.7 35.7	20.6 24.6	43.4 43.1	198.0 211.1	4.6 4.9	0.4 7 0.7 24	15 16
Alabama	38.5 37.3	21.2 29.0	40.3 42.4	225.5 229.7	5.6 5.4	0.8 1.0	15.3 24.8	23.1 41.0	66.3 60.6	214.3 291.4	3 27 13 27	10.7 34.3	13.6 24.8	32.3 42.8	81.1 189.3	2.5 4.4	0.9 24 1.1 19	32 16
Arizona Arizona St	36.4	24.4	45.8	191.3	4.2	0.9	21.5	32.8	65.6	258.6	12 29	24.0	19.2	41.8	170.3	4.1	0.8 36	49
Arkansas Arkansas St	23.5 36.4	21.2 25.0	30.5 41.8	120.3 217.4	3.9 5.2	1.2 0.8	22.6 21.5	38.3 31.8	59.0 67.5	301.3 264.4	16 23 5 24	30.4 25.4	21.6 20.6	36.7 38.5	123.8 153.3	3.4 4.0	0.7 19 0.8 13	29 18
Army	24.3	23.5	67.2	370.0	5.5	1.7	4.3	9.6	44.3	66.4	2 3	35.3	20.9	42.4	232.3	5.5	0.6 11	15
Auburn Ball St	18.7 35.0	15.7 28.3	36.5 43.3	148.7 214.3	4.1 5.0	0.8 0.5	12.3 24.8	21.4 38.1	57.2 65.0	156.9 257.1	15 8 10 26	28.3 31.5	22.0 23.4	40.3 40.0	197.5 205.8	4.9 5.1	0.9 35 0.6 10	22 20
Baylor Boise St	44.1 30.4	28.8 21.1	44.2 36.8	225.3 172.8	5.1 4.7	0.6	23.7 19.7	38.5 29.6	61.5 66.5	353.4 217.3	13 32 7 17	38.2 14.9	27.2 17.1	40.3 39.5	189.8 141.7	4.7 3.6	0.6 17 1.3 9	13 34
Boston College	19.8	19.7	28.8	91.3	3.2	0.9	21.3	39.5	54.0	258.9	13 18	29.7	23.3	45.8	214.1	4.7	0.8 34	6
Bowling Green Buffalo	23.2 21.3	19.6 20.2	35.3 40.5	157.4 176.7	4.5 4.4	0.3 1.0	18.6 16.3	33.9 31.7	54.8 51.6	216.5 199.3	13 16 10 17	15.8 28.5	14.8 19.1	32.5 41.8	116.7 155.4	3.6 3.7	0.9 13 0.3 27	37 34
BYU California	29.2 23.0	23.6 20.2	39.7 37.6	162.0 182.5	4.1 4.9	0.7 1.4	22.7 17.7	37.8 30.5	60.0 57.9	247.1 208.3	14 25 13 13	14.7 33.1	14.8 24.8	31.1 39.8	84.3 169.3	2.7 4.3	0.7 28 0.8 41	31 27
Cent Michigan	29.3	20.4	31.8	151.0	4.8	0.6	18.8	31.8	58.9	243.1	9 20	33.3	22.8	39.9	197.0	4.9	0.4 14	17
Cincinnati Clemson	31.0 42.3	20.8 26.0	38.7 44.8	199.8 199.0	5.2 4.4	0.7 0.4	16.1 23.5	28.8 35.3	55.8 66.5	230.7 319.4	11 21 14 38	17.2 24.9	19.5 19.6	35.0 38.3	130.5 160.3	3.7 4.2	0.8 13 0.8 26	30 28
Colorado Colorado St	17.8 21.2	17.1 18.0	35.3 33.3	111.3 128.8	3.1 3.9	1.2	18.3 17.2	33.6 29.3	54.6 58.7	192.3 210.2	19 11 15 17	46.0 30.3	24.4 22.9	38.1 43.3	225.4 202.4	5.9 4.7	1.0 50 1.2 30	19 20
Connecticut	17.8	16.9	34.9	87.9	2.5	0.7	18.3	32.3	56.4	230.4	18 10	19.8	16.2	35.8	97.9	2.7	0.5 33	33
Duke E Michigan	31.3 21.3	21.0 16.7	33.3 37.3	119.1 162.9	3.6 4.4	0.6	26.3 13.3	41.8 25.7	63.1 51.6	277.4 171.2	10 25 15 19	35.0 37.6	21.4	41.3 42.5	199.9 266.6	4.8 6.3	1.0 19 0.8 22	23 7
East Carolina FIU	31.3	21.8	35.8	134.8	3.8	0.7	24.5	37.1	66.1	272.8	13 23	30.7 31.7	22.2	35.4	145.7	4.1	0.9 28	25
Florida	25.0 26.8	19.5 17.5	40.8 42.3	145.3 204.3	3.6 4.8	1.3 0.7	18.3 13.8	30.8 21.4	59.3 64.2	238.4 142.8	6 17 3 12	12.9	20.3 17.0	35.8 31.0	140.8 97.6	3.9 3.1	0.7 37 0.9 35	23 22
Florida Atlantic Florida St	20.5 39.9	18.4 23.1	34.2 36.8	112.3 203.3	3.3 5.5	1.1 1.2	22.1 20.1	34.7 29.9	63.7 67.1	237.6 262.8	6 18 10 23	30.8 15.1	19.7 14.4	42.0 33.4	202.9 94.2	4.8 2.8	0.8 28 0.7 24	12 32
Fresno St	40.3	23.7	37.3	166.7	4.5	0.8	26.6	40.1	66.3	322.6	6 37	22.3	17.8	42.9	172.8	4.0	1.1 21	34
Georgia Georgia Tech	37.2 34.5	21.2 21.8	37.2 57.3	183.7 305.2	4.9 5.3	0.6	18.2 7.5	28.2 14.2	64.3 52.7	274.4 134.1	9 32 7 10	18.8 29.9	18.5 20.0	43.3 34.8	178.8 148.4	4.1	1.2 25 0.7 12	26 28
Hawaii Houston	21.2 32.4	17.2 24.3	36.6 32.8	108.6 151.2	3.0 4.6	1.7 1.3	17.2 28.1	33.2 49.3	51.8 57.0	188.8 328.4	13 12 20 26	35.7 36.0	19.4 26.3	43.4 43.4	189.8 192.8	4.4 4.4	0.8 40 1.0 19	28 36
Idaho	15.8	15.5	29.3	88.8	3.0	1.3	21.7	37.3	58.2	225.0	22 15	42.4	23.2	38.1	199.4	5.2	1.1 24	12
Illinois Indiana	16.7 30.8	16.4 22.7	37.0 33.3	127.8 130.8	3.5 3.9	1.3 0.3	18.5 27.6	29.3 45.0	63.1 61.3	169.4 311.2	14 11 13 24	32.1 35.3	19.7 24.0	42.8 46.2	194.2 231.3	4.5 5.0	0.9 37 0.5 17	17 25
lowa	19.3	17.4	33.7	123.1	3.7	0.3	18.6	32.4	57.3	187.4	8 7	22.9	19.8	39.4	161.8	4.1	1.1 21	13
lowa St Kansas	25.2 18.3	20.6 20.0	36.4 46.5	154.7 212.2	4.2 4.6	0.7	21.0 12.3	35.8 26.1	58.6 47.3	217.7 148.7	15 27 13 7	23.3 36.1	22.6	39.3 37.5	165.3 197.2	4.2 5.3	1.0 15 0.8 25	15 11
Kansas St Kent St	40.7 34.6	21.7 18.9	40.8 42.2	200.4 227.8	4.9 5.4	0.3 0.5	15.3 13.9	23.4 25.0	65.5	211.9	7 15 10 14	21.1 25.1	20.8 21.9	32.2 36.1	119.7 143.4	3.7 4.0	1.2 13 1.2 20	29 33
Kentucky	17.9	17.7	33.6	138.8	4.1	0.5	18.3	32.3	55.7 56.8	163.7 176.3	10 13	31.0	22.3	40.1	161.3	4.0	0.6 27	27
Louisiana Louisiana Tech	35.0 51.5	20.3 31.6	36.4 43.3	187.0 227.2	5.1 5.2	1.1 0.7	18.3 30.4	29.8 44.3	61.5 68.6	255.9 352.8	8 19 5 33	27.6 38.5	21.9 25.9	36.9 39.2	144.3 186.3	3.9 4.8	0.7 12 1.3 10	26 20
Louisville LSU	31.0 30.3	22.8 19.5	35.5 41.8	127.1 179.8	3.6 4.3	0.4	23.9	35.3 27.8	67.7 58.3	298.6 207.1	7 25 6 11	23.8	18.5 17.4	34.8 32.3	151.3	4.3 3.1	1.0 24 1.1 26	17
Marshall	40.9	29.4	40.0	169.2	4.2	0.6	16.2 35.3	50.6	69.9	365.1	12 39	16.9 43.1	26.7	45.8	101.3 218.5	4.8	0.7 29	30 21
Maryland Massachusetts	20.1 12.7	15.3 17.2	39.2 34.2	103.2 91.6	2.6 2.7	1.5 0.8	13.9 19.8	25.5 37.0	54.6 53.4	181.5 186.7	12 17 19 9	27.2 40.2	17.8 23.6	37.1 42.7	129.3 225.6	3.5 5.3	1.3 40 0.5 33	27 12
Memphis	24.4	17.6	40.8	151.8	3.7	1.8	15.2	23.6	64.3	166.6	3 18	30.3	22.3	35.4	138.0	3.9	1.3 23	29
Miami, Fl Miami, Oh	31.4 23.3	20.3 20.9	31.0 28.3	145.8 86.6	4.7 3.1	0.7 0.6	21.9 25.9	37.2 41.3	59.0 62.8	295.3 298.7	7 23 12 26	30.5 34.9	26.2 24.7	43.8 44.1	217.9 241.1	5.0 5.5	0.9 18 0.2 39	12 15
Michigan Michigan St	30.0 20.3	18.6 19.6	38.1 37.4	187.2 151.0	4.9 4.0	0.6	12.6 19.4	23.4 36.5	53.7 53.2	198.0 218.8	18 17 10 13	18.8 16.3	18.3 14.6	41.4 30.0	155.9 100.4	3.8 3.3	0.8 15 0.4 19	20 15
Middle Tenn	26.6	20.3	38.8	176.7	4.5	0.8	18.1	28.7	63.1	216.1	8 16	28.0	23.3	40.9	188.8	4.6	1.3 7	14
Minnesota Mississippi	21.3 30.9	17.2 22.3	38.6 41.8	146.1 169.7	3.8 4.1	0.6 0.9	13.9 19.8	25.9 31.2	53.7 63.4	171.4 257.1	14 17 16 21	23.9 28.5	18.7 19.5	37.8 37.3	174.3 134.3	4.6 3.6	0.6 18 1.0 33	25 33
Mississippi St Missouri	30.3 25.8	20.4 18.3	32.3 37.6	141.9 140.2	4.4 3.7	0.6 0.7	19.7 19.0	32.8 34.5	59.9 55.1	248.3 217.9	6 26 14 15	22.4 28.4	21.8 20.4	38.5 37.6	165.9 148.7	4.3 4.0	1.2 16 1.3 27	19 22
N Illinois	40.8	23.8	44.7	250.2	5.6	1.0	17.7	28.4	62.3	235.6	5 25	19.0	22.3	42.3	139.0	3.3	0.8 14	38
NC State	24.8 28.4	21.8 21.6	53.8 37.2	276.4 116.9	5.1 3.1	1.2 1.2	7.8 24.6	13.3 43.0	58.1 57.2	111.3 304.0	4 9 14 30	22.7 24.6	21.3 19.3	39.5 37.8	178.0 157.9	4.5 4.2	0.8 <u>20</u> 0.7 36	18 32
Nebraska Nevada	35.1 37.0	23.5 28.2	46.8 50.1	254.9 260.1	5.4 5.2	1.6 0.8	16.7 20.8	27.0 31.2	61.8 66.6	207.5 242.7	11 22 8 21	26.2 32.5	17.8 24.2	40.5 41.9	194.4 213.2	4.8 5.1	0.7 29 0.9 18	28 20
New Mexico	25.8	19.4	52.8	300.9	5.7	0.8	6.1	11.8	51.3	68.8	4 5	30.2	21.8	32.9	174.9	5.3	1.0 10	21
New Mexico St North Carolina	18.7 40.6	18.6 24.3	32.5 38.1	99.3 193.8	3.1 5.1	1.3 1.0	17.8 23.9	33.4 36.8	53.4 65.1	236.1 291.8	11 19 7 29	39.4 25.7	22.7 20.8	39.2 39.5	221.8 142.7	5.7 3.6	0.4 42 0.8 11	11 29
North Texas Northwestern	20.9 31.5	20.0 22.5	39.8 46.3	173.5 230.9	4.4 5.0	0.3	17.8 17.5	31.3 27.8	57.1 63.1	219.5 166.8	14 14 4 14	28.0 22.8	20.8 21.4	32.8 33.9	163.7 122.8	5.0 3.6	0.7 6 1.3 16	17 25
Notre Dame	26.8	22.3	40.6	202.0	5.0	0.6	17.1	29.3	58.2	219.0	7 13	10.3	16.0	29.3	93.6	3.2	0.6 16	31
Ohio Ohio St	30.6 37.2	23.4 21.7	46.5 46.6	202.0 242.8	4.3 5.2	0.3 0.9	19.6 13.4	31.6 23.2	62.0 57.9	233.5 181.5	4 21 7 17	25.7 22.8	21.1 18.1	35.8 32.8	165.2 115.8	4.6 3.5	1.0 27 0.5 21	19 25
Oklahoma	40.3 44.7	27.1 26.5	33.3 39.8	165.6 214.8	5.0 5.4	0.8	28.3 23.9	43.5 38.4	65.1 62.3	341.4 333.7	10 29 16 29	24.2 29.4	18.7 24.1	37.8 37.7	180.6 140.6	4.8 3.7	0.3 12 0.7 10	29
Oklahoma St Oregon	50.8	28.0	53.2	325.3	6.1	0.8	20.1	29.3	68.5	228.5	9 32	22.0	21.4	36.0	145.1	4.0	1.2 17	22 28
Oregon St Penn St	33.0 29.1	24.0 22.6	33.3 39.6	125.3 143.8	3.8 3.6	0.5 0.7	25.1 22.7	39.8 38.0	63.1 59.6	317.2 273.6	14 27 5 24	19.8 19.1	19.5 18.3	32.6 36.3	132.6 129.3	4.1 3.6	0.9 24 1.0 17	27 30
Pittsburgh Purdue	27.4 29.9	20.1 20.7	37.8 36.4	137.5 164.8	3.6 4.5	0.5 0.8	20.2 22.9	30.3 37.3	66.7 61.4	262.9 240.8	2 19 12 29	19.7 29.0	18.9 20.6	34.3 38.3	128.9 179.8	3.8 4.7	0.6 32 0.9 23	24 20
Rice	31.8	23.5	47.6	201.3	4.2	1.2	17.9	31.0	57.8	219.8	5 16	31.3	21.2	38.2	192.8	5.1	1.2 31	26
Rutgers San Diego St	22.4 35.1	16.9 22.0	32.7 44.9	126.2 229.3	3.9 5.1	0.4 1.0	17.2 14.2	29.3 23.3	58.5 60.7	215.0 178.6	15 18 8 21	14.3 24.5	17.9 21.4	35.7 37.9	104.6 140.8	2.9 3.7	1.1 8 1.0 32	22 31
San Jose St SMU	35.3 29.5	22.9 19.5	35.3 30.3	124.3 128.9	3.5 4.3	1.2 0.7	25.3 21.6	35.4 40.7	71.3 53.1	327.5 237.3	9 33 13 15	21.4 27.0	18.1 20.7	34.3 33.0	123.7 129.1	3.6 3.9	1.0 32 1.3 25 1.2 29	40 17
South Alabama	18.5	19.4	37.6	123.5	3.3	1.3	18.8	34.5	54.3	209.8	16 16	29.5	20.1	39.5	164.5	4.2	0.8 31	24
South Carolina Southern Miss	31.4 19.7	20.3 17.9	39.5 39.8	142.2 154.8	3.6 3.9	0.8 1.3	16.7 12.6	27.4 26.1	60.8 48.2	229.0 168.3	9 25 13 9	17.4 37.8	17.4 22.2	38.3 41.7	121.2 207.5	3.2 5.0	0.7 34 0.6 30	40 19
Stanford Syracuse	28.5 29.3	19.5 24.9	39.5 40.8	173.1 171.8	4.4 4.2	0.6 0.9	17.4 23.6	29.1 37.5	59.8 62.9	203.0 302.0	10 19 9 24	17.5 25.7	18.4 19.8	30.7 36.3	87.4 148.2	2.8 4.1	0.8 21 0.8 14	53 24
TČU	29.3	19.7	40.2	158.3	3.9	1.3	18.7	31.1	60.1	239.5	11 26	23.1	17.4	31.8	106.1	3.3	0.9 25	26
Temple Tennessee	24.7 36.2	16.6 24.3	42.5 34.5	201.2 160.3	4.7 4.6	1.2 0.7	10.5 23.7	20.1 39.7	52.5 59.7	120.8 315.4	6 10 14 35	31.2 35.7	21.5 22.7	42.5 39.8	199.4 188.8	4.7 4.7	1.2 18 0.4 8	23 17
Texas Texas A&M	36.1	22.4	38.5	179.0	4.6	0.4	20.5	30.3	67.6	261.9	10 23	29.4 22.5	20.8	41.4 37.8	199.8 141.0	4.8	0.4 14 0.3 24	24 31
Texas St	44.8 28.6	27.4 19.4	41.8 34.4	235.2 179.3	5.6 5.2	0.7	25.5 18.1	38.1 30.1	67.0 60.1	317.3 200.4	8 17	33.5	20.8 25.8	40.8	231.8	3.7 5.7	1.0 28	11
Texas Tech Toledo	37.8 32.9	27.3 23.1	31.3 40.3	139.5 197.5	4.5 4.9	0.7 0.8	32.3 21.0	45.7 33.5	70.6 62.7	362.3 258.6	14 42 9 19	31.8 27.3	20.1 23.8	39.1 34.5	171.5 168.1	4.4 4.9	0.3 18 0.7 24	16 22
Troy	30.6	25.6	38.2	167.3	4.4	1.2	28.1	42.4	66.2	331.5	12 22	30.5	21.4	39.1	185.2	4.7	1.2 15	13
Tulane Tulsa	21.4 35.0	17.8 23.2	23.3 48.4	39.6 240.2	1.7 5.0	1.1 0.8	25.8 18.2	44.7 34.5	57.8 52.9	279.9 220.5	20 22 12 19	38.4 24.2	24.9 18.3	44.0 37.8	222.9 121.2	5.1 3.2	0.8 34 1.1 8	19 48
UAB UCF	28.3 35.2	22.5 20.6	34.7 37.3	110.3 178.7	3.2 4.8	1.2 0.6	23.3 18.1	39.9 29.2	58.2 62.0	308.8 222.0	13 21 7 25	37.5 22.5	21.6	39.8 40.0	182.7 162.4	4.6 4.1	1.0 42 1.2 23	20 23
UCLA	35.1	24.2	44.0	203.0	4.6	1.1	22.8	34.1	67.0	271.4	11 26	25.9	21.2	38.1	155.0	4.1	1.1 46	45
ULM UNLV	35.5 22.0	23.8 19.5	34.3 34.8	146.0 149.1	2.5 4.3	0.3 0.5	26.8 19.2	41.6 36.0	64.5 53.4	296.7 218.8	12 31 17 18	27.8 32.6	21.5 22.8	35.8 45.1	135.8 207.2	3.8 4.6	0.8 22 0.6 25	24 20
USC USF	34.2 20.6	22.6 20.9	30.7 35.5	153.0 152.0	5.0 4.3	1.1	22.7 18.8	35.5 34.2	63.8	299.3 215.5	17 38 15 14	24.6 27.4	22.7 22.0	38.9 41.3	156.7 150.5	4.0	0.8 17	41
Utah	26.7	17.8	37.2	133.8	3.6	0.8	18.3	29.3	55.1 62.7	190.7	9 16	25.1	19.5	34.6	128.2	3.6 3.7	1.2 25	25 29
Utah St UTEP	34.4 21.2	20.6 19.4	36.8 41.8	194.9 148.5	5.3 3.9	0.8	21.8 16.5	32.2 31.3	67.6 52.7	267.5 224.0	10 27 13 16	15.4 29.8	18.6 22.3	38.1 39.9	111.8 194.2	2.9 4.9	0.4 16 1.0 31	39 20
UTSA	31.2	19.4	35.8	159.3	4.4	0.7	18.4	30.7	60.1	231.0	7 23	28.8	21.0	32.5	109.5	3.4	1.3 7	22
Vanderbilt Virginia	29.3 22.8	19.1 19.0	40.3 34.8	171.4 128.5	4.3 3.7	1.1 0.9	15.8 23.0	26.8 39.5	59.0 58.2	221.2 268.0	15 23	18.3 28.9	17.7 18.6	38.1 36.3	150.6 144.9	4.0 4.0	0.4 22 0.7 25	29 17
Virginia Tech W Michigan	26.1 29.3	19.8 23.7	39.6 33.7	157.8 149.5	4.0 4.4	0.7	17.4 25.3	33.1 42.6	52.6 59.5	234.1 289.8	15 17 21 28	23.9 29.7	18.2	37.2 38.5	139.7 155.2	3.8 4.0	0.6 21 0.8 20	32 25
Wake Forest	18.5	15.8	33.5	100.5	3.0	0.7	19.9	35.6	56.0	200.8	7 13	31.8	21.4	40.8	166.1	4.1	1.0 27	25
Washington Washington St	23.8 20.4	18.8 20.8	35.7 20.9	119.5 29.3	3.8 1.4	0.8	20.5 30.4	33.3 52.1	61.7 58.4	210.4 330.3	12 18 21 23	23.8 33.7	18.2 22.8	36.3 40.8	164.3 163.3	4.5	1.3 34 0.5 57	<u>26</u> 37
West Virginia Wisconsin	41.6 30.8	26.3 19.2	36.2 45.4	179.9 237.8	5.0 5.2	0.4	29.8 12.3	42.2 21.1	70.6 58.4	340.0 162.5	7 41 5 14	38.1 19.1	23.1 16.8	40.3 33.6	142.6 124.5	3.5 3.7	0.8 19 0.5 27	21 31
WKU	28.8	21.0	39.5	186.2	4.7	1.0	16.6	25.9	64.0	205.7	12 23	25.6	19.4	35.2	138.3	3.9	0.8 24	31
Wyoming	26.8	20.7	36.8	125.4	3.4	0.4	20.8	34.3	60.6	265.4	8 29	33.4	24.6	44.3	232.3	5.3	1.2 26	13

# pro football degember 13th=17th

Obviously this newsletter is based upon statistical numbers & rankings that are used to develop the projections you see here. The numbers in parenthesis are a comparison of the teams rankings (Visitor, visitors offense ranking vs. 🕜 home defense ranking, Home, home offensive ranking vs visitor defensive ranking).

#### THURSDAY, DECEMBER 13th

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
CINCINNATI	152	135	24	0	#8
PHILADELPHIA	121	219	17	-19	#22

(CIN #9 vs PHI #20, PHI #18 vs CIN #4) - PHI netted their 1st win in over 80 days on the final play of the game vs TB despite just 29 yds rushing. CIN is off a tough home loss to an inspired DAL team & now have to travel on a short week in what is expected to be Reid's final national TV game with PHI. This is CIN's 1st Thur Nite game in 2 years & while PP leans with CIN here the home team who we expect to be motivated has the yard edge making it a No Play. Get the Thursday Night Marquee on gameday after 12:00 pm ET by calling your Northcoast Debit Card (\$9) or through our office (\$12). This play is also available on your Marquee 7-Pack!

#### **NO PLAY: BENGALS 24 EAGLES 17**

#### SUNDAY, DECEMBER 16th

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
GREEN BAY	99	161	21	+7	#12
CHICAGO	148	230	22	+13	#1

(GB #19 vs CHI #12, CHI #26 vs GB #21) - The Bears are in free-fall mode right now having gone from the #3 seed to barely hanging onto the #6 seed due to injuries. GB has covered 8 straight in Div play & have a shot at the #2 seed if SF stumbles. PP calls for the Bears to pull an upset with the 2nd biggest yardage. margin (+118) as GB has given up 379 ypg over the L10 games. GB expects to have Woodson/Matthews back for this while Cutler's status is a bit murkier. We disagree with PP here so this is a No Play.

#### NO PLAY: BEARS 22 PACKERS 21

	Rushing	Passing	Points	IO's	Sis
NY GIANTS	134	217	26	+16	#20
ATLANTA	91	298	20	+6	#31

(NYG #10 vs ATL #14, ATL #13 vs NYG #16) - LW's results diminished the line value here with the Giants slamming NO and ATL being embarrassed by CAR. ATL is 11-3-1 ATS at home off a loss & they are 3-0 SU/ATS vs tms that own a winning record right now. Despite their big win over NO the Giants have no room to relax with the NFC East being a tight race. PP calls for the Giants who have covered 5 straight as AD's to get the win & with their edge in TO's & special teams we agree.

#### 4★ GIANTS 26 (+) FALCONS 20

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
TAMPA BAY	125	219	28	+12	#25
<b>NEW ORLEANS</b>	82	194	32	-4	#7

(TB #20 vs NO #18, NO #4 vs TB #17) - NO has dropped 3 straight since their 5-1 SU/ATS run as teams have overstressed Brees by exploiting the defense's inability to mount a pass rush (1 sack L3). TB is stinging from a last second loss to a PHI team that has been all but written off with a rookie QB. PP has this right around the line for the side but the total is worth a look here with the defenses combining for a 50-27 ratio TY.

#### NŎ PLAY: SAINTS 32 BUCS 28 • 2★ SAINTS/BUCS: OVER

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
MINNESOTA	143	138	14	-5	#23
ST LOUIS	138	300	20	-1	#30

(MIN #23 vs STL #10, STL #27 vs MIN #26) - The surprise here isn't that PP calls for the Rams to get the win its that the Rams are to have the biggest yardage margin of the week (+157). Then again, Ponder has passed for under 160 yds in 4 of his L5 games coinciding with the loss of Harvin. MIN's lack of receiving depth has crippled the offense but it reminds us of 2009 with TEN making Johnson's **NEW ENGLAND**108

274

33

+24

#5

2000 yds the goal of the team. We'll root for Peterson to hit the milestone but agree with STL getting (SF #14 vs NE #30, NE #5 vs SF #1) - The Patriots get another marquee team in primetime to try & the win here but won't overreact as STL is 0-4 SU/ATS as a favorite.

#### 2★ RAMS 20 VIKINGS 14

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
WASHINGTON	163	196	21	+12	#21
CLEVELAND	123	278	22	+8	#27

(WAS #7 vs CLE #11, CLE #15 vs WAS #19) - There isn't likely to be a line until Friday pending the health of Griffin (sprained right knee). We aren't surprised that Cousins pulled the upset of BAL LW as most teams aren't prepped for the QB off the bench (sans Tebow). A wounded Griffin makes him more vulnerable in the pocket for CLE that is pulling in 1 sack every 11 att's over the L4W (8th). While its refreshing to say the Browns are no longer an easy out, not having a line makes this a Nó Play.

#### NO PLAY: BROWNS 22 REDSKINS 21

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
JACKSONVILLE	57	202	12	0	#32
MIAMI	148	197	27	-11	#11

(JAX #21 vs MIA #9, MIA #31 vs JAX #31) - It's tough to evaluate Henne for 2013 as the Jags simply lack any sort of healthy playmakers right now. They only have 1 game where they earned over 400 yds offense & they needed a full 5th Qtr to do it. Their 258 yd forecast is 25 yds below their YTD avg. JAX is haunted by their lack of a pass rush once again (14, 1 every 32 atts) but the fact MIA is 6-20-1 ATS as a HF has us pass on this as the Jags desperately try to get out of the 1st overall pick discussion.

NO PLAY: DOLPHINS 27 JAGUARS 12

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
DENVER	99	242	24	-2	#17
BALTIMORE	116	197	22	+12	#9

(DEN #8 vs BAL #13, BAL #22 vs DEN #6) - The Broncos have a big edge with rest after barely breaking a sweat vs OAK LW & are the vastly healthier team also. Their #16 pass D is the only major off/def ranking not in the top 10 & that's offset by the fact their 15 int is tied for #6. BAL's lack of spd outside of Torrey Smith once again hurts the pass gm & Manning has a 13-5 ratio vs this D system for a 7-0 SU/ATS mark. PP has this at the line with no significant yardage edge though so its a No Play.

NO PLAY: BRONCOS 24 RAVENS 22

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
INDIANAPOLIS	69	282	17	-16	#29
HOUSTON	138	313	30	+14	#24

(IND #11 vs HOU #29, HOU #2 vs IND #27) - The Colts need just 1 more win to earn a playoff spot which is remarkable since they had the #1 DCTY & their -16 TO's is #30 NFL. HOU is off LW's MNF gm vs NE which had major seeding implications. This will be only the 2nd tm that has a winning rec'd right now that IND has faced & they gave up 59 pts in the other one. IND's only win over a quality foe was GB 2 months ago. HOU stands to be a large fav here but they have huge talent edges on both sides of the ball along with the TO edge to not make them a play esp with the massive TO difference.

4★ TEXANS 30 COLTS 17

						٠
	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's	
CAROLINA	125	215	22	+1	#26	
SAN DIEGO	102	266	27	0	#13	

(CAR #5 vs SD #24, SD #30 vs CAR #15) - Both teams are off major upsets with SD slamming PIT & CAR manhandling ATL. SD has been outgained by an avg of 90 ypg the L4W (31st) while CAR has outgained foes by 40 ypg (9th). Both coaches are highly questionable to return for 2013 & there is a good deal of familiarity as Rivera installed the 3-4 system when he was the DC for SD. PP has this right around the line with no significant yardage difference making it a No Play.

NO PLAY: CHARGERS 27 PANTHERS 22

	Rusning	Passing	Points	IO's	SIS
SEATTLE	171	196	29	+8	#15
BUFFALO	149	156	14	-7	#3

(SEA #3 vs BUF #2, BUF #29 vs SEA #5) - Toronto - Bills fans hoping to get more Spiller (86 ypg 6.6) will get their wish as Fred Jackson (MCL) is out. This is another good matchup for SEA after destroying ARZ LW as the Bills are pretty thin in terms of threats on offense. BUF's D is starting to look like what they were projected to at the start of the ssn all'h 69 ypg rush (2.8) the L4W. PP calls for SEA to get the win here & keep in mind they'd be on a 5 gm SU/ATS win streak if not for a bad roughing the passer pen at the end of the MIA gm. SEA won as a 4 ★ for us here LW & we call for them to do so again.

#### 4★ SEAHAWKS 29 BILLS 14

	nusilliy	rassing	FUILIS	105	313
DETROIT	154	210	27	-6	#28
ARIZONA	99	179	16	0	#14

(DET#1 vs ARZ#22, ARZ#32 vs DET#28) - Simply put, ARZ's QB situation is so bad that Blaine Gabbert would be an upgrade. They have been held to under 125 net yds passing in 3 of their L4 with an 0-9 ratio the L4W. DET's offensive ranking the L4W isn't a surprise but their DB/Spec Tms play TY has ensured them of a losing season. PP calls for DET to snare the win but we aren't sold on their mental state after their loss to GB & we also want to see if ARZ names DC Horton as the interim here so No Play.

#### NO PLAY: LIONS 27 CARDINALS 16

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
PITTSBURGH	89	245	24	-13	#18
DALLAS	64	258	28	-10	#19

PIT #24 vs DAL #23, DAL #12 vs PIT #3) - LW's results set up a good situation here with PIT off a dreadful defensive effort & DAL being 6-20-1 ATS as a favorite. PIT usually struggles when Roethlisberger returns from injury & PIT is 8-2-1 ATS after giving up 30 or more pts. DAL is off an inspired victory on the road & now return home where they have failed to cover 9 straight. PP calls for DAL to get the win here but we think PIT will bring some old school physicality here so No Play.

NO PLAY: COWBOYS 28 STEELERS 24

	Rusning	Passing	Points	IO's	SIS
KANSAS CITY	194	206	20	-22	#16
OAKLAND	126	261	21	-7	#10

(KC #28 vs OAK #32, OAK #16 vs KC #25) - The dog has covered 11 straight in the series & the Raiders are 1-7 ATS at home. OAK has been outgained by 43 ypg (24th) at home TY & teams off a Thur Nite loss are on a 4-8 ATS run. KC won't have Bowe (brkn ribs) for the rest of the ssn but their ground game (150 ypg 4.7 L4W) gets an OAK def allowing 159 ypg (4.9) that is 30th over the same span. OAK is expected to give QB Pryor some reps which will help out the KC defense. PP leans with the dog here & we agree as well

#### 2★ CHIEFS 20 (+) RAIDERS 21

	Rushing	Passing	Points	TO's	ST's
SAN FRANCISCO	135	220	24	+6	#2
NEW ENGLAND	108	274	33	+24	#5

earn a coveted bye week. SF took advantage of a limited MIA team on the road as a 3★ LPS for us. Now will be the time for Kaepernick to prove what he's got vs an elite team as SF tries to hold off the surging Seahawks in the NFC West. The #1 offense hosts the #2 defense for everyone to see.

#### NO PLAY: PATRIOTS 33 49ERS 24

#### MONDAY, DECEMBER 17th

	Rusning	Passing	Points	108	515
NY JETS	122	89	15	-6	#6
TENNESSEE	149	151	19	-10	#4

(NYJ #25 vs TEN #7, TEN #17 vs NYJ #8) - Despite everything that's happened to them the Jets are just 1 game out of a playoff spot right now. While they do have a big defensive edge vs a young QB PP actually gives the Titans the yardage edge here. TEN has played a brutal sked TY (#1) & this is their 1st MNF home game since 2008. Can the Jets defense seize the day or will the Titans send their playoff dreams into the hanger. Get the Monday Night Marquee on gameday after 12:00 pm ET by calling your Northcoast Debit Card (\$9) or through our office (\$12). This play is also available on your Marquee 7-Pack! And don't forget about the MONDAY NIGHT MAGIC! Get the play for \$30 on your Northcoast Debit Card & if it fails to cover we'll credit \$40 back the next day!

#### **NO PLAY: TITANS 19 JETS 15**

# 2012 NFL POWER RATINGS

	TEAM RTG	
1 Houston102.0	17 San Diego95.0	S
2 New England 101.0	18 New Orleans94.5	I E
3 Denver100.5	19 Detroit94.0	
4 San Francisco100.0	20 Minnesota94.0	
5 Green Bay99.5	21 Miami93.5	
6 Atlanta99.0	22 NY Jets92.3	REAKER
7 Baltimore98.5	23 Cleveland91.0	匵
8 NY Giants97.9	24 Carolina90.5	6
9 Chicago97.0	25 St Louis90.5	0
10 Pittsburgh96.5	26 Buffalo90.0	5
11 Seattle96.2	27 Tennessee89.5	
12 Indianapolis96.0	28 Philadelphia88.5	
13 Washington 95.9	29 Oakland88.0	×
14 Dallas95.5	30 Jacksonville 87.5	15
15 Tampa Bay95.0	31 Kansas City87.5	
	32 Arizona87.0	TREAKER
	012 Power Rating grades	lΩ
Those are current 20	712 1 Owor Halling grades	

These are current 2012 Power Rating grades for each team based on talent

#### SIDES WINS Cleveland 4 STREAKERS Washington 4 St Louis 4

#### SIDES LOSS Oakland

#### 6 Green Bay 4 Minnesota 3 **New Orleans** 3

#### **OVERS** Carolina

3

3

# Seattle

#### **UNDERS**

#### Cleveland Cincinnati 5 Oakland 4



on season changes factored in. They are then adjusted during the season based on the final score of the games that are played on a weekly basis.																	
SEC			BIG	TEN		BIG	ì 12		PAC	-12		AC	C		BIG	<b>EAST</b>	
	Start	Current			Current		Start	Current		Start	Current		Start	Current			Current
Alabama	156	154	Wisconsin	145	145	Kansas St	145	152	Oregon	150	158	Florida St	152	147	Pittsburgh	131	138
Texas A&M	139 145	153 152	Ohio St	143	145	Oklahoma	149	150	Stanford	148	151	Clemson	133	145	Syracuse	122	138
Georgia LSU	156	147	Michigan	143	142	Oklahoma St	152	149	USC	160	143	Miami, FI	133	137	Cincinnati	131	136
Florida	143		Northwestern	132	139	Baylor	138	146	Oregon St	141	143	NC State	140	136	Louisville	140	130
South Carolina	142	145	Penn St	123	138	West Virginia	145	144	UCLA	132	141	Georgia Tech	135	136	Rutgers	134	129
Vanderbilt	140	144	Michigan St	141	136	TCU	142	142	Arizona St	122	141	North Carolina	133	136	Connecticut	134	126
Mississippi	124	139	Nebraska	137	136	Texas	146	141	Arizona	130	135	Virginia Tech	137	132	Temple	127	121
Mississippi St Missouri	139 143	135 133	Purdue	133	128	Iowa St	131	136	Washington	131	134	Duke	132	126	USF	137	120
Tennessee	141	132	lowa	130	126	Texas Tech	138	131	Utah	138	129	Virginia	126	125			
Arkansas	149		Minnesota	130	124	Kansas	129	116	Washington St	129	124	Maryland	126	125			
Auburn	142	119	Indiana	123	119				California	135	121	Boston College	141	123			
Kentucky	129	118	Illinois	127	113				Colorado	127	111	Wake Forest	126	116			
<b>MOUNTAIN WEST</b>		CU	SA		W	AC.		M	AC		SUN E	3EL	T	INDEP	ENDE	NT	

	Texas A&M	139	153	Wisconsin	145	145	Kalisas St	145	132	Oregon	150	158	riorida St	152	147	Pittsburgh	131	138
		145	152	Ohio St	143	145	Oklahoma	149	150	Stanford	148	151	Clemson	133	145	Syracuse	122	138
		156	147	Michigan	143	142	Oklahoma St	152	149	USC	160	143	Miami, FI	133	137	Cincinnati	131	136
		143	146	Northwestern	132	139	Baylor	138	146	Oregon St	141	143	NC State	140	136	Louisville	140	130
:	South Carolina	142	145	Penn St	123	138	West Virginia	145	144	UCLA	132	141	Georgia Tech	135	136	Rutgers	134	129
		140	144	Michigan St	141	136	TCU	142	142	Arizona St	122	141	North Carolina	133	136	Connecticut	134	126
		124	139	Nebraska	137	136	Texas	146	141	Arizona	130	135	Virginia Tech	137	132	Temple	127	121
		139	135	Purdue	133	128	Iowa St	131	136	Washington	131	134	Duke	132	126	USF	137	120
		143 141	133 132	lowa	130	126	Texas Tech	138	131	Utah	138	129	Virginia	126	125	00.		120
		149	132	Minnesota	130	124	Kansas	129	116	Washington St	129	124	Marvland	126	125			
		142	119	Indiana	123	119				California	135	121	Boston College	141	123			
		129	118	Illinois	127	113				Colorado	127	111	Wake Forest	126	116			
	· ·					113	3070											
	MOUNTAI			CUS			WA			MA		_	SUN E			INDEP		
			Current		Start	Current			Current		Start	Current			Current			Current
	Fresno St	124	137	UCF	135	136	Utah St	118	134	N Illinois	131	141	Arkansas St	119	133	Notre Dame	142	149
	Boise St	140	136	Tulsa	139	133	San Jose St	123	132	Kent St	122	134	Louisiana	125	127	BYU	143	138
	San Diego St	121	132	SMU	127	126	Louisiana Tech	138	128	Ball St	124	130	ULM	124	124	Navy	128	123
	Nevada	129	122	East Carolina	130	125	Texas St	91	109	Bowling Green	125	126	Troy	117	122	Army	133	117
	Wyoming	123	118	Rice	114	125	UTSA	87	104	Toledo	125	124	WKU	128	119	-		
	Air Force	125	117	Houston	139	120	Idaho	114	99	Cent Michigan	120	118	FIU	120	118	PLUS C	DR MIN	211
	Colorado St	116	115	Marshall	124	120	New Mexico St	109	95	W Michigan	128	117	Middle Tenn	108	117			
	Hawaii	116	112	UTEP	121	117				Ohio	120	117	Florida Atlantic	106	114	POWER	KAIIN	IGS
	New Mexico	111	111	Memphis	110	116				Buffalo	114	115	North Texas	122	113	UPDAT	ED TH	RU
	UNLV	110	108	UAB <sup>°</sup>	116	113				Miami, Oh	130	114	South Alabama	89	104		/9/12	-
				S Mississippi	129	108				E Michigan	121	112				12	3/12	
				Tulane	105	108				Akron	96	107						
										UMass	92	98						